### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE ZYNERBA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. DERIVATIVE LITIGATION

Lead Case No. 20-cv-557-CFC

#### BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT

Dated: May 7, 2021

Of Counsel:

DOCKF

RM

Garam Choe BRAGAR EAGEL & SQUIRE, P.C. 810 Seventh Avenue, Suite 620 New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 355-4648

Timothy Brown THE BROWN LAW FIRM, P.C. 240 Townsend Square Oyster Bay, NY 11771 Telephone: (516) 922-5427

Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs

P. Bradford deLeeuw (#3569) DELEEUW LAW LLC 1301 Walnut Green Road Wilmington, DE 19807 Telephone: (302) 274-2180

Brian E. Farnan (#4089) Michael J. Farnan (#5165) FARNAN LLP 919 N. Market St., 12th Floor Wilmington, DE 19801 Telephone: (302) 777-0300

Co-Liaison Counsel for Plaintiffs

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	NATURE AND STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS1			
II.	STATEMENT OF FACTS			
	A.		al Background and Procedural History of the vative Matters	3
	B.	Settle	ement Negotiations	6
	C.	Term	s of the Settlement	8
III.	THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT WARRANTS PRELIMINARY APPROVAL11			
	A.	The I	Role of the Court in the Approval of a Derivative Settlement	11
	B.	The S	Settlement Falls Within the Range of Possible Approval	12
		i.	The Settlement Confers Substantial Benefits Upon Zynerba	13
		ii.	The Complexity, Expense, and Likely Duration of Continued Litigation	14
		iii.	The Stage of the Proceedings and Discovery	15
		iv.	The Risks of Establishing Liability and Damages and the Reasonableness of the Settlement in Light of the Risks and the Best Possible Recovery	16
IV.	THE COURT SHOULD APPROVE THE FORM AND MANNER OF NOTICE			18
V.	PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF EVENTS19			19
VI.	CONCLUSION			

## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

### Cases

In re AOL Time Warner S'holder Derivative Litig., 2006 WL 2572114 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 6, 2006)14
<i>In re AT &amp; T Corp.</i> , 455 F.3d 160 (3d Cir. 2006)17
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Bolger</i> , 2 F.3d 1304 (3d Cir. 1993)12
Bushansky v. Armacost, No. 12-CV-01597-JST, 2014 WL 2905143 (N.D. Cal. June 25, 2014)19
In re Caremark Int'l Inc. Derivative Litig., 698 A.2d 959 (Del. Ch. 1996)17
<i>Cohn v. Nelson</i> , 375 F. Supp. 2d 844 (E.D. Mo. 2005)14
Gates v. Rohm & Haas Co., 248 F.R.D. 434 (E.D. Pa. 2008)12
<i>Girsh v. Jepson</i> , 521 F.2d 153 (3d Cir. 1975) 12, 13, 16, 18
In re GMC Pick-Up Truck Fuel Tank Prods. Liab. Litig., 55 F.3d 768 (3d Cir. 1995)11
In re Johnson & Johnson Derivative Litig., 900 F. Supp. 2d 467 (D.N.J. 2012)
<i>Kamen v. Kemper Fin. Servs.</i> , 500 U.S. 90 (1991)17
<i>Maher v. Zapata Corp.</i> , 714 F.2d 436 (5th Cir. 1983)15
<i>Mills v. Electric Auto-Lite Co.</i> , 396 U.S. 375 (1970)14

Mullane v. Cent. Hanover Bank & Tr. Co., 339 U.S. 306 (1950)
<i>Pfeiffer v. Toll</i> , 989 A.2d 683 (Del. Ch. 2010)17
<i>In re Rambus Inc. Derivative Litig.</i> , No. C 06-3513 JF (HRL), 2009 WL 166689 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 20, 2009)19
<i>In re Sch. Asbestos Litig.</i> , 921 F.2d 1330 (3d Cir. 1990)15
<i>Shlensky v. Dorsey</i> , 574 F.2d 131 (3d Cir. 1978)13
<i>TBK Partners, Ltd v. W. Union Corp.</i> , 675 F.2d 456 (2d Cir. 1982)15
<i>Tenuto v. Transworld Sys., Inc.</i> , No. Civ. A 99-4228, 2001 WL 1347235 (E.D. Pa. Oct. 31, 2001) 12, 14
<i>Thomas v. NCO Fin. Sys., Inc.,</i> No. 00–5118, 2002 WL 1773035 (E.D. Pa. July 31, 2002)12
Unite Nat'l Ret. Fund v. Watts, 2005 WL 2877899 (D.N.J. Oct. 28, 2005)16
<i>Vinh Du v. Blackford</i> , No. 17-cv-194, 2018 WL 4691046 (D. Del. Sept. 28, 2018) 13, 15
Other Authorities
"Use of Electronic Media for Delivery Purposes," SEC Release No. 33-7233, 60 Fed. Reg. 53458, 53459 (Oct. 6, 1995)19
7C CHARLES ALAN WRIGHT, ARTHUR R. MILLER & MARY KAY KANE, FEDERAL PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE: CIVIL 3D §1839 (2007)11
Manual for Complex Litigation §23.14 (4th ed. 2019)12

Plaintiffs Phillip Quartararo ("Quartararo") and Dmitry Itkis ("Itkis") (together, the "Derivative Plaintiffs") in the above-captioned action respectfully submit this Brief in support of Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Settlement (the "Motion").<sup>1</sup> Defendants do not oppose the relief sought in the Motion, but any analysis and characterizations in this Motion of the litigation and of the Settlement that are beyond facts taken from the record of this action are provided only on behalf of Plaintiffs and do not necessarily reflect the views of Defendants.

## I. NATURE AND STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

Derivative Plaintiffs' claims brought on behalf of Zynerba Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("Zynerba" or the "Company") arise from alleged breaches of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, waste of corporate assets, and violations of Sections 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), and seek contribution under Sections 10(b) and 21D of the Exchange Act. On April 28, 2021, after extensive, arm's-length negotiations overseen by a nationally reputed mediator, Michelle Yoshida of Phillips ADR (the "Mediator"), the Parties reached a Settlement in the Derivative Action resolving Derivative Plaintiffs' claims. The Settlement also

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Unless otherwise defined herein, all capitalized terms have the same meaning as those set forth in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated April 28, 2021 (the "Stipulation") attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Brian E. Farnan in support of the Motion.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.