

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

AMERICAN SOYBEAN ASSOCIATION)	
)	
and)	
)	
PLAINS COTTON GROWERS, INC.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 1:20-cv-03190 (RCL)
)	
ANDREW R. WHEELER, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants, and)	
)	
)	
SYNGENTA CROP PROTECTION, LLC,)	
)	
Proposed Intervenor-)	
Defendant.)	
)	

**SYNGENTA CROP PROTECTION, LLC’S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO INTERVENE
AND FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT**

Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC (“Syngenta”) respectfully moves to intervene in this matter in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24 and Local Rule 7. Plaintiffs, who represent cotton and soybean growers, challenge certain aspects of the registration for three agricultural herbicide products containing the active ingredient dicamba, recently approved by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (“FIFRA”). Plaintiffs also seek a declaration that other aspects of the registrations are lawful. Syngenta owns the registration for one of the three products, a pesticide product known as Tavium® Plus VaporGrip® Technology (“Tavium”) containing dicamba and another active herbicide ingredient, s-metolachlor. The challenged registration

decision approving Tavium permits Syngenta to sell and distribute Tavium for use on dicamba-tolerant soybeans and cotton in thirty-four states through December 20, 2025.

As the owner of one of the challenged EPA registrations at issue, Syngenta seeks leave to intervene as of right in this action to protect its property interests pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24(a)(2). In the alternative, Syngenta seeks permission to intervene pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24(b). In addition, Syngenta requests that its deadline to file a response to the Complaint be extended until the time of Federal Defendants' response.

Pursuant to Local Rule 7(m), Syngenta has conferred with counsel for the parties regarding this motion. Counsel for Plaintiffs indicated Plaintiffs consent to Syngenta's motion. Counsel for Defendants indicated that Defendants do not oppose Syngenta's motion. A Statement of Points and Authorities in support of this Motion and Proposed Order follow.

DATED: November 11, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Karen Ellis Carr

Karen Ellis Carr (DC Bar # 975480)
Donald C. McLean (DC Bar # 412268)
Kathleen R. Heilman (DC Bar # 1007980)
Laura Zell (DC Bar # 1044336)

ARENT FOX LLP
1717 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-5344
karen.carr@arentfox.com
donald.mclean@arentfox.com
katie.heilman@arentfox.com
laura.zell@arentfox.com
(T) (202) 857-6000
(F) (202) 857-6395

*Counsel for Proposed Intervenor-Defendant
Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC*

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

AMERICAN SOYBEAN ASSOCIATION)
)
 and)
)
 PLAINS COTTON GROWERS, INC.,)
)
 Plaintiffs,)
)
 v.)
)
 ANDREW R. WHEELER, et al.,)
)
 Defendant, and)
)
 SYNGENTA CROP PROTECTION, LLC,)
)
 Proposed Intervenor-)
 Defendant.)

Case No. 1:20-cv-03190 (RCL)

STATEMENT OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF
SYNGENTA’S MOTION TO INTERVENE AND FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO
RESPOND TO COMPLAINT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION	1
BACKGROUND	1
A. Pesticide Registration Under FIFRA	1
B. Syngenta and its Tavium Product	2
C. Syngenta’s Interest in EPA’s FIFRA Registration Decision At Issue	4
D. Procedural History	5
ARGUMENT	5
I. INTERVENTION AS OF RIGHT SHOULD BE GRANTED	5
A. Syngenta Has Article III Standing to Intervene	6
B. Syngenta’s Motion is Timely	7
C. Syngenta Has a Direct and Substantial Interest in this Proceeding	7
D. Syngenta’s Interest Would Be Harmed by an Adverse Ruling	9
E. Syngenta’s Interests Will Not Be Adequately Represented by the Parties	10
II. AT A MINIMUM, PERMISSIVE INTERVENTION SHOULD BE GRANTED	12
III. SYNGENTA SHOULD BE PERMITTED AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS’ COMPLAINT CONCURRENTLY WITH THE FEDERAL DEFENDANTS	13
CONCLUSION	14

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Admiral Ins. Co. v. Nat'l Cas. Co.</i> , 137 F.R.D. 176 (D.D.C. 1991)	7
<i>Am. Horse Prot. Ass'n v. Veneman</i> , 200 F.R.D. 153 (D.D.C. 2001)	11
<i>Appleton v. FDA</i> , 310 F. Supp. 2d 194 (D.D.C. 2004).....	7
<i>Bell v. Burson</i> , 402 U.S. 535 (1971)	8
<i>Ctr. for Biological Diversity v. EPA</i> , No. 11-cv-00293, 2013 WL 1729573 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 22, 2013)	8
* <i>Ctr. for Biological Diversity v. U.S. EPA</i> , No. 14-cv-00942 (D.D.C. Sept. 4, 2014), ECF No. 23	8
* <i>Ctr. for Biological Diversity v. U.S. Fish & Wildlife</i> , No. 11-cv-05108 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 22, 2012), ECF No. 27	8
<i>Dimond v. Dist. of Columbia</i> , 792 F.2d 179 (D.C. Cir. 1986).....	11
* <i>Foster v. Gueory</i> , 655 F.2d 1319 (D.C. Cir. 1981).....	7
<i>Freedom from Religion Found., Inc. v. Geithner</i> , 644 F.3d 836 (9th Cir. 2011)	13
* <i>Fund for Animals, Inc. v. Norton</i> , 322 F.3d 728 (D.C. Cir. 2003).....	<i>passim</i>
* <i>Hardin v. Jackson</i> , 600 F. Supp. 2d 13 (D.D.C. 2009).....	6, 10, 11
<i>Indus. Safety Equip. Ass'n v. EPA</i> , 656 F. Supp. 852 (D.D.C. 1987), <i>aff'd</i> , 837 F.2d 1115 (D.C. Cir. 1988)	8
<i>Kleissler v. U.S. Forest Serv.</i> , 157 F.3d 964 (3d Cir. 1998)	9

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.