

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

MARK J. PATANE, JULIE HARDING,
HEATHER HARRIGAN, STEPHEN S.
SHAPIRO, CATHERINE PORTER, ERICA
RUSSELL, TINA MORETTI, BRIDGET
KOPET, JENNIFER S. COLE, BENJAMIN A.
FLETCHER, DIANE BOGDAN, and
PARESHKUMAR BRAHMBHATT,
Individually and on Behalf of All Others
Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

NESTLÉ WATERS NORTH AMERICA, INC.,
Defendant.

Case No. 17-cv-1381-JAM

ECF Case

CLASS ACTION

**PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM IN
OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S
MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY
JUDGMENT**

August 3, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS 1

III. ANALYSIS..... 2

A. The Court Should Deny Nestlé’s Motion As An Improper Successive Motion..... 3

B. Nestlé’s Application of Connecticut Limitations Periods and Tolling Doctrines to Plaintiffs’ Foreign Statutory Claims Is Wrong. 4

C. Nestlé Is Not Entitled to Summary Judgment on Its Limitations Defense. 10

1. Nestlé Has Failed to Carry Its Burden of Identifying Applicable Statutes of Limitation..... 10

2. Nestlé Miscalculates the Applicable Limitations Periods and Fails to Account for Numerous Applicable Tolling Doctrines..... 11

a. Consumer Protection Claims 12

b. Uniform Commercial Code (UCC)..... 17

c. Common Law Fraud 21

3. Factual Disputes Preclude Summary Judgment..... 22

a. The Record Supports Application of the Discovery Rule. 22

i. Plaintiffs Discovered Their Claims Within Applicable Limitations Periods..... 22

ii. Plaintiffs Were Not On Inquiry Notice Of Their Claims Before 2015. 23

iii. Plaintiffs Could Not Have Discovered Their Claims In The Exercise Of Reasonable Diligence. 29

b. The Record Supports Tolling Due to Fraudulent Concealment. 32

c. The Record Supports Application of Equitable Tolling Under New York and New Hampshire Law..... 36

d. The Record Supports Applying the Continuing Course of Conduct Doctrine to Toll Plaintiffs’ Claims. 38

e. In the alternative, the Court should defer ruling on all or part of Defendants’ Motion under Rule 56(d). 39

IV. CONCLUSION..... 40

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Alarmax Dist., Inc. v. New Canaan Alarm Co.</i> , 61 A.3d 1142 (Conn. Ct. App. 2013).....	18
<i>Argabright v. Rheem Mfg. Co.</i> , 258 F. Supp. 3d 470 (D.N.J. 2017).....	20
<i>Aspinall v. Philip Morris Cos., Inc.</i> , 813 N.E.2d 476 (Mass. 2004).....	9
<i>Axiom Inv. Advisors, LLC v. Deutsche Bank AG</i> , 234 F. Supp. 3d 526 (S.D.N.Y. 2017).....	20
<i>Bartner v. Carter</i> , 405 A.2d 194 (Me. 1979).....	9
<i>Bartone v. Robert L. Day Co.</i> , 656 A.2d 221 (Conn. 1995)	19
<i>Baxter v. Sturm, Ruger & Co.</i> , 644 A.2d 1297 (Conn. 1994)	5, 6, 7, 8
<i>Begley v. Windsor Surry Co.</i> , 2018 WL 1401796 (D.N.H. Mar. 19, 2018)	19, 32
<i>Belmont Condo. Ass’n, Inc. v. Geibel</i> , 74 A.3d 10 (N.J. App. Div.).....	16
<i>Bennett v. Fiorillo</i> , 2017 WL 3175937 (Conn. Super. Ct. June 16, 2017)	36
<i>Berman v. LaBonte</i> , 622 B.R. 503 (D. Conn. 2020).....	10
<i>Blackwood v. Atrium Med. Corp.</i> , 2019 WL 3779698 (D.N.H. Aug. 12, 2019).....	9, 15
<i>Blouin v. Surgical Sense, Inc.</i> , 2008 WL 2227781 (R.I. Super. Ct. May 12, 2008)	34
<i>Blue Cross Blue Shield Ass’n v. GlaxoSmithKline LLC</i> , 417 F. Supp. 3d 531 (E.D. Pa. 2019).....	21, 22, 28, 30

