

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

TYLER TOOMEY, on behalf of himself
and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

RIPPLE LABS, INC., XRP II, LLC, and
BRADLEY GARLINGHOUSE,

Defendants.

Civil Action No.

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT, DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL,
INJUNCTIVE RELIEF SOUGHT**

Plaintiff Tyler Toomey (“Plaintiff”) brings this action on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated against Defendants Ripple Labs, Inc. (“Ripple”), XRP II, LLC, and Bradley Garlinghouse (collectively “Defendants”). Plaintiff makes the following allegations pursuant to the investigation of his counsel and based upon information and belief, except as to the allegations specifically pertaining to himself, which are based on personal knowledge.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a class action lawsuit regarding Defendants’ sale of XRP cryptocurrency tokens to Florida residents in violation of Florida securities laws. Specifically, Defendants sold millions of dollars (or more) of XRP tokens, which are securities under Florida law, to Florida investors without registering the same either with federal or Florida authorities.

2. Recently, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) filed a lawsuit against Defendants and certain other individuals, *Securities And Exchange Commission v. Ripple Labs, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 1:20-cv-10832 (S.D.N.Y.), alleging that “[f]rom at least 2013 through the present, Defendants sold over 14.6 billion units of a digital asset security called

‘XRP,’ in return for cash or other consideration worth over \$1.38 billion U.S. Dollars (“USD”), to fund Ripple’s operations [. . .]. Defendant[] undertook this distribution without registering their offers and sales of XRP with the SEC as required by the federal securities laws, and no exemption from this requirement applied.”¹ Defendants similarly failed to comply with Florida securities laws by failing to register with the Florida Office of Financial Regulation.

3. Under the Florida Securities and Investor Protection Act (the “Act”), “[i]t is unlawful [. . .] for any person to sell or offer to sell a security within this state unless the security is exempt [or] registered pursuant to this chapter.” Fla. Stat. Ann. § 517.07(1). The Act further provides that “[n]o securities that are required to be registered under this chapter shall be sold or offered for sale within this state unless such securities have been registered pursuant to this chapter and unless prior to each sale the purchaser is furnished with a prospectus meeting the requirements of rules adopted by the commission.” Fla. Stat. Ann. § 517.07(2).

4. Ripple’s XRP tokens are securities because they qualify as “investment contracts” under Florida law. Fla. Stat. Ann. § 517.021(22)(q).

5. Defendants did not provide Florida investors with material information regarding the offering of XRP, as would have been required had Defendants complied with the law.

6. Defendants began their unregistered and unlawful sale of XRP in 2013, despite having knowledge as early as 2012 that XRP could be considered an “investment contract” under federal and, by extension, Florida law. Nevertheless, Defendants chose to disregard filing requirements and initiated a vast offering of XRP without registration. Further, Defendants made affirmative representations to the investing public that XRP is not a security, when in fact it is.

¹ The SEC’s Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

7. Defendants continued to sell unregistered XRP to consumers from 2013 through the present. However, after the filing of the SEC suit, several major cryptocurrency exchanges have elected to delist XRP, ostensibly to avoid liability for selling an unregistered security. This includes major exchanges Coinbase, Binance.US, OkCoin, and most recently Blockchain.com.²

8. Through this strategy, Ripple has raised well over a billion dollars through the sale of XRP, which has been used to fund the company's operations, as well as substantially enriching Ripple's executives, to the tune of \$600 million.

9. Defendants still hold substantial XRP that they can continue to monetize, while creating substantial risk to investors. As such, injunctive relief is appropriate.

10. Based on the foregoing, and the allegations set forth below, Plaintiff brings this action for violation of the Florida Securities and Investor Protection Act, violation of Florida's Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, Fla. Stat. Ann. §§ 501.201, *et seq.* ("FDUTPA"), fraudulent misrepresentation, negligent misrepresentation, unjust enrichment, and money had and received.

11. As a result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff and Class members have suffered damages.

PARTIES

12. Plaintiff Tyler Toomey is a citizen of Florida who resides in Jacksonville, Florida. Plaintiff purchased 135 XRP on or around November 24, 2020 for \$97.80. Plaintiff sold his XRP first in a transaction on or around December 7, 2020 wherein he sold 33.1 XRP for \$20, and second in a transaction on or around December 28, 2020 wherein he sold 101.9 XRP for 0.0383 Ethereum (\$28.56). Thus, Plaintiff sustained a loss of \$48.56, or just over 50% of his

² <https://cointelegraph.com/news/blockchain-com-follows-other-exchanges-in-delisting-xrp> (last visited 1/7/21).

initial investment.

13. Defendant Ripple Labs, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with a principal place of business at 315 Montgomery Street 2nd Floor San Francisco, California 94104.

14. Defendant XRP II, LLC is Ripple's wholly-owned subsidiary. It was founded in approximately 2013, has been organized as a New York limited liability company since at least 2015, and is the entity through which Ripple offered and sold most of its XRP.

15. Defendant Bradley Garlinghouse is the Chief Executive Officer of Ripple. Defendant Garlinghouse became CEO of Ripple in or around January 2017. Defendant Garlinghouse was Ripple's President and Chief Operating Officer from approximately April 2015 through December 2016. Defendant Garlinghouse is a resident of San Mateo, California. Garlinghouse exercised control over Ripple and directed and/or authorized, directly or indirectly, the sale and solicitation of XRP to the public.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

16. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A), as modified by the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, because at least one member of the Class, as defined below (the "Class"), is a citizen of a different state than Defendants, there are more than 100 members of the Class, and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs.

17. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because many of the acts and transactions giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

18. Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this District because they (a) are authorized to conduct business in Florida and have intentionally availed themselves of the laws

and markets within Florida through the promotion, marketing, distribution, and sale of the XRP tokens at issue in this District; and (b) because Plaintiffs purchased XRP tokens in Florida.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

A. Background On Crypto-Assets

19. XRP is a digital token created by Ripple which falls into the general realm of crypto-assets, colloquially referred to as “cryptocurrencies.” But as discussed below, XRP is really an unregistered security sold to Plaintiff and Class members in violation of Florida law.

20. Bitcoin was the first major crypto-asset which grew in popularity due to three main features: 1) it offers a secure medium of exchange, 2) supply is controlled, and 3) it is decentralized.

21. Bitcoin offers a secure medium of exchange because it can be securely transferred to only one person at a time through a digital ledger system known as a “blockchain.” The blockchain ledger system tracks the ownership of every Bitcoin in existence. Every Bitcoin user has a digital address, tantamount to a bank account number, that is used to receive Bitcoin. The blockchain lists every address and amount of Bitcoin associated with that address. The history of every transaction involving each Bitcoin is set forth on the blockchain, which can be used to verify each transaction.

22. Bitcoin both maintains the blockchain and controls supply through a process called “mining.” Miners essentially work as auditors of the blockchain to verify Bitcoin transactions. This is a complex and process done through sophisticated computer programs. Miners who verify the transactions are rewarded with new Bitcoin. Because the verification process gets more and more difficult as more and more Bitcoin is produced and more transactions take place, it becomes harder to mine. This natural check ensures that there are no

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.