

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

FOTOBOM MEDIA, Inc.

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 22-cv-00712 (APM)

HON. AMIT P. MEHTA

**PLAINTIFF FOTOBOM MEDIA, INC.'S OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANT GOOGLE LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
INTRODUCTION	1
BACKGROUND	3
LEGAL STANDARD.....	10
ARGUMENT	11
I. Fotobom Has Antitrust Standing To Pursue Its Claims.....	11
A. Fotobom Has Antitrust Standing Because It Competes With Google.....	13
B. Fotobom Has Standing Regardless Of Whether It Competes With Google.....	15
II. Fotobom Has Plausibly Alleged Unlawful Exclusive Dealing.....	17
III. Fotobom Has Plausibly Alleged Unlawful Tying And Attempted Monopolization	20
A. Rule 12(g)(2) Procedurally Bars Google’s Arguments For Dismissal	20
B. Fotobom Has Plausibly Alleged Unlawful Tying.....	22
1. Fotobom Has Plausibly Alleged A <i>Per Se</i> Tying Claim.....	22
2. Fotobom Has Plausibly Alleged Tying Under The Rule Of Reason	26
C. Fotobom Has Plausibly Alleged Attempted Monopolization.....	27
IV. Fotobom Has Plausibly Alleged Violations of California State Law	30
CONCLUSION.....	31

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Adams v. Pan American World Airways, Inc.</i> , 640 F. Supp. 683 (D.D.C. 1986).....	12, 18
* <i>Adobe Sys. Inc. v. Blue Source Grp., Inc.</i> , 125 F. Supp. 3d 945 (N.D. Cal. 2015).....	31
<i>Aerotec Int’l, Inc. v. Honeywell Int’l, Inc.</i> , 836 F.3d 1171 (9th Cir. 2016)	26
<i>Aluminum Warehousing Antitrust Litig., In re</i> , 833 F.3d 151 (2d Cir. 2016).....	12
* <i>Am. Ad Mgmt., Inc. v. Gen. Tel. Co. of Cal.</i> , 190 F.3d 1051 (9th Cir. 1999).....	12, 15
<i>Andrx Pharms., Inc. v. Biovail Corp. Int’l</i> , 256 F.3d 799 (D.C. Cir. 2001)	11, 12
<i>Assoc. Gen. Contractors of Cal., Inc. v. Cal. State Council of Carpenters</i> , 459 U.S. 519 (1983).....	11
<i>Barton & Pittinos, Inc. v. SmithKline Beecham Corp.</i> , 118 F.3d 178 (3d Cir. 1997)	15
<i>Beverly v. Network Sols., Inc.</i> , 1998 WL 917526 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 30, 1998).....	30
* <i>Blue Shield v. McCready</i> , 457 U.S. 465 (1982).....	15
<i>Brantley v. NBC Universal, Inc.</i> , 675 F.3d 1192 (9th Cir. 2012)	27
* <i>Broadcom Corp. v. Qualcomm Inc.</i> , 501 F.3d 297 (3d Cir. 2007)	28, 29
<i>Brown v. Gov’t of Dist. of Columbia</i> , 390 F. Supp. 3d 114 (D.D.C. 2019).....	29
<i>Brunswick Corp. v. Pueblo Bowl-O-Mat, Inc.</i> , 429 U.S. 477 (1977)	11, 14
<i>Carpet Grp. Int’l v. Oriental Rug Importers Ass’n, Inc.</i> , 227 F.3d 62 (3d Cir. 2000).....	15
<i>Cel-Tech Commc’ns, Inc. v. Los Angeles Cellular Tel. Co.</i> , 973 P.2d 527 (Cal. 1999).....	30
<i>City of Las Cruces v. Lofts at Alameda, LLC</i> , --- F. Supp. 3d ---, 2022 WL 715124 (D.N.M. Mar. 10, 2022).....	21
* <i>Collins Inkjet Corp. v. Eastman Kodak Co.</i> , 781 F.3d 264 (6th Cir. 2015).....	26
<i>Crimpers Promotions Inc. v. Home Box Office, Inc.</i> , 724 F.2d 290 (2d Cir. 1983)	16
<i>Cyntegra, Inc. v. IDEXX Labs., Inc.</i> , 322 F. App’x 569 (9th Cir. 2009).....	12
* <i>Datagate, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> , 60 F.3d 1421 (9th Cir. 1995).....	25

