IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

MARC MCENTIRE and KAREN MCENTIRE, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	
Plaintiffs,	Civil Case No.:
v. TYSON FOODS, INC., TYSON CHICKEN, INC., TYSON BREEDERS, INC., TYSON POULTRY, INC., PILGRIM'S PRIDE CORPORATION, PERDUE FOODS, LLC, KOCH FOODS, INC., KOCH MEAT CO., INC. d/b/a KOCH POULTRY CO., SANDERSON FARMS, INC., SANDERSON FARMS, INC. (FOOD DIVISION), SANDERSON FARMS, INC. (PROCESSING DIVISION), and SANDERSON FARMS, INC. (PRODUCTION DIVISION),	ANTITRUST CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendants.	

Plaintiffs Marc McEntire and Karen McEntire (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated broiler chicken growers, bring this antitrust and unfair competition action seeking treble damages under Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act and Section 202 of the Packers and Stockyards Act, demanding a trial by jury of all issues so triable. Plaintiffs allege the following, based upon personal knowledge as to matters relating to themselves, and upon information and belief and the investigation of counsel as to all other matters:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a class action brought on behalf of a proposed class of broiler chicken ("Broiler") growers, also known as poultry growers (referred to herein as "Growers"), against vertically-integrated poultry company defendants ("live poultry dealers" or "Integrators"), which

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

operate Broiler processing plants ("Complexes"), concerning the Integrators' anticompetitive, collusive, predatory, unfair, and bad faith conduct in the domestic market for Broiler growing services (also referred to herein as "Broiler Grow-Out Services"). This case involves agreements by the Defendants (defined below) and their Co-Conspirators (defined more fully, *infra*, and together with the Defendants, the "Cartel") not to compete for Broiler Grow-Out Services, with the purpose and effect of fixing, maintaining, and/or stabilizing Grower compensation below competitive levels. While the conduct alleged herein began as early as 2008, with respect to Defendant Pilgrim's (defined below) only, Plaintiffs are not pursuing on behalf of themselves or the proposed Class any cause of action against Pilgrim's arising from, or that relies on, any fact, event, omission, liability, or damage that occurred on or before December 28, 2009 (the "Discharge Date"). Plaintiffs are only pursuing causes of action against Pilgrim's that arise from, or that rely on, facts, events, omissions, liabilities, or damages that occurred after the Discharge Date.

2. As part of the scheme, the Cartel members illegally agreed to share detailed data on Grower compensation with one another, with the purpose and effect of artificially depressing Grower compensation below competitive levels. By disclosing their highly sensitive and confidential compensation rates to each other, they suppressed competition for Broiler Grow-Out Services and drove down compensation to all Growers. By sharing this information on a frequent and contemporaneous basis, the Cartel has been able to keep Grower compensation lower than it would have been in a competitive market, and to keep the increased profits for themselves. This illegal information exchange, combined with other anticompetitive conduct alleged herein, drove down Grower compensation nationwide. The members of the Cartel recognized the benefits of sharing this highly sensitive, proprietary and otherwise confidential Grower compensation information with each other, but not with the Growers themselves.

3. In furtherance of their agreement not to compete for Broiler Grow-Out Services, Cartel members also agreed not to solicit Growers associated with other Integrators. By agreeing not to compete for the services of one another's Growers, the Cartel members attempted to insulate themselves from normal competitive pressures that could potentially erode the effects of their information sharing agreement. This illegal "no poach" agreement inoculated the Cartel against potential cheating by its members on the Cartel's compensation suppression scheme and furthered its efforts to artificially suppress Grower compensation below competitive levels.

4. These agreements (together, the "Scheme") were designed to keep Growers, as author Christopher Leonard noted in *The Meat Racket: The Secret Takeover of America's Food Business*, "in a state of indebted servitude, living like modern-day sharecroppers on the ragged edge of bankruptcy."

