

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

SCOTT GILMORE *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

v.

MONSANTO COMPANY,

Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

C.A. No. 20-1085-MN

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND FOR CERTIFICATION OF THE
CLASS FOR THE PURPOSES OF SETTLEMENT**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 1

FACTS 2

A. Background and Procedural History 2

1. Allegations in the Actions 3

2. The *Ezcurra* Action..... 4

3. The Related Actions 6

4. This Action..... 7

5. Mediation and Settlement Negotiations..... 10

6. The *Tomlinson* Action..... 12

B. The Key Terms of the Settlement 13

1. The Settlement Class..... 13

2. Relief to the Class Members 14

a. Monetary Relief: Up to \$45 Million Cash Consideration14

b. Class Notice and Administration Costs14

c. Service Awards and Attorneys’ Fees and Costs15

d. Payment of Class Members’ Claims.....16

3. The Release 17

4. Procedures for Opting Out or Objecting..... 17

LEGAL STANDARDS 18

ARGUMENT 19

I. THE NATIONWIDE SETTLEMENT CLASS SHOULD BE CERTIFIED..... 19

A. The Numerosity Requirement of Rule 23(a)(1) Is Satisfied..... 19

B. The Commonality Requirement of Rule 23(a)(2) Is Satisfied..... 19

C. The Typicality Requirement of Rule 23(a)(3) Is Satisfied..... 20

D. The Adequacy Requirement of Rule 23(a)(4) Is Satisfied..... 20

E. Class Certification Is Appropriate Under Rule 23(b) 22

1. Common Questions of Law and Fact Predominate 22

2. Settlement Is the Superior Method for Resolving This Controversy..... 23

II. THE SETTLEMENT SHOULD BE PRELIMINARILY APPROVED 24

A. The Settlement Is Entitled to a Presumption of Fairness 24

B. The Settlement Is Fair, Reasonable, and Adequate..... 26

1. The Relevant *Girsh* Factors Support Approval of the Settlement 28

a.	The Complexity, Expense, and Likely Duration of the Litigation.....	28
b.	The Reaction of the Potential Class Members to the Settlement.....	29
c.	The Stage of the Proceedings and the Amount of Discovery Completed.....	29
d.	The Risks of Establishing Liability and Damages	30
e.	The Risks of Maintaining Class Action Status Through Trial	32
f.	The Ability of Defendants to Withstand a Greater Judgment	33
g.	The Range of Reasonableness of the Settlement Fund in Light of the Best- Possible Recovery and in Light of All the Attendant Risks of Litigation	34
2.	The <i>Prudential</i> and Direct Benefit (<i>Baby Products</i>) Considerations Favor Approval	34
a.	The maturity of the underlying substantive issues and related factors bearing on the probable outcome of a trial favors approval	35
b.	Class Members have the right to opt-out of the Settlements	36
c.	The procedure for processing individual claims under the Settlements is fair and reasonable.....	36
d.	The Degree of Direct Benefit Provided to the Class.....	38
III.	THE NOTICES AND NOTICE PLAN SHOULD BE APPROVED	38
	CONCLUSION.....	39

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Alves v. Main</i> , 2012 WL 6043272 (D.N.J. Dec. 4, 2012) <i>aff'd</i> , 559 F. App'x 151 (3d Cir. 2014).....	5
<i>In re Am. Investors Life Ins. Co. Annuity Marketing and Sales Practices Litig.</i> , 263 F.R.D. 226 (E.D. Pa. 2009).....	24
<i>Amchem Prod., Inc. v. Windsor</i> , 521 U.S. 591 (1997).....	22
<i>In re Baby Prod. Antitrust Litig.</i> , 708 F. 3d 163 (3d Cir. 2013).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Beneli v. BCA Fin. Servs., Inc.</i> , 324 F.R.D. 89 (D.N.J. 2018).....	23
<i>Biddle v. Lowe's Home Centers LLC</i> , No. 50-2019-CC-011405 (filed Aug. 27, 2019)	6
<i>Boyette et al v. Lowe's Companies, Inc.</i> , No. 4:19-cv-04119 (W.D. Ark.) (filed Sept. 13, 2019)	7
<i>In re Budeprion XL Mktg. & Sales Litig.</i> , 2012 WL 2527021 (E.D. Pa. July 2, 2012).....	25
<i>Carson v. Monsanto</i> , 4:17-cv-237 (S.D. Ga.), Dkt. 49.....	31
<i>In re Cendant Corp. Litig.</i> , 264 F.3d 201 (3d Cir.2001).....	29, 30
<i>In re Comcast Corp. Set-Top Cable TV Box Antitrust Litig.</i> , 2019 WL 4645331 (E.D. Pa. Sept. 24, 20019)	28
<i>In Re Comcast</i> , 2018 WL 4252463	38
<i>Cupit v. Dry Basement, Inc.</i> , 592 S.W.3d 417 (Mo. Ct. App. 2020).....	13
<i>Ezcurra et al v. Monsanto Co.</i> , No. 9:20-cv-80524 (S.D. Fla.) (filed 2/19/2020)	<i>passim</i>

Ezcurra v. Monsanto
 (11th Cir. Jan. 28, 2021)31

Ezcurra v. Monsanto Co.,
 2020 WL 5491428 (S.D. Fla. Aug. 7, 2020).....6

Ezcurra v. Monsanto Co.,
 Case No. 9:20-cv-80524-DMM (S.D. Fla.)4, 5, 10

Fagundes v. The Home Depot,
 No. 0:20-cv-61035 (S.D. Fla.) (filed Mar. 21, 2020).....7

Fitzgerald v. Gann Law Books,
 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 174567 (D.N.J. Dec. 7, 2014).....38

In re Gen. Motors Corp. Pick-Up Truck Fuel Tank Prods. Liab. Litig.,
 55 F.3d 768 (3d Cir.1995).....21, 24, 28, 32

Girsh v. Jepson,
 521 F.2d 153 (3d Cir. 1975)..... *passim*

In re: Google Inc. Cookie Placement Consumer Priv. Litig.,
 934 F.3d 316 (3d Cir. 2019).....27, 34, 35, 38

Gregorio et al v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc.,
 No. CACE-21-002428 (filed Feb. 4, 2021)7

Hanna et al v. Walmart, Inc.,
 CIV SB 2100789 (Sup. Ct. Cal. for San Bernardino) (filed Jan. 12, 2021)
 (*Hanna II*)7

Hanna et al v. Walmart Inc.,
 No. 5:20-cv-01075 (C.D. Cal.) (filed May 22, 2020) (*Hanna I*)7

Hardeman v. Monsanto
 (May 14, 2021) (Nos. 19-16636, 19-16708).....31

Henderson v. Volvo Cars of N. Am., LLC,
 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 46291 (D.N.J. Mar. 22, 2013).....37

Jewell et al v. Walmart, Inc.,
 No. 4:19-cv-04088 (W.D. Ark.) (filed Aug. 12, 2019).....7

In re Johnson & Johnson Talcum Powder Prod. Mktg., Sales Pracs. & Liab. Litig.,
 903 F.3d 278 (3d Cir. 2018).....8, 9

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.