<i>*Dealer Mgmt. Sys. Antitrust Litig., In re</i> 313 F. Supp. 3d 931 (N.D. Ill. 2018).....	17, 18
<i>Doctor’s Hosp. v. Se. Med. Alliance, Inc.</i> , 123 F.3d 301 (5th Cir. 1997).....	18
<i>Domed Stadium Hotel, Inc. v. Holiday Inns, Inc.</i> , 732 F.2d 480 (5th Cir. 1984).....	29
<i>*E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co. v. Kolon Indus.</i> , 637 F.3d 435 (4th Cir. 2011).....	20
<i>Eastman Kodak Co. v. Image Tech. Servs., Inc.</i> , 504 U.S. 451 (1992).....	22, 25
<i>Eastman v. Quest Diagnostics Inc.</i> , 2015 WL 7566805 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 25, 2015).....	20
<i>EpiPen (Epinephrine Injection, USP) Mktg., Sales Practices & Antitrust Litig., In re</i> , 2017 WL 6524839 (D. Kan. Dec. 21, 2017).....	20
<i>Epic Games, Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 559 F. Supp. 3d 898 (N.D. Cal. 2021).....	30
<i>Falcon v. City Univ. of N.Y.</i> , 2016 WL 3920223 (E.D.N.Y. July 15, 2016).....	21
<i>Gary v. Hladik Onorato & Federman, LLP</i> , 509 F. Supp. 3d 368 (W.D. Pa. 2020).....	21
<i>GCL, LLC v. Schwab</i> , 2012 WL 4321972 (E.D. Pa. Sept. 21, 2012).....	21
<i>Hanover 3201 Realty, LLC v. Vill. Supermarkets, Inc.</i> , 806 F.3d 162 (3d Cir. 2015).....	15
<i>Heattransfer Corp. v. Volkswagenwerk, A.G.</i> , 553 F.2d 964 (5th Cir. 1977).....	26
<i>Hill v. A-T-O, Inc.</i> , 535 F.2d 1349 (2d Cir. 1976).....	23
<i>Ill. Tool Works Inc. v. Indep. Ink, Inc.</i> , 547 U.S. 28 (2006).....	22
<i>*Iskander v. Laugh Factory, Inc.</i> , 2020 WL 2114939 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 17, 2020).....	31
<i>Jefferson Par. Hosp. Dist. No. 2 v. Hyde</i> , 466 U.S. 2 (1984).....	22
<i>Jung v. Ass’n of Am. Med. Colleges</i> , 300 F. Supp. 2d 119 (D.D.C. 2004).....	10
<i>*Keurig Green Mountain Single-Serve Coffee Antitrust Litig., In re</i> , 383 F. Supp. 3d 187 (S.D.N.Y. 2019).....	14, 17
<i>Kim v. United States</i> , 707 F.3d 335 (D.C. Cir. 2013).....	21
<i>Leyse v. Bank of Am. Nat’l Ass’n</i> , 804 F.3d 316 (3d Cir. 2015).....	20
<i>*Luxpro Corp. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 2011 WL 1086027 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 24, 2011).....	31
<i>Mandeville Island Farms, Inc. v. Am. Crystal Sugar Co.</i> , 334 U.S. 219 (1948).....	12
<i>MCI Commc’ns Corp. v. AT&T Co.</i> , 708 F.2d 1081 (7th Cir. 1983).....	14

<i>*Methodist Health Servs. Corp. v. OSF Healthcare Sys.</i> , 2016 WL 5817176 (C.D. Ill. Sept. 30, 2016)	19
<i>Momento, Inc. v. Seccion Amarilla USA</i> , 2009 WL 10696217 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 17, 2009)	10, 28, 30
<i>*Moore v. Jas. H. Matthews & Co.</i> , 550 F.2d 1207 (9th Cir. 1977)	23
<i>N.Y. Rehabilitation Care Mgmt., LLC v. NLRB</i> , 506 F.3d 1070 (D.C. Cir. 2007)	12
<i>Niantic, Inc. v. Global++</i> , 2020 WL 8620002 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 2, 2020)	21
<i>Norfolk S. Ry. Co. v. Boatright R.R. Prods., Inc.</i> , 2019 WL 4455995 (N.D. Ala. May 23, 2019).....	21
<i>NorthBay Healthcare Grp., Inc. v. Kaiser Found. Health Plan, Inc.</i> , 838 F. App'x 231 (9th Cir. 2020)	16
<i>Norwest Mortg., Inc. v. Superior Court</i> , 72 Cal. App. 4th 214 (1999).....	31
<i>*Novell, Inc. v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , 505 F.3d 302 (4th Cir. 2007)	12, 14, 16
<i>Ostrofe v. H.S. Crocker Co.</i> , 740 F.2d 739 (9th Cir. 1984).....	16
<i>*Packaging Sys., Inc. v. PRC-Desoto Int'l., Inc.</i> , 268 F. Supp. 3d 1071 (C.D. Cal. 2017).....	30
<i>Paladin Assocs., Inc. v. Mont. Power Co.</i> , 328 F.3d 1145 (9th Cir. 2003)	23
<i>Palmer v. BRG of Georgia, Inc.</i> , 498 U.S. 46 (1990).....	14
<i>Rail Freight Fuel Surcharge Antitrust Litig., In re</i> , 587 F. Supp. 2d 27 (D.D.C. 2008)	10
<i>RealPage, Inc. v. Yardi Sys., Inc.</i> , 852 F. Supp. 2d 1215 (C.D. Cal. 2012).....	25
<i>Rutherford v. Palo Verde Healthcare Dist.</i> , 2014 WL 12631845 (C.D. Cal. May 20, 2014)	21
<i>Sanner v. Bd. of Trade of City of Chicago</i> , 62 F.3d 918 (7th Cir. 1995).....	16
<i>Silverman v. Wells Fargo & Co.</i> , 2018 WL 6046209 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 19, 2018).....	31
<i>Spectrum Sports, Inc. v. McQuillan</i> , 506 U.S. 447 (1993)	27, 28
<i>Tampa Elec. Co. v. Nashville Co.</i> , 365 U.S. 320 (1961)	17
<i>*Teradata Corp. v. SAP SE</i> , 2018 WL 6528009 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2018).....	26
<i>*Twin City Sportservice, Inc. v. Charles O. Finley & Co.</i> , 676 F.2d 1291 (9th Cir. 1982)	19, 27

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.