PARTIES AND THE BIG FIVE INTEGRATORS

5. Plaintiffs Mark McEntire and Karen McEntire began providing Broiler Grow-Out Services for Defendant Pilgrim's (defined *infra*) in Texas in 2004. Plaintiffs borrowed approximately \$100,000 to make improvements to three Broiler houses to Pilgrim's specifications. During the course of their time providing Broiler Grow-Out Services, Pilgrim's required that Plaintiffs make further investments to their Broiler houses. Plaintiffs borrowed or spent at least another \$20,000 making these improvements to their Broiler houses. Throughout their time providing Broiler Grow-Out Services, Plaintiffs were barely able to make ends meet with the compensation provided by Pilgrim's. Plaintiffs would not have been able to make ends meet with Pilgrim's compensation, and so worked other full-time jobs while caring for Broilers. In 2014, Plaintiffs quit providing Broiler Grow-Out Services and sold their property.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Case 1:20-cv-02764-NYW Document 1 Filed 09/11/20 USDC Colorado Page 4 of 40

6. Defendant Tyson Foods, Inc. is a Delaware corporation headquartered in Springdale, Arkansas that collusively shares nonpublic information through Agri Stats and otherwise engages in the conduct alleged herein with the aim and effect of suppressing Grower compensation below competitive levels. Tyson Foods, Inc. is the largest Integrator in the country, operating thirty-three Complexes located throughout the United States, and processing some 35.4 million Broilers weekly. Tyson accounts for nearly 22% of the total number of Broilers processed in the United States.

7. Defendant Tyson Chicken, Inc. is a Delaware corporation headquartered in Springdale, Arkansas (and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Tyson Foods, Inc.) that collusively shares nonpublic information through Agri Stats and otherwise engages in the conduct alleged herein with the aim and effect of suppressing Grower compensation below competitive levels.

8. Defendant Tyson Breeders, Inc. is a Delaware corporation headquartered in Springdale, Arkansas (and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Tyson Foods, Inc.) that collusively shares nonpublic information through Agri Stats and otherwise engages in the conduct alleged herein with the aim and effect of suppressing Grower compensation below competitive levels.

9. Defendant Tyson Poultry, Inc. is a Delaware corporation headquartered in Springdale, Arkansas (and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Tyson Foods, Inc.) that collusively shares nonpublic information through Agri Stats and otherwise engages in the conduct alleged herein with the aim and effect of suppressing Grower compensation below competitive levels.

10. Defendants Tyson Foods, Inc., Tyson Chicken, Inc., Tyson Breeders, Inc. and Tyson Poultry, Inc., are collectively referred to herein as "Tyson."

11. Defendant Pilgrim's Pride Corporation is a Delaware corporation headquartered in Greeley, Colorado ("Pilgrim's") that collusively shares nonpublic information through Agri

Case 1:20-cv-02764-NYW Document 1 Filed 09/11/20 USDC Colorado Page 5 of 40

Stats and otherwise engages in the conduct alleged herein with the aim and effect of suppressing Grower compensation below competitive levels. JBS USA Holdings, Inc. holds a 75.3% controlling interest in Pilgrim's. JBS USA Holdings, Inc. and Pilgrim's are subsidiaries of JBS SA, a Brazilian corporation headquartered in Sao Paulo, Brazil. Pilgrim's is the second largest Integrator in the country, operating twenty-six Complexes located throughout the United States and processing 33.1 million Broilers weekly, and accounting for more than 20% of the Broilers sold in the United States.

12. Defendant Perdue Foods, LLC ("Perdue") is a Maryland limited liability company headquartered in Salisbury, Maryland that collusively shares nonpublic information through Agri Stats and otherwise engages in the conduct alleged herein with the aim and effect of suppressing Grower compensation below competitive levels. Perdue is the third largest Integrator in the country, operating twelve Complexes located throughout the United States and processing 12.01 million Broilers weekly, and accounting for more than 7% of the Broilers sold in the United States.

13. Defendant Koch Foods, Inc. is a Delaware corporation headquartered in Park Ridge, Illinois that collusively shares nonpublic information through Agri Stats and otherwise engages in the conduct alleged herein with the aim and effect of suppressing Grower compensation below competitive levels. Koch Foods, Inc. is the fourth largest Integrator in the country, operating eight Complexes located throughout the United States and processing 12 million Broilers weekly, and accounting for more than 7% of the Broilers sold in the United States.

14. Defendant Koch Meat Co., Inc. d/b/a Koch Poultry Co. is an Illinois corporation headquartered in Park Ridge, Illinois (and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Koch Foods, Inc.)

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.