

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
TAMPA DIVISION

GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES  
INSURANCE CO., GEICO  
INDEMNITY CO., GEICO  
GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY,  
and GEICO CASULTY CO.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case No. 8:20-cv-2419-VMC-CPT

AFO IMAGING, INC. d/b/a  
ADVANCED DIAGNOSTIC GROUP,  
RADIOLOGY IMAGING  
SPECIALISTS, LLC d/b/a  
CAREFIRST IMAGING, KEVIN  
JOHNSON, CHINTAN DESAI,  
ROBERT D. MARTINEZ, and  
STANLEY ZIMMELMAN,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

**ORDER**

This matter comes before the Court upon consideration of Defendants AFO Imaging, Inc. d/b/a Advanced Diagnostic Group, Kevin Johnson, Dr. Chintan Desai, Dr. Robert D. Martinez, and Dr. Stanley Zimmelman's (collectively, the "Advanced Diagnostic Defendants") Motion to Dismiss (Doc. # 36), filed on January 4, 2021. Plaintiffs Government Employees Insurance Co., Geico Indemnity Co., Geico General Insurance Company, and GEICO Casualty Co. responded on January 19, 2021. (Doc. # 46). For the reasons set forth below, the Motion is denied.

## **I. Background**

Plaintiffs are motor vehicle insurers that have reimbursed Defendants for certain personal injury protection insurance ("PIP insurance") covered radiology procedures. (Doc. # 1 at ¶¶ 1, 9). Defendants are medical diagnostic centers and medical diagnostic center owners or directors. (Id. at ¶¶ 10-15). Advanced Diagnostic owns and operates multiple Florida diagnostic centers, with eight locations in Tampa, Brandon, Lakeland, Kissimmee, Orlando, and Palm Beach Gardens. (Id. at ¶ 10). During the relevant time periods, Plaintiffs allege that Kevin Johnson was the owner of Advanced Diagnostic. (Id. at ¶ 12). Dr. Chintan Desai is a radiologist who serves or served as the medical director of Advanced Diagnostic's Tampa, Brandon, and Orlando locations. (Id. at ¶¶ 11, 15). Dr. Robert Martinez is a physician who serves or served as the medical director of Advanced Diagnostic's Lakeland location. (Id. at ¶ 13). Dr. Stanley Zimmerman is a physician who serves or served as the medical director of four of Advanced Diagnostic's locations - in Tampa, Palm Beach Gardens, Kissimmee, and Orlando. (Id. at ¶ 14).

Plaintiffs aver that the Advanced Diagnostic Defendants entered into two related fraudulent schemes. First, Defendants allegedly submitted or caused to be submitted

thousands of PIP insurance charges for medically unnecessary, falsified radiology services, namely for magnetic resonance imaging ("MRIs"). (Id. at ¶¶ 1, 7). Second, the Advanced Diagnostic medical centers allegedly operated in violation of Florida law because their medical directors failed to properly perform their duties. (Id. at ¶¶ 120-38).

In the first alleged fraudulent scheme, Plaintiffs aver that the Advanced Diagnostic Defendants repeatedly billed them for medically unnecessary MRIS from at least 2015 to the present. (Doc. # 1 at ¶ 48). In support of this allegation, Plaintiffs provide a substantial number of examples of PIP-covered patients who were "involved in relatively minor, low-speed, low-impact 'fender-bender' accidents," and sustained only minor, soft tissue injuries - to the extent that they suffered any injuries at all. (Id. at ¶¶ 50-52, 53). These patients either did not seek treatment at a hospital following their accident or were discharged shortly thereafter without being admitted. (Id. at ¶ 51). Following these relatively minor accidents, the patients visited an Advanced Diagnostic clinic, where an employee performed an MRI as an initial diagnostic tool, despite the fact that "[i]n a legitimate clinical setting, MRIs should not be used as an initial form of diagnostic testing in the treatment of patients

complaining of soft tissue injuries such as sprains secondary to automobile accidents.” (Id. at ¶¶ 55-58, 63). This is because most “soft tissue injuries such as sprains and strains will resolve over a period of weeks through conservative treatment, or no treatment at all.” (Id. at ¶ 66).

Not only did a substantial number of patients involved in these minor accidents receive MRIs, but they also received substantially the same MRIs. (Id. at ¶ 70). The Advanced Diagnostic Defendants “routinely purported to perform and/or provide both cervical and lumbar MRIs with respect to [patients] who had not been seriously injured in their accidents, did not plausibly require both cervical and lumbar MRIs (or any MRIs), and in any case did not require cervical and lumbar MRIs as a first-line diagnostic test, before they had failed a legitimate course of conservative treatment.” (Id. at ¶ 71). This is also despite the fact that these patients were different ages, heights, weights, were in different physical conditions and locations within the vehicle, and the locations of impact differed. (Id. at ¶¶ 73-78). Plaintiffs provide a number of representative examples. (Id. at ¶ 79). For instance:

On June 28, 2017 two Insureds – JK and KG – were involved in the same automobile accident. JK and KG were different ages, in different physical

condition, and experienced the impact from different positions in the vehicle. To the extent that they suffered any injuries at all in the accident, their injuries were different, and resolved at different rates. They did not require substantially identical MRIs on the same date as their accident. Even so, Advanced Diagnostic, Johnson, Zimmelman, and Desai submitted bills to GEICO for MRI scans purportedly performed on the cervical spine and lumbar spine of both JK and KG at AD-Kissimmee on June 28, 2017.

\* \* \*

On March 29, 2019, two Insureds - BD and JD - were involved in the same automobile accident. BD and JD were different ages, in different physical condition, and experienced the impact from different positions in the vehicle. To the extent that they suffered any injuries at all in the accident, their injuries were different, and resolved at different rates. They did not require substantially identical MRIs on or about the same date after their accident. Even so, Advanced Diagnostic, Johnson, Martinez, and Desai submitted bills to GEICO for MRI scans purportedly performed on the cervical and lumbar spines of BD and JD at AD-Lakeland on April 18, 2019.

(Id.).

After performing these unnecessary MRIs, Plaintiffs allege that the Advanced Diagnostic Defendants falsely diagnosed these patients "in order to make it appear as if the [patients] had suffered from serious injuries as the result of their automobile accidents, when in fact they had not." (Id. at ¶ 83). In support of this, Plaintiffs provide a number of examples of Advanced Diagnostic patients who were

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.