

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
PENSACOLA DIVISION**

IN RE: 3M COMBAT ARMS
EARPLUG PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

PLAINTIFF(S),

v.

3M COMPANY, 3M
OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY LLC,
AEARO HOLDING LLC, AEARO
INTERMEDIATE LLC, AEARO LLC,
and AEARO TECHNOLOGIES LLC,

DEFENDANTS.

Case No. 3:19-md-2885

Judge M. Casey Rodgers
Magistrate Judge Gary R. Jones

MASTER SHORT FORM
COMPLAINