UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

Case No.: 21-cv-00869

SUBWAY PROTEIN LITIGATION CORP., AS LITIGATION TRUSTEE OF THE SUBWAY® PROTEIN LITIGATION TRUST,

Plaintiff,

v.

AGRI STATS, INC., CLEMENS FOOD GROUP, LLC, THE CLEMENS FAMILY CORPORATION, HORMEL FOODS CORPORATION, HORMEL FOODS, LLC, JBS USA FOOD COMPANY, SEABOARD FOODS LLC, SMITHFIELD FOODS, INC., TRIUMPH FOODS, LLC, TYSON FOODS, INC., TYSON PREPARED FOODS, INC., AND TYSON FRESH MEATS, INC.,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL



Table of Contents

I.		NATURE OF ACTION	1
II.		JURISDICTION AND VENUE	4
III.		PARTIES	5
A.	Pla	intiff	5
B.	De	fendants	6
	(i)	Agri Stats	6
	(ii)	Clemens	6
	(iii)	Hormel	7
	(iv)	JBS	8
	(v)	Seaboard	8
	(vi)	Smithfield	9
	(vii)	Triumph	9
	(viii)	Tyson	9
C.	Co	-Conspirators	10
IV.		FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS	11
A.	Ag	ri Stats' central role in collusion in the Broiler industry	12
B.	Ag	ri Stats markets its collusive scheme to Defendants.	13
	oduct	gri Stats provided the Other Defendants the unique ability to monitor pricing and ion and discipline co-conspirators that did not comply with the anticompetitive ent.	16
D. all		fendants controlled the supply and production of pork in the United States, which the scheme to succeed.	26
E. sc		e level of concentration in the pork industry was optimal for Defendants' collusive	31
F.	Th	e inelastic demand for, and homogeneity of, pork products facilitated collusion	36
G.	. De	fendants took advantage of numerous opportunities to collude	37
Н.	. De	fendants implemented capacity and supply restraints during the Relevant Period	45
I.		onormal pricing during the Relevant Period demonstrates the success of the collusive	
J. ha		rercharges due to the cartel were reflected in higher pork prices than what they would en absent the conspiratorial activity.	
K.		e results of the DOJ's criminal investigation in the Broilers industry support an ee of the existence of a similar conspiracy in the pork industry	72



L.	Plaintiff's claims are timely.	73
V.	ANTITRUST IMPACT	78
VI.	COUNT I: VIOLATION OF SECTION 1 OF THE SHERMAN ACT	80
VII.	REQUEST FOR RELIEF	81
VIII	IURV TRIAL DEMANDED	82



Plaintiff¹ Subway Protein Litigation Corp., as litigation trustee of the Subway® Protein Litigation Trust, brings this action against the Defendants identified below, for their illegal conspiracy, which increased the prices of pork sold in the United States beginning at least as early as 2009 and continuing through the present. Plaintiff brings this action for treble damages and injunctive relief under Section 1 of the Sherman Act.

I. NATURE OF ACTION

- 1. Defendants—other than Agri Stats—are the leading suppliers of pork in an industry with more than \$20 billion in annual sales. The United States pork industry is highly concentrated, with a small number of large companies controlling supply. Together with their co-conspirators, Defendants collectively control approximately 80% of the wholesale pork market.
- 2. Defendant Agri Stats, Inc. ("Agri Stats") is a specialized information-sharing service that, among other things, obtains data from participating industry producers and develops comprehensive reports based on that data. Agri Stats provides its reports and findings to the participating industry producers.
- 3. Defendants Clemens Food Group, LLC, The Clemens Family Corporation ("Clemens"); Hormel Foods Corporation and Hormel Foods, LLC ("Hormel"); JBS USA Food Company ("JBS"); Seaboard Foods LLC ("Seaboard"); Smithfield Foods, Inc. ("Smithfield"); Triumph Foods, LLC ("Triumph"); and Tyson Foods, Inc., Tyson Prepared Foods, Inc., and Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc. ("Tyson") (collectively referred to at times as "pork integrator Defendants") and Agri Stats entered into a conspiracy from at least 2009 through the present (the "Relevant Period") to fix, raise, maintain, and stabilize the price of pork.² Defendants implemented their conspiracy

² For purposes of this complaint, "pork" includes meat from hogs or domestic swine, fresh or frozen, smoked ham, sausage, and bacon.



¹ "Plaintiff", as used herein, shall include Assignors identified in Paragraph 15 where appropriate.

by, among other things, coordinating with each other to restrict output and limit production, with the intended purpose and expected result of increasing and stabilizing pork prices in the United States. In furtherance of the conspiracy, Defendants exchanged detailed, competitively sensitive, and closely guarded non-public information about prices, capacity, sales volume, and demand, including through their co-conspirator, Defendant Agri Stats.

- 4. Beginning at least as early as 2009 through present, Agri Stats began providing highly sensitive benchmarking reports to the pork integrator Defendants. Benchmarking allows competitors to compare their profits or performance against that of other companies. However, Agri Stats reports are unlike those of other lawful industry reports. Agri Stats gathers detailed financial and production data from each of the pork integrator Defendants and their Co-Conspirator Indiana Packers, standardizes this information, and produces customized reports and graphs for the conspirators. The type of information available in these reports is not the type of information that competitors would provide to one another in a normal, competitive market.
- 5. Agri Stats collected the pork integrator Defendants' competitively sensitive supply and pricing data and intentionally shared that information through the detailed reports it provided them. On at least a monthly basis, and often far more frequently (e.g., weekly or every other week), Agri Stats provides the pork integrator Defendants with current and forward-looking sensitive information (such as profits, costs, prices, and slaughter information), and regularly provides the keys to deciphering which data belongs to which participant. The effect of this information exchange allowed Defendants to coordinate their anticompetitive conduct, monitor each other's production, and thereby control pork supply and price in furtherance of their anticompetitive scheme.

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

