

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

HEMP INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION; and
RE BOTANICALS, INC.

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES DRUG ENFORCEMENT
ADMINISTRATION and TIMOTHY SHEA,
in his Official Capacity,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No. 1:20-cv-2921 (JEB)

**PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM AND POINTS OF AUTHORITIES
IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
BACKGROUND	3
I. Statutory and Regulatory History.	3
II. The 2014 Farm Bill.	5
III. The 2018 Farm Bill.	7
IV. The Contemporary Hemp Market.	9
V. DEA's Recent Hemp Related Activities.	9
ARGUMENT	12
I. This Case is About Whether the 2018 Farm Bill Authorizes the Manufacture and Possession of In-Process Hemp Materials.	12
A. Plaintiffs' Statutory Challenge.....	12
B. Plaintiffs Are Not Challenging the IFR.	14
II. This Court Has Federal Question Jurisdiction.	18
A. <i>Thunder Basin</i> Does Not Apply.....	18
1. Plaintiffs' structural claim falls outside of the administrative review scheme.....	19
2. Plaintiffs' challenge based on the 2018 Farm Bill does not belong in the CSA's administrative review scheme.	21
B. <i>TRAC</i> Does Not Apply.....	24
C. No Court Has Held That § 877 Abolishes Federal Question Jurisdiction to Decide Any Federal Question Related to the CSA or DEA.....	26
D. If this Court Concludes Plaintiffs Are Challenging Agency Action, Then <i>Leedom</i> Jurisdiction is Proper.....	33
III. Plaintiffs' Claim is Fit for Review.....	37
A. Plaintiffs Have Standing.	37
B. Plaintiffs' Claim is Ripe.....	38
C. The Court Should Not Decline Jurisdiction Based in Equity.	40
CONCLUSION.....	45

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page(s)
<i>Bohon v. Fed. Energy Regulatory Comm'n,</i> 2020 WL 2198050 (D.D.C. May 6, 2020).....	23
<i>Clapper v. Amnesty Int'l USA,</i> 568 U.S. 398 (2013)	37
<i>Credit Suisse Sec. (USA) LLC v. Billing,</i> 551 U.S. 264 (2007)	13
<i>Elk Run Coal Co. v. U.S. Dep't of Labor,</i> 804 F. Supp. 2d 8 (D.D.C. 2011).....	19, 21
<i>FiberLight, LLC v. Nat'l R.R. Passenger Corp.,</i> 81 F. Supp. 3d 93 (D.D.C. 2015).....	40
<i>Flynt v. LFP, Inc.,</i> 245 F. Supp. 2d 94 (D.D.C. 2003).....	44
* <i>Free Enter. Fund v. Pub. Co. Accounting Oversight Bd.,</i> 561 U.S. 477 (2010)	Passim
<i>General Elec. Co. v. EPA,</i> 360 F.3d 188 (D.C. Cir. 2004).....	20
<i>Glenn v. Thomas Fortune Fay,</i> 222 F. Supp. 3d 31 (D.D.C. 2016).....	41
<i>Gonzales v. Oregon,</i> 546 U.S. 243 (2006)	4
<i>Griffith v. Fed. Labor Relations Auth.,</i> 842 F.2d 487 (D.C. Cir. 1988).....	34
<i>Hemp Indus. Ass'n v. DEA,</i> 357 F.3d 1012 (9th Cir. 2004)	4, 5
<i>Hemp Indus. Ass'n v. DEA,</i> 333 F.3d 1082 (9th Cir. 2003)	3, 5
<i>In re Bluewater Network,</i> 234 F.3d 1305 (D.C. Cir. 2000).....	24

<i>Int'l Union, United Mine Workers of Am. v. U.S. Dep't of Labor,</i> 358 F.3d 40 (D.C. Cir. 2004).....	24
<i>Jarkesy v. S.E.C.,</i> 803 F.3d 9 (D.C. Cir. 2015).....	19, 23
<i>John Doe, Inc. v. DEA,</i> 484 F.3d 561 (D.C. Cir. 2007).....	27, 30
<i>Leedom v. Kyne,</i> 358 U.S. 184 (1958)	33, 35
<i>Mapes v. Reed,</i> 2020 WL 5545397 (D.D.C. Sept. 16, 2020).....	34
<i>MedImmune, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc.,</i> 549 U.S. 118 (2007)	22, 37
<i>Menominee Indian Tribe of Wisconsin v. DEA,</i> 190 F. Supp. 3d 843 (E.D. Wis. 2016)	21, 32
* <i>Monson v. DEA,</i> 589 F.3d 952 (8th Cir. 2009)	Passim
<i>Moore v. U.S. House of Representatives,</i> 733 F.2d 946 (D.C. Cir. 1984).....	44
* <i>Morgan Drexen, Inc. v. Consumer Fin. Prot. Bureau,</i> 979 F. Supp. 2d 104 (D.D.C. 2013).....	41
* <i>N.H. Hemp Council, Inc. v. Marshall,</i> 203 F.3d 1 (1st Cir. 2000).....	Passim
<i>Nat'l Air Traffic Controllers Ass'n AFL-CIO v. Fed. Serv. Impasses Panel,</i> 437 F.3d 1256 (D.C. Cir. 2006).....	34
<i>New Hampshire Lottery Comm'n v. Rosen,</i> 986 F.3d 38 (1st Cir. 2021).....	37
<i>North v. Smarsh, Inc.,</i> 160 F. Supp. 3d 63 (D.D.C. 2015).....	25
* <i>Novelty Distributors, Inc. v. Leonhart,</i> 562 F. Supp. 2d 20 (D.D.C. 2008).....	30, 31

...

<i>Nyunt v. Chairman, Broad. Bd. of Governors,</i> 589 F.3d 445 (D.C. Cir. 2009).....	34
<i>O Centro Espirita Beneficiente Uniao Do Vegetal v. Ashcroft,</i> 282 F. Supp. 2d 1236 (D.N.M. 2002).....	32
<i>Oestereich v. Selective Serv. Sys. Local Bd. No. 11, Cheyenne, Wyo.,</i> 393 U.S. 233 (1968)	36
<i>Oregon v. Ashcroft,</i> 368 F.3d 1118 (9th Cir. 2004)	16
<i>Oregon v. Ashcroft,</i> 192 F.Supp.2d 1077 (2002)	16, 17
<i>Pub. Affairs Assocs., Inc. v. Rickover,</i> 369 U.S. 111 (1962)	40
<i>Susan B. Anthony List v. Driehaus,</i> 573 U.S. 149 (2014)	37
<i>Swish Marketing, Inc. v. F.T.C.,</i> 669 F. Supp. 2d 72 (D.D.C. 2009).....	42, 43
<i>Telecommunications Research and Action Center v. FCC,</i> 750 F.2d 70 (D.C. Cir. 1984).....	24
<i>Thunder Basin Coal Co. v. Reich,</i> 510 U.S. 200 (1994)	19
<i>Tilton v. Sec. & Exch. Comm'n,</i> 824 F.3d 276 (2d Cir. 2016)	22, 23
<i>Time Warner Entm't Co. v. FCC.,</i> 93 F.3d 957 (D.C. Cir. 1996).....	26
<i>Toilet Goods Association v. Gardner,</i> 387 U.S. 158 (1967)	40
<i>Ukiah Adventist Hosp. v. FTC,</i> 981 F.2d 543 (D.C. Cir. 1992).....	26
* <i>United States v. Mallory,</i> 372 F. Supp. 3d 377 (S.D.W. Va. 2019).....	Passim

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.