UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CONNETICUT

YOUT LLC,	
Plaintiff,	
VS.	
THE RECORDING INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA, INC. and DOE RECORD COMPANIES 1-10	
Defendants	

Case No. 3:20-cv-01602-SRU

December 1, 2022

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STAY DEFENDANT RIAA'S MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES

NOW COMES THE PLAINTIFF YOUT LLC ("Plaintiff' or "Yout"), by and through its attorneys, Mudd Law Offices, and files this Motion to Stay this matter with respect to Defendant The Recording Industry Association of America, Inc.'s ("RIAA") Motion for Attorneys' Fees ("Motion") pursuant to Rule 62 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and in support hereof shows the Court the following:

FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff Yout is a small, Connecticut limited liability company with its principal place of business in Hartford, Connecticut. Second Am. Compl. ¶ 2. Defendant RIAA is a large trade organization incorporated under the laws of the State of New York, headquartered in Washington D.C. that "supports and promotes the creative and financial vitality of the major music companies." Id. at ¶¶ 3-4, n. 1. Further, the RIAA reports that the recording industry it represents enjoyed \$15 billion in revenue in 2021 alone. See https://www.riaa.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/2021-Year-End-Music-Industry-Revenue-Report.pdf, last visited November 30, 2022.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 3:20-cv-01602-SRU Document 67 Filed 12/01/22 Page 2 of 9

Plaintiff Yout filed the Second Amended Complaint on September 14, 2021. <u>See</u> <u>generally</u> Pl.'s Second Am. Compl., ECF No. 45. Defendant RIAA answered and filed a Motion to Dismiss on October 20, 2021. <u>See generally</u> Defs. Mot. Dismiss, ECF No. 48. On December 1, 2021, Plaintiff Yout filed its Response in Opposition to Defendant RIAA's Motion to Dismiss. <u>See generally</u> Pl.'s Resp. Opp'n to Defs.' Mot. Dismiss, ECF No. 55. On January 5, 2022, Defendant RIAA filed its Reply in Support of Defendant RIAA's Motion to Dismiss. Defs.' Reply Supp. Defs.' Mot. Dismiss, ECF No. 56. On September 30, 2022, the Court granted Plaintiff RIAA's Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice. ECF No. 61-62. Plaintiff Yout filed a Notice of Appeal on October 20, 2022. ECF No. 63. Then, on October 31, 2022, Plaintiff RIAA filed their Motion for Attorneys' Fees. ECF No. 65.

LEGAL ARGUMENT

The district court has the discretionary power to stay proceedings pursuant to its "inherent power to control its docket." <u>Miller v. City of Ithaca</u>, Docket No. 3:10-cv-00597 (BKS/DEP), 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 57184, at *4 (N.D.N.Y. Apr. 3, 2019) (citing <u>Landis v. N.</u> <u>Am. Co.</u>, 299 U.S. 248, 254-55, 57 S. Ct. 163, 81 L. Ed. 153 (1936)). Absent a bond, the Court has discretion to grant the requested stay. <u>See</u> FRCP 62. To determine whether a stay of attorneys' fees pending appeal is appropriate, district courts in the Second Circuit look to four factors: "(1) whether the stay applicant has made a strong showing that it is likely to succeed on the merits; (2) whether the applicant will be irreparably injured absent a stay; (3) whether issuance of the stay will substantially injure the other parties interested in the proceeding; and (4) where the public interest lies." <u>Estevez v. Berkeley College</u>, Docket No. 18-CV-10350 (CS), 2022 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 100828, at *3 (S.D.N.Y. June 6, 2022) (quoting <u>In re World Trade Ctr.</u> <u>Disaster Site Litig.</u>, 503 F.3d 167, 170 (2d. Cir. 2007)); <u>see also Nken v. Holder</u>, 556 U.S. 418,

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Case 3:20-cv-01602-SRU Document 67 Filed 12/01/22 Page 3 of 9

434 (2009). Further, courts look at the factors like a sliding scale and "more of one [factor]] excuses less of the other." Mohammed v. Reno, 309 F.3d 95, 101 (2d Cir. 2002).

A. The Public Interest Weighs Significantly in Favor of a Stay of Attorneys' Fees Pending Appeal.

"Copyright law ultimately serves the purpose of enriching the general public through access to creative works." <u>Fogerty v. Fantasy, Inc.</u>, 510 U.S. 517, 527 (1994); <u>Warner Bros.</u> <u>Entm't Inc. v. RDR Books</u>, 575 F. Supp. 2d 513, 553 (S.D.N.Y 2008) ("to serve the public interest, copyright law must prevent the misappropriation of the skills, creative energies, and resources which are invested in the protected work."); <u>see generally</u> U.S. Const. Art. 1, s. 8, c. 8 ("to promote the progress of science and useful arts..."). Thus, generally, allowing Yout to proceed on an appeal related to a novel question in Copyright law serves the public interest.

