

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

GENENTECH, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AMGEN, INC.

Defendant.

Case No. 18-924-CFC

**JOINT MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF
THE PARTIES' PROPOSED JURY INSTRUCTIONS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Proposed Instruction 2: The Parties and Their Contentions.....	1
II.	Proposed Instruction 3: Burdens of Proof	3
III.	Proposed Instruction 4.2: Independent and Dependent Claims	3
IV.	Proposed Instruction 5.1: Infringement Generally.....	4
V.	Proposed Instruction 5.2: Direct Infringement.....	5
VI.	Proposed Instruction 5.3: Induced Infringement.....	6
VII.	Proposed Instruction 5.4: Infringement By Filing Biologics License Application.....	14
VIII.	Proposed Instruction 5.5: Determining Whether Third Parties Had an Implied License to Practice the Dosing Patents.....	15
IX.	Proposed Instruction 6.1: Invalidity Generally	18
X.	Proposed Instruction 6.2: Presumption of Validity	19
XI.	Proposed Instruction 6.3: Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	20
XII.	Proposed Instruction 6.4: The Written Description Requirement.....	21
XIII.	Proposed Instruction 6.5: The Enablement Requirement	23
XIV.	Proposed Instruction 6.6: Indefiniteness	23
XV.	Proposed Instruction 6.7: Prior Art and Public Use	23
XVI.	Proposed Instruction 6.8: Anticipation.....	25
XVII.	Proposed Instruction 6.9: Obviousness	26
XVIII.	Proposed Instruction 6.10-6.11: Derivation and Incorrect Inventorship ..	29
XIX.	Proposed Instruction 6.12: Inequitable Conduct	33
XX.	Proposed Instruction 7.1: Damages – Generally	33
XXI.	Proposed Instruction 7.2: Kinds of Damages That May Be Recovered ..	34
XXII.	Proposed Instruction 7.3: Attribution/Appportionment & Proposed Instruction 7.16: Reasonable Royalty – Attribution/Appportionment	36
XXIII.	Proposed Instruction 7.4: Lost Profits – “But-For” Test.....	42
XXIV.	Proposed Instruction 7.5: Lost Profits – Factors	43
XXV.	Proposed Instruction 7.7: Lost Profits – Acceptable Non-Infringing Substitutes	44
XXVI.	Proposed Instruction 7.8: Lost Profits – Market Share	45
XXVII.	Proposed Instruction 7.10: Lost Profits – Amount of Profit	47

XXVIII. Proposed Instruction 7.11: Price Erosion	49
XXIX. Proposed Instruction 7.21: Damages – Doubts Resolved Against Infringer	50
XXX. Proposed Instruction 8: Willful Infringement	50
XXXI. Preliminary Instructions	53

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
 CASES	
<i>Acorda Therapeutics, Inc. v. Roxane Laboratories, Inc.</i> , 903 F.3d 1310 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	27, 28
<i>Amgen Inc. v. Sandoz Inc.</i> , 923 F.3d 1023 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	4, 5
<i>Ariad Pharm., Inc. v. Eli Lilly & Co.</i> , 598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	22
<i>Aro Mfg. Co. v. Convertible Top Replacement Co.</i> , 377 US 476 (1964)	47
<i>Arthrocare Corp. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> , 406 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	10
<i>Aspex Eyewear Inc. v. Clariti Eyewear, Inc.</i> , 605 F.3d 1305 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	54
<i>AstraZeneca LP v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 633 F.3d 1042 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	13
<i>Augustine Med., Inc. v. Progressive Dynamics, Inc.</i> , 194 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....	17
<i>Bayer AG. v. Biovail Corp.</i> , 279 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	4
<i>Carborundum Co. v. Molten Metal Equip. Innovations, Inc.</i> , 72 F.3d 872 (Fed. Cir. 1995).....	16, 17, 18
<i>Celltrion v. Genentech</i> , IPR2017-01373.....	42
<i>Chiron Corp. v. Genentech, Inc.</i> , 363 F.3d 1247 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	19, 20
<i>Cumberland Pharms. Inc. v. Mylan Institutional LLC</i> , 846 F.3d 1213 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	30
<i>Demaco Corp. v. F. Von Langsdorff Licensing Ltd.</i> , 851 F.2d 1387 (Fed. Cir. 1988).....	28
<i>DSU Med. Corp. v. JMS Co.</i> , 471 F.3d 1293 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	8, 9
<i>Dynacore Holding v. U.S. Philips</i> , 363 F.3d 1263 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	10, 11

...

<i>Eko Brands, LLC v. Adrian Rivera Maynez Enterprises, Inc.</i> , 946 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	52
<i>Eko Brands v. Adrian Rivera Maynez Enterprises</i> , No. 2018-2215, 2020 WL 130439 (Fed. Cir. Jan. 13, 2020).....	53
<i>Erfindergemeinschaft UroPep GbR v. Eli Lilly and Company</i> , No. 2:15-CV-1202, 2017 WL 959592 (E.D.Tex. Mar. 13, 2017).....	20
<i>Ericsson, Inc. v. D-Link Sys., Inc.</i> , 773 F.3d 1201 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	9, 40
<i>Ex parte Andresen</i> , 212 USPQ 100 (Bd. App. 1981)	30
<i>Exergen v. Wal-Mart Stores</i> , 575 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	8
<i>F'Real Foods, LLC v. Hamilton Beach Brands, Inc.</i> , No. 1:16-cv-00041-CFC.....	21
<i>Galderma Labs., L.P. v. Tolmar, Inc.</i> , 737 F.3d 731 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	29
<i>Gambro Lundia AB v. Baxter Healthcare Corp.</i> , 110 F.3d 1573 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	30
<i>Garretson v. Clark</i> , 111 U.S. 120 (1884)	39
<i>Glaxo, Inc. v. Novopharm, Ltd.</i> , 110 F.3d 1562 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	4
<i>GlaxoSmithKline LLC v. Glenmark Pharms. Inc.</i> , 2017 WL 8948975 (D. Del. May 30, 2017).....	47
<i>GlaxoSmithKline LLC v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.</i> , 313 F.Supp.3d 582 (D. Del. 2018)	10, 11
<i>Grain Processing Corp. v. Am. Maize-Prod. Co.</i> , 185 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	45
<i>Halo Elecs., Inc. v. Pulse Elecs., Inc.</i> , 136 S. Ct. 1923 (2016)	52
<i>Hauser, Inc. v. Hawk Measurement Sys. Pty., Ltd.</i> , 122 F.3d 1040 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....	21
<i>HZNP Medicines LLC v. Actavis Labs. UT, Inc.</i> , 940 F.3d 680 (Fed. Cir. 2019)	13, 14

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.