

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

G4S SECURE SOLUTIONS NV,

Defendant.

Criminal No.

Filed:

Violation: 15 U.S.C. § 1
Conspiracy in Restraint of Trade

INFORMATION

COUNT ONE

Conspiracy in Restraint of Trade
(15 U.S.C. § 1)

The United States charges that at all times relevant to this Information:

BACKGROUND

1. The Department of Defense maintains military bases in Belgium to protect the national security interests of the United States. On behalf of the United States government, the Department of Defense enters into and funds contracts for security services with companies to protect the security of these physical locations and the safety of personnel stationed there.

2. Security services include individual guards protecting physical buildings, mobile monitoring of certain locations, and electronic surveillance of defined areas. Individual customers, including the United States Department of Defense (the “Department of Defense”), seeking security services issued tenders and invited firms to bid on these contracts. These tenders listed the location to be guarded, the duration of the services, and the overall scope of services sought. When a company submitted a winning bid, it was selected to enter into a contract with the customer for the provision of the services sought.

DEFENDANT AND CO-CONSPIRATORS

3. Defendant G4S SECURE SOLUTIONS NV (“G4S”) was a company organized and existing under the laws of Belgium, with its principal place of business in Brussels, Belgium. G4S was a provider of security services to a variety of customers in Belgium.

4. Company A was a company organized and existing under the laws of Belgium, with its principal place of business in Brussels, Belgium. Company A was a provider of security services to a variety of customers in Belgium.

5. Company B was a company organized and existing under the laws of Belgium, with its principal place of business in Brussels, Belgium. Company B was a provider of security services to a variety of customers in Belgium.

6. The Defendant, Company A and Company B were competing providers of security services to a variety of customers in Belgium, including the Department of Defense.

7. Various corporations and individuals, not made defendants in this Information, participated as co-conspirators in the offense charged herein and performed acts and made statements in furtherance thereof.

8. Whenever in this Information reference is made to any act, deed or transaction of any corporation, the allegation means that the corporation engaged in the act, deed, or transaction by or through its officers, directors, agents, employees, or other representatives while they were actively engaged in the management, direction, control or transaction of its business or affairs.

DESCRIPTION OF THE OFFENSE

9. Beginning at least as early as Spring 2019 and continuing until as late as Summer 2020, the exact dates being unknown to the United States, the Defendant, Company A, Company B, and other co-conspirators entered into and engaged in a combination and conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition by allocating customers, rigging bids, and fixing prices for contracts for

the provision of security services in Belgium, including those with the United States, through the Department of Defense, and those with the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) Communications and Information Agency (the “NCI Agency”), which is funded in part by the United States. The combination and conspiracy engaged in by the Defendant and its co-conspirators was a *per se* unlawful, and thus unreasonable, restraint of interstate and foreign trade and commerce in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. § 1).

10. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement, understanding, and concert of action among the Defendant and its co-conspirators, the substantial terms of which were that they would allocate customers, rig bids, and fix prices for contracts for the provision of security services in Belgium, including certain contracts with the United States and the NCI Agency by coordinating price increases; submitting artificially-determined, non-competitive, inflated bids; and refraining from bidding for certain contracts. The objective of the conspiracy was to be awarded certain security services contracts, including those with the United States, through the Department of Defense, and those with the NCI Agency, which is funded in part by the United States, and receive payments for those contracts, including from the Department of Defense, at non-competitive, inflated prices for the duration of the contracts.

MEANS AND METHODS OF THE CONSPIRACY

11. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and conspiracy, the Defendant and its co-conspirators did those things that they combined and conspired to do, including, among other things:

- (a) attending meetings and engaging in discussions during which they agreed to allocate customers, rig bids, and fix prices;

(b) participating in meetings to discuss which co-conspirator would submit the winning bid on particular tenders, including those issued by the Department of Defense for locations in Belgium;

(c) communicating with each other via phone, text message, encrypted messaging applications, and email to discuss which co-conspirator would submit the winning bid on particular tenders, including those issued by the Department of Defense for locations in Belgium;

(d) agreeing, during those meetings and communications, not to compete against each other for particular tenders, including those issued by the Department of Defense for locations in Belgium;

(e) submitting or withholding bids in accordance with the agreements reached, including to and from the Department of Defense for locations in Belgium;

(f) providing security services at collusive and non-competitive prices, including to the Department of Defense at locations in Belgium; and

(g) receiving payments for security services at collusive, non-competitive prices, including from the Department of Defense for locations in Belgium.

TRADE AND COMMERCE

12. The United States solicited bids from and entered into contracts with the co-conspirators for security services provided to United States military bases in Belgium. The charged combination and conspiracy had a direct, substantial, and reasonably foreseeable effect on U.S. interstate, import, and export trade and commerce, and that effect, in part, gives rise to this charge. The charged combination and conspiracy also had a substantial and intended effect in the United States.

13. For example: (a) the charged combination and conspiracy prevented the Department of Defense from receiving true competition for bids on a contract for security services in Belgium; (b) the charged combination and conspiracy also caused the Department of Defense to pay non-competitive prices for security services provided at military bases and installations in Belgium; and (c) proposals, contracts, invoices for payment, payments, and other documents and items essential to the provision of security services were transmitted in foreign trade and commerce between the Defendant and its co-conspirators located in Belgium and their customers located in the United States and elsewhere.

14. The business activities of the Defendant and its co-conspirators in connection with the security services contracts that are the subject of this Indictment were within the flow of, and substantially affected, commerce among the states and with foreign nations.

ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.