### IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

TIKTOK INC.,	)
and	)
BYTEDANCE LTD.,	)
Petitioners,	)
V.	)
THE COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN INVESTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES, STEVEN T. MNUCHIN, in his official capacity as Secretary of the Treasury and Chairperson of the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States, DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official capacity as President of the United States, and	) ) No ) ) ) ) ) ) )
WILLIAM P. BARR, in his official capacity as United States Attorney General,	) )
Respondents.	) ) )
	-

### **PETITION FOR REVIEW**

Pursuant to Section 721 of the Defense Production Act (50 U.S.C.

§ 4565(e)(2)) and Rule 15(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure,

DOCKE.

Δ

LARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

TikTok Inc. and ByteDance Ltd. hereby petition this Court for review of the Presidential Order Regarding the Acquisition of Musical.ly by ByteDance Ltd., 85 Fed. Reg. 51,297 (Aug. 14, 2020) (the "Divestment Order"), and the related action of the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States ("CFIUS"), including its determination to reject mitigation, truncate its review and investigation, and refer the matter to the President (collectively, the "CFIUS Action").<sup>1</sup> This Court has original and exclusive jurisdiction to hear Petitioners' challenges. 50 U.S.C. § 4565(e)(2); see also Ralls Corp. v. CFIUS, 758 F.3d 296, 311 (D.C. Cir. 2014); Chamber of Commerce of U.S. v. Reich, 74 F.3d 1322, 1327–28 (D.C. Cir. 1996).

The Divestment Order and the CFIUS Action seek to compel the wholesale divestment of TikTok, a multi-billion-dollar business built on technology developed by Petitioner ByteDance Ltd. ("ByteDance"), based on the government's purported national security review of a three-yearold transaction that involved a *different business*. This attempted taking

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A copy of the Divestment Order and CFIUS's July 30, 2020 letter to Petitioners memorializing the CFIUS Action are attached to this Petition.

exceeds the authority granted to Respondents under Section 721, which authorizes CFIUS to review and the President to, at most, prohibit a specified "covered transaction" to address risks to national security created by that transaction. Here, that covered transaction was ByteDance's acquisition of the U.S. business of another Chineseheadquartered company, Musical.ly—a transaction that did *not* include the core technology or other aspects of the TikTok business that have made it successful and yet which the Divestment Order now seeks to compel ByteDance to divest.

The Divestment Order and CFIUS Action are also unlawful in other respects. They violated the Due Process Clause because they prematurely terminated the review to which Petitioners were entitled and denied them a meaningful hearing. The CFIUS Action violated the Administrative Procedure Act because the agency failed to adequately explain its decision and did not take account of the alternative mitigation proposals submitted by Petitioners. Finally, the forced divestment of Petitioners' business without fair compensation would constitute an unlawful taking under the Fifth Amendment. To facilitate this Court's consideration of this Petition for Review, Petitioners summarize the pertinent factual background and the legal claims they intend to raise.<sup>2</sup>

## **Background and Nature of Proceedings**

## A. CFIUS's Authority Over Certain "Covered Transactions"

1. CFIUS is an interagency committee authorized under Section 721 to "review" and "investigat[e]" a "covered transaction" to determine its effects on national security.<sup>3</sup> 50 U.S.C. § 4565(b)(1)(A)–(B). Congress defined a "covered transaction" to include "[a]ny merger, acquisition, or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Because Congress established the original and exclusive jurisdiction of this Court over CFIUS petitions for review recently, in 2018, Pub. L. No. 115-232, sec. 1715(2), 132 Stat. 1636, 2191, (50 U.S.C. § 4565(e)(2)), Petitioners are filing a Petition for Review that is more detailed than may be required by Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 15(a) to provide background on the statutory and regulatory scheme and factual allegations. *See Am. Paper Inst. v. ICC*, 607 F.2d 1011, 1012 (D.C. Cir. 1979) (per curiam). Petitioners reserve their rights to raise additional facts and arguments in the briefing on the merits. *See, e.g., CropLife Am. v. EPA*, No. 02-1057, 2002 WL 1461788, at \*1 (D.C. Cir. July 8, 2002) (per curiam).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 721 was enacted in 1988, Pub. L. 100-418, and amended several times, most notably in the Foreign Investment and National Security Act of 2007, Pub. L. 110-49, and the Foreign Investment Risk Review Modernization Act of 2018, Pub. L. 115-232.

#### Case 1:20-cv-02658-CJN Document 54-1 Filed 11/10/20 Page 5 of 49

takeover ... by or with any foreign person that could result in foreign control of any United States business." *Id.* § 4565(a)(4)(B)(i).

Entities can voluntarily submit such transactions for review, 2. id. § 4565(b)(1)(A), and CFIUS can request that parties submit transactions for review, 31 C.F.R. § 800.501(b). CFIUS's review process begins once it accepts a notice of a transaction filed by an entity, *id*. §§ 800.501, 800.503(a), and must be completed within 45 days, 50 U.S.C. § 4565(b)(1)(F); 31 C.F.R. § 800.503(b). The statute provides that CFIUS "shall" conduct an investigation if, inter alia, the initial review "results in a determination that - the transaction threatens to impair the national security" and the risk has not been mitigated, to be completed within 45 days from the date the investigation is commenced. 50 U.S.C. § 4565(b)(2)(A), (B)(i)(I), (C)(i); 31 C.F.R. §§ 800.505(a), 800.508(a). CFIUS may, during the review or investigation, "complete the action of the Committee with respect to the transaction" and "refer the transaction to the President for action." 50 U.S.C. § 4565(l)(2).

3. Before action by CFIUS is completed or action by the President is taken, CFIUS may "negotiate, enter into or impose, and enforce any agreement or condition with any party to a completed covered

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.