

Docket
Fee Pd \$400
Receipt # 383257

EFiled: Apr 21 2023 04:24PM EDT
Transaction ID 69872711
Case No. 2023-0215-MTZ



Dominik Ziermann
71570 Oppenweiler
Germany
baronvonsteuben21@gmail.com

FILED

2023 APR 21 P 3:00

NCC RIC

April 11, 2023

Register in Chancery
Leonard L. Williams Justice Center
500 North King Street, Suite 11600
Wilmington, DE 19801

**Regarding AMC Entertainment Holdings, Inc. Stockholder Litigation
Civil Action No. 2023-0215-MTZ**

Dear Honorable Judge Vice Chancellor Zurn,

I am a household investor from Germany. First of all, I would like to apologize in advance if I may have expressed myself in an unfortunate way, as English is not my mother tongue. Thank you for taking the time to read my letter.

I have been a consistent shareholder of AMC Entertainment Holdings, Inc. ("AMC") since the summer of 2021. Since then, I have followed the performance of "AMC" closely. This includes the issuance of AMC Preferred Equity Units ("APE") in August 2022, the agreement with Antara Capital and the planned and already approved measures (conversion, reverse split, share increase).

I was therefore very surprised by the lawsuits filed, for example, by the Allegheny County Employees' Retirement System.

After the drastic effects of the pandemic restrictions, "AMC" is now back on the road to recovery.

In my view, it is the fiduciary duty of the company and its board of directors to do everything in their power to ensure the continuation of this recovery.

The fundamentals of the Preferred Stock were set out by "AMC" in its Certificate of Incorporation back in 2013. In addition, all further details of the APE were set out in detail and in full transparency by "AMC" in August 2022.

It is therefore incomprehensible to me why certain persons and institutions are filing a lawsuit in this regard months later.

I am glad that you rejected the settlement agreement a few days ago, because I see myself as a shareholder neither represented by the lawsuit nor by the proposed settlement.

Personally, I would consider a dismissal with prejudice to be fair.

I would like to put this in writing, as I have only seen isolated letters in support of the lawsuit. In my opinion, these letters are definitely not representative.

The legitimacy is clearly demonstrated by the overwhelming majority of votes cast in favour of the "AMC" proposals.

I am aware that you have the detailed figures in the following matter.

However, I would like to reiterate that the "AMC" Class A shareholders alone voted in favour of the share increase (Proposal 1) by a clear majority of 72%. [Proposal 2

So this was not a lopsided vote by "APE" shareholders.

It is this majority that recognises that "AMC" needs to take this step now so that the company can continue to recover and remain viable at all levels.

The delays caused by the unjustified lawsuits are preventing "AMC" from doing so, which could cause damage. This cannot be in the interests of genuine shareholders.

I would therefore very much welcome the dismissal of the lawsuit(s).

Conclusion:

- All the facts (voting rights, etc.) about the preferred stock and the "APE" shares were known long in advance
- None of the characteristics of the "APE" Units are inconsistent with the "AMC" Certificate of Incorporation or Delaware law
- "AMC" has announced from the beginning that the "APE" units are used to generate capital
- It was possible for anyone to buy additional (very cheap) "APE" units on the open market to compensate any dilution in terms of voting rights or the like
- The vast majority of the votes cast by "AMC" Class A Common Stock shareholders have approved the "AMC" Proposals
- The vast majority of the votes cast by "APE" shareholders approved the "AMC" Proposals
- It is the fiduciary duty of "AMC" and its board of directors to ensure the liquidity of the company and to be able to take advantage of favourable opportunities such as M&As
- Should the "APE" units continue to exist: further generation of capital through APE units would result in shareholders (Class A Common Stock and "APE") being subject to much higher dilution

For these reasons, among others, I plead that the lawsuit(s) be dismissed and that no further lawsuits be allowed in this regard.

Sincerely yours



Dominik Ziermann