IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

GENENTECH, INC.,)
Plaintiff,)) C.A. No. 18-924-CFC
v.	
AMGEN INC.)
) CORRECTED VERSION
Defendant.) FILED: October 23, 2019
)
) PUBLIC VERSION FILED: October 24, 2019

GENENTECH'S OPENING BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF GENENTECH'S MOTION TO STRIKE AMGEN'S ELEVENTH AND TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES (AND DISMISS, OR ALTERNATIVELY, STRIKE ASSOCIATED COUNTERCLAIMS)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

NATU	URE A	ND ST	TAGE OF PROCEEDINGS	ii	
LEGA	AL STA	ANDA	RD	3	
ARG	UMEN	JT		5	
I.	The Court Should Reject Amgen's Effort To Inject An Improper Inventorship Or Derivation Defense Into This Case At This Late Stage				
	A.	Amge	Amgen Cannot Establish Good Cause Under Rule 16(b)(4) 5		
	B.	Amge	en Also Fails To Meet The Rule 15(a) Standard	.13	
		1.	Amgen Unduly Delayed	.14	
		2.	Amgen's Amendment Would Burden The Court And Unfairly Prejudice Genentech.		
		3.	Amgen's Amendment Is Futile	.15	
II.	The Court Should Also Reject Amgen's Separate Effort To Inject An Inequitable Conduct Defense Into This Case Now.			.17	
	A.	Amge	en Cannot Establish Good Cause Under Rule 16(b)(4)	.18	
	B.	Amge	en Also Fails To Meet The Rule 15(a) Standard	.21	
		1.	Amgen Unduly Delayed	.21	
		2.	Amgen's Amendment Would Burden The Court And Unfairly Prejudice Genentech.		
		3.	Amgen's Proposed Amendment Is Futile	.23	
CON	CLUS	ION		.27	



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Federal Cases Page(s	s)
Akzo N.V. v. ITC, 808 F.2d 1471 (Fed. Cir. 1986)2	24
Am. Axle & Mfg., Inc. v. Neapco Holdings LLC, No. CV 15-1168-LPS, 2017 WL 3888228 (D. Del. Sept. 6, 2017)11, 2	21
Applied Mats., Inc. v. Adv. Semiconductor Mats. Am. Inc., C.A. No. 92-20643-RMW, 1994 WL 270714 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 19, 1994)	27
Asahi Glass Co., Ltd. v. Guardian Indus. Corp., 276 F.R.D. 417 (D. Del. 2011)14, 2	21
Bos. Sci. Corp. v. Edwards Lifesciences Corp., No. CV 16-275-JFB-SRF, 2018 WL 5043754 (D. Del. Sept. 19, 2018)	21
Carrier Corp. v. Goodman Glob., Inc., 49 F. Supp. 3d 430	8
Compagnie Des Grands Hotels D'Afrique S.A. v. Starwood Capital Group Global I LLC, No. CV 18-654-RGA, 2019 WL 4740083 (D. Del. Sept. 27, 2019)	9
Cumberland Pharm. Inc. v. Mylan Institutional LLC, 846 F.3d 1213 (Fed. Cir. 2017)1	5
Cureton v. Nat'l Collegiate Athletic Ass'n, 252 F.3d 267 (3d Cir. 2001)	.4
Digital Control, Inc. v. Charles Mach. Works, 437 F.3d 1309 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	23
E.E.O.C. v. Morgan Stanley & Co., Inc., 211 FR D 225 (S D N Y 2002)	3



E. Minerals & Chems. Co. v. Mahan, 225 F.3d 330 (3d Cir. 2000)
Eaton Corp. v. Rockwell Intern. Corp., 323 F.3d 1344-45 (Fed. Cir. 2003)
Elite Entm't, Inc. v. Khela Bros. Entm't, 227 F.R.D. 444 (E.D.Va.2005)
Foman v. Davis, 371 U.S. 178 (1962)
Gambro Lundia AB v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., 110 F.3d 1573 (Fed. Cir. 1997)15
<i>iCeutica Pty Ltd v. Novitium Pharma LLC</i> , No. CV 18-599-CFC, 2019 WL 4604029 (D. Del. Sept. 23, 2019)
Innogenetics, N.V. v. Abbott Laboratories, 512 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2008)24
Jo A. Yochum v. FJW Inv., Inc., 715 F. App'x 174 (3d Cir. 2017)
Koken v. GPC Int'l, Inc., 443 F. Supp. 2d 631 (D. Del. 2006)
Monec Holding AG v. Motorola Mobility, Inc., No. CV 11-798-LPS-SRF, 2013 WL 12218319 (D. Del. Nov. 5, 2013)
Pfizer Inc. v. Teva Pharm. U.S.A., Inc., 882 F. Supp. 2d 643 (D. Del. 2012), aff'd sub nom. Pfizer Inc. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc., 555 F. App'x 961 (Fed. Cir. 2014)
Pfizer Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc., No. 12-654-GMS, 2013 WL 5934635 (D. Del. Nov. 4, 2013)14, 21
Rothman v. Target Corp., 556 F.3d 1310 (Fed. Cir. 2009)24
Scott v. Vantage Corp., 336 F. Supp. 3d 366



Sepracor Inc. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc., No. 09–cv–01302 (DMC)(MF), 2010 WL 2326262 (D.N.J. June 7, 2010)	24 25
Shane v. Fauver, 213 F.3d 113 (3d Cir. 2000)	
Sirona Dental Sys. Inc. v. Dental Imaging Techs. Corp.,	
No. 10-288-GMS, 2012 WL 3929949 (D. Del. Sept. 10, 2012)	3, 4
216 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	15
649 F.3d 1276 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	23, 24
Young v. Lumenis, Inc., 492 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	24



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

