

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
CIVIL DIVISION

MUSLIM ADVOCATES,

Plaintiff,

v.

MARK ZUCKERBERG, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No: 2021 CA 001114 B
Judge: Anthony C. Epstein

**CONSENT MOTION OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA FOR LEAVE TO
FILE A BRIEF AS AMICUS CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF**

The District of Columbia moves for leave to file the attached brief as amicus curiae in support of plaintiff's opposition to defendants' motions to dismiss. This motion describes the District's interest in this case and discusses why this Court may benefit from hearing its views. Counsel for the defendants consent to this motion.

The District has a paramount interest in protecting its residents from unfair and deceptive trade practices. Pursuant to its authority to enforce the Consumer Protection Procedures Act ("CPPA"), D.C. Code § 28-3901 *et seq.*, the Office of the Attorney General has consistently sought to stop corporations from misleading District consumers about their goods and services. *See id.* § 28-3909(a). The Attorney General is also charged with representing consumers before administrative agencies and legislative bodies, with conducting consumer education programs, and with performing other duties necessary to protect the welfare of consumers. *See id.* § 28-3909(c)(1), (3), (5). Each of these efforts embrace the notion that consumers are entitled to truthful information about the goods and services they use and the risks associated with them.

The perspective of the District can help this Court resolve the important issues raised by this case. First, the District can offer the benefit of experience in interpreting the CPPA and in protecting District consumers from unfair and deceptive trade practices. Second, the District can highlight the consequences of immunizing defendants from District consumer protection law, including for future efforts to regulate similar technology companies.

For the foregoing reasons, the District requests leave to participate as amicus curiae in support of the plaintiff in these proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,

KARL A. RACINE
Attorney General for the District of Columbia

LOREN L. ALIKHAN
Solicitor General

/s/ Caroline S. Van Zile
CAROLINE S. VAN ZILE
Principal Deputy Solicitor General
Bar Number 1017942
Office of the Solicitor General

JIMMY R. ROCK
Assistant Deputy Attorney General
Public Advocacy Division

/s/ Benjamin M. Wiseman
BENJAMIN M. WISEMAN
Director, Office of Consumer Protection
Public Advocacy Division
Bar Number 1005442

Office of the Attorney General
400 6th Street, NW, Suite 8100
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 724-5226
(202) 741-8949 (fax)
benjamin.wiseman@dc.gov

December 6, 2021

**IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
CIVIL DIVISION**

<p>MUSLIM ADVOCATES,</p> <p style="text-align:center">Plaintiff,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>MARK ZUCKERBERG, <i>et al.</i>,</p> <p style="text-align:center">Defendants.</p>	<p>Civil Action No: 2021 CA 001114 B Judge: Anthony C. Epstein</p>
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Upon consideration of the District of Columbia's Consent Motion for Leave to File a Brief as Amicus Curiae in Support of Plaintiff, the parties' consent, and for good cause shown, it is on this _____ day of December, 2021,

ORDERED that the motion is **GRANTED**; and

FUTHER ORDERED that the District's Brief as Amicus Curiae in Support of Plaintiff is accepted for filing.

SO ORDERED.

Judge Anthony C. Epstein
Superior Court of the District of Columbia

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
CIVIL DIVISION

MUSLIM ADVOCATES,

Plaintiff,

v.

MARK ZUCKERBERG, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No: 2021 CA 001114 B
Judge: Anthony C. Epstein

BRIEF OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA AS AMICUS CURIAE
IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.