IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No.

AMERICAN HEMP VENTURES, INC, a Nevada corporation; Plaintiff,

٧.

KLONDIKE AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS, LLC, a Florida limited liability company; and KLONDIKE AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS, INC., a Florida Corporation.

Defendants.

ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS OF DEFENDANTS KLONDIKE AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS, LLC AND KLONIDKE AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS, INC.

Defendants, Klondike Agricultural Products, LLC and Klondike Agricultural Products, Inc. (collectively, "Klondike"), through undersigned counsel, hereby answer the Complaint and Jury Demand of American Hemp Ventures, Inc. (hereinafter "Plaintiff" or "AHV") and assert counterclaims against AHV, as follows:

- 1. Admitted.
- 2. Denied.
- 3. Klondike admits the recitation of a Klondike filing, but Klondike's current principal address is 578 Kennedy Road, Akron, Ohio 44395. Klondike affirmatively states that it has transitioned from an LLC to an S or C corporation during its existence, but at all times relevant has been organized to do business as Klondike, and



further represents that one Klondike entity is the DBA of another, as Klondike has only been involved in one business.

- 4. Admitted, but see response to paragraph 3.
- 5. Admitted, but see response to paragraph 3.
- 6. Admitted, but see response to paragraph 3.
- 7. Admitted.
- 8. Defendants admit that Plaintiff and Klondike negotiated a contract wherein Plaintiff would supply industrial hemp seeds, and Klondike would incorporate those seeds into Klondike's proprietary seed capsule for planting, at which time Plaintiff would sell the seed capsules, and deny any other allegation in paragraph 8 of Plaintiff's Complaint
- 9. Denied.
- 10. Denied.
- 11. Denied.
- 12. Denied.
- 13. Klondike is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in paragraph 13, and so deny same.
- 14. On October 16, 2019, Klondike provided Plaintiff with the execution copy of the Supply Agreement the parties had negotiated. Defendants deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 14 of the Complaint.
- 15. Denied.
- 16. Denied.



- 17. Admit that the parties executed the negotiated Supply Agreement and deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 17 of the Complaint.
- 18. Denied.
- 19. Denied, see response to paragraph 3 above.
- 20. Admit that the negotiated start date of the Supply Agreement is October 16, 2019, and deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 20 of the Complaint.
- 21. Admit that the Supply Agreement, negotiated by the Plaintiff and Klondike speaks for itself and deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 21 of the Complaint.
- 22. Denied.
- 23. Denied.
- 24. Denied.
- 25. Admit that Klondike seeks payments pursuant to the negotiated Supply

 Agreement, but deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 25 of the Complaint.
- 26. Denied.
- 27. Denied.
- 28. Admitted.
- 29. Admitted.
- 30. Klondike incorporates its answer to the proceeding allegations as if set forth herein.
- 31. Paragraph 31 states a legal conclusion, not requiring responsive pleading, but Klondike admits this Court can interpret the Supply Agreement and denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 31 of the Complaint.



32	. Klondike admits that the parties to the Supply Agreement, Plaintiff and Klondike,
	executed the negotiated Supply Agreement, and deny the remaining allegations
	of paragraph 32 of the Complaint.

- 33. Denied.
- 34. Denied.
- 35. Denied.
- 36. Denied.
- 37. Denied.
- 38. Denied.
- 39. Denied.
- 40. Denied.
- 41. Klondike incorporates its answer to the proceeding allegations as if set forth herein.
- 42. Denied.
- 43. Admitted that Plaintiff entered into the Supply Agreement with Klondike, and deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 43 of the Complaint.
- 44. Denied.
- 45. Admitted, but deny the word "advanced" as vague and ambiguous.
- 46. Denied.
- 47. Denied.
- 48. Admitted.
- 49. Klondike affirmatively states that it provided the negotiated Supply Agreement for Plaintiff's signature and deny the remaining allegations of Plaintiff's Complaint.



50. Denied.
51. Denied.
52. Admit that the parties executed the Supply Agreement, as negotiated, but deny
the remaining allegations of paragraph 52 of the Complaint.
53. Denied.
54. Denied, see paragraph 3 above.
55. Admit that the negotiated Supply Agreement has a start date of October 16,
2019, and deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 55 of the Complaint.
56. Klondike affirmatively states that the negotiated Supply Agreement speaks for
itself and denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 56 of the Complaint.
57. Denied.
58. Denied.
59. Denied, see paragraph 3 above.
60. Denied.
61. Denied.
62. Denied.
63. Denied.
64. Denied.
65. Denied.



66. Admitted.

67. Denied.

68. Unintelligible.

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