<i>Blue Cross of Cal. v. SmithKline Beecham Clinical Labs, Inc.</i> , 108 F. Supp. 2d 116 (D. Conn. 2000).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Bosland v. Warnock Dodge, Inc.</i> , 964 A.2d 741 (N.J. 2009).....	9
<i>Bougopoulos v. Altria Grp., Inc.</i> , 954 F. Supp. 2d 54 (D.N.H. 2013).....	12
<i>Brawn v. Oral Surgery Assocs.</i> , 819 A.2d 1014 (Me. 2003).....	13
<i>Bricker v. Putnam</i> , 512 A.2d 1094 (N.H. 1986)	15
<i>Brown v. City of Syracuse</i> , 673 F.3d 141 (2d Cir. 2012).....	3
<i>Cabrera v. Automax Preowned, Inc.</i> , 2020 WL 2198048 (Mass. Super. Ct. Feb. 27, 2020).....	19
<i>Cadoret v. Sikorsky Aircraft Corp.</i> , 323 F. Supp. 3d 319 (D. Conn. 2018).....	11
<i>Cameron v. Olin Corp.</i> , 838 F. Supp. 2d 59 (D. Conn. 2012).....	6
<i>Canfield v. FCA US LLC</i> , 2019 WL 1089798 (D. Del. Mar. 8, 2019)	33
<i>Caravaggio v. D'Agostini</i> , 765 A.2d 182 (N.J. 2001).....	16
<i>Celotex Corp. v. Catrett</i> , 477 U.S. 317 (1986).....	39
<i>Chapco v. Woodway</i> , 2018 WL 3581694 (D. Conn. July 24, 2018)	3
<i>Com. v. DeCotis</i> , 316 N.E.2d 748 (Mass. 1974).....	9
<i>Connell v. Colwell</i> , 571 A.2d 116 (Conn. 1990)	19
<i>Corinth Pellets, LLC v. Andritz, Inc.</i> , 2020 WL 5578412 (D. Me. Sept. 17, 2020)	19

<i>Corsello v. Verizon N.Y., Inc.</i> , 967 N.E.2d 1177 (N.Y. 2012).....	17
<i>Council v. Better Homes Depot, Inc.</i> , No. 04 CV 5620 NGG KAM, 2006 WL 2376381 (E.D.N.Y. Aug. 16, 2006).....	16
<i>Cover v. Windsor Surry Co.</i> , 2016 WL 520991 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 10, 2016)	21, 32
<i>Cox v. Sears Roebuck & Co.</i> , 647 A.2d 454 (N.J. 1994).....	9
<i>D'Angelo v. Miller Yacht Sales</i> , 619 A.2d 689 (N.J. App. Div. 1993).....	12
<i>Dagley v. Murphy</i> , 2014 WL 916667 (Mass App. Ct. 2014).....	31
<i>Daniels v. Esson</i> , 2001 WL 100306 (Conn. Super. Ct. Jan. 16, 2001).....	6
<i>De Sole v. Knoedler Gallery, LLC</i> , 974 F. Supp. 2d 274 (S.D.N.Y. 2013).....	16
<i>Dilworth v. Metro. Life Ins. Co.</i> , 418 F.3d 345 (3d Cir. 2005).....	17
<i>Drelles v. Mfrs. Life Ins. Co.</i> , 881 A.2d 822 (Pa. Super. Ct. 2005).....	17
<i>Drilling & Blasting Rock Specialists, Inc. v. Rheaume</i> , 147 A.3d 824 (Me. 2016).....	13
<i>Elderkin v. Gaster</i> , 288 A.2d 771 (Pa. 1972).....	7
<i>Engles v. Jones</i> , 405 F. Supp. 3d 397 (W.D.N.Y. 2019).....	37
<i>Epperson v. Entertainment Express, Inc.</i> , 338 F. Supp. 2d 328 (D. Conn. 2005).....	36
<i>Essex Ins. Co. v. William Kramer & Assocs., LLC</i> , 205 A.3d 534 (Conn. 2019)	12
<i>Evanston Ins. Co. v. William Kramer & Assocs.</i> , 890 F.3d 40 (2d Cir. 2018).....	13

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.