BAYARD

600 N. King Street • Suite 400
P.O. Box 25130 • Wilmington, DE 19801
Zip Code For Deliveries 19801

Writer's Direct Access:
(302) 429-4232
Email: sbrauerman@bayardlaw.com

February 14, 2025

VIA CM/ECF
Honorable Jennifer L. Hall
United States District Court for the District of Delaware
844 North King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

RE: *Robocast, Inc. v. Netflix, Inc.*, C.A. No. 22-305-JLH-CJB

Dear Judge Hall:

Pursuant to the Court's Order dated February 7, 2014 (D.I. 456), Plaintiff Robocast, Inc. ("Robocast") hereby respectfully submits this letter identifying what it believes to be the "three most analogous" prior Federal Circuit cases within the meaning of *Enfish* that would warrant consideration herein as part of an "abstract idea" analysis at step one of the *Alice* § 101 test:

- *Data Engine Technologies LLC v. Google LLC*, 906 F.3d 999 (Fed. Cir. 2018) (method claims relating to a user-friendly interface for navigating through electronic spreadsheets).
- *Core Wireless Licensing S.A.R.L. v. LG Electronics, Inc*., 880 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2018) (claims directed to a user interface for computerized electronic devices such as mobile phones).
- *DDR Holdings, LLC v. Hotels.com, L.P*., 773 F.3d 1245 (Fed. Cir. 2014) (claims directed to a "particular Internet-centric problem").

Counsel for Robocast will be prepared to more fully address the above-listed cases at the upcoming § 101 oral argument scheduled for February 21, 2025 should the Court wish to do so

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Stephen B. Brauerman

Stephen B. Brauerman (#4952)