More specifically, many content creators use Plaintiff Yout's services to record their own original videos and they further encourage their audience and fans to use Plaintiff Yout to record and play back their original content. Second Am. Compl. ¶¶ 80 - 81. Given the substantial reputational and monetary harm, as discussed above, Plaintiff Yout will be unable to continue to provide the Yout service that allows content creators the ability to record and create original content if it is not allowed to appeal the Court's holding. Id.

As noted throughout this litigation, Yout's service is based on a modified version of the open-source project youtube-dll. <u>See, e.g.</u>, ECF Doc. 45, n5. Most significantly, it is in the public interest that the appellate court determines if services such as Yout, and others that are also based upon the open-source software project youtube-dll (whether commercially exploited or coded and deployed by individual users) constitute circumvention pursuant to the DMCA as held by this Court. The legality of software based on youtube-dll has not been fully litigated and

Case 3:20-cv-01602-SRU Document 67 Filed 12/01/22 Page 4 of 9

reviewed by any appellate court on the merits thus far. As explained in the Wikipedia entry for youtube-dll:

youtube-dl is a free and open-source download manager for video and audio from YouTube and over 1,000 other video hosting websites. It is released under the Unlicense software license. As of September 2021, youtube-dl is one of the most starred projects on GitHub, with over 100,000 stars. According to libraries.io, 308 other packages and 1.43k repositories depend on it.

See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Youtube-dl, last viewed on November 30, 2022

(citations omitted).

Given that the reach of this Court's holding in this matter goes far beyond just this one small business software provider, it is in the public interest that the Second Circuit reviews the merits on appeal. This important and necessary review will be crippled if the instant matter concerning attorneys' fees is not stayed. This factor weighs strongly in favor of granting a stay and as such, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court grant Plaintiff Yout's Motion to Stay this matter, specifically with respect to attorneys' fees and costs pending appeal.

B. Plaintiff Yout Will Be Irreparably Harmed Absent a Stay of Attorneys' Fees Pending Appeal; and a Stay Will Not Substantially Harm Defendant RIAA

To demonstrate irreparable harm such that a stay is necessary, a party must show that it will suffer injury which "cannot be remedied" absent a stay. <u>Grand River Enter, Six Nations,</u> <u>Ltd. v. Pryor, 481 F.3d 60, 65 (2d. Cir. 2007).</u> "Irreparable harm is 'injury that is neither remote nor speculative, but actual and imminent and that cannot be remedied by an award of monetary damages." <u>New York ex rel. Schneiderman v. Actavis PLC</u>, 787 F.3d 638, 660 (2d. Cir. 2015) (quoting <u>Forest City Daly Hous., Inc. v. Town of North Hempstead</u>, 175 F.3d 144, 153 (2d Cir. 1999). Further, "irreparable harm exists 'where, but for the grant of equitable relief, there is a substantial chance that upon final resolution of the action the parties cannot be returned to the

Case 3:20-cv-01602-SRU Document 67 Filed 12/01/22 Page 5 of 9

positions they previously occupied." <u>United States SEC v. Daspin</u>, 557 F. App'x 46, 48 (2d Cir. 2014) (citations omitted).

In the instant case, Plaintiff Yout created and operates a service by which a person can enter a url linked to publicly accessible, unencrypted Web Content in certain limited formats and create a personal copy on the person's computing device without storing any of the Web Content on Yout's platform or service. Second Am. Compl. ¶¶ 34 – 35. Many content creators use Plaintiff Yout's services to record their own original videos and they further encourage their audience and fans to use Yout to record and play back their original content. Id. at ¶¶ 80 – 81. Defendant RIAA sent multiple DMCA notices to Google with the purpose of causing Google to delist Yout's software platform. Id. at ¶¶ 83 – 88, 143, Exs. A-C (copies of notices). Defendant RIAA's DMCA notices have blocked Yout users' ability to use the service with all works, not just those unidentified works of RIAA's members companies. Id. at ¶¶ 82 – 89. 16, 141.

Defendant RIAA's DMCA Notices have caused third parties to believe it is engaging in illegal and unlawful conduct. <u>Id.</u> at ¶ 108. In response, Yout's customers cancelled their subscriptions, and PayPal shut down Yout's account, all causing Yout significant damages. <u>Id.</u> at ¶ 108 - 111.

Additionally, the Yout service is the entirety of Plaintiff Yout's business and sole source of revenue, and currently it is not fully generating income because of the RIAA's actions. <u>See</u> <u>generally</u> Second Am. Compl. In <u>Silverstein v. Penguin Putnam, Inc.</u>, where the defendant was accused of copyright infringement based on a book of poems, the court held that removing the book from the marketplace and ceasing its sales will undeniably cause injury. <u>Silverstein v.</u> <u>Penguin Putnam, Inc.</u>, 2003 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 9901, at *4-5 (S.D.N.Y. June 11, 2003). However, the court found that the defendant would not be irreparably injured absent a stay because, as the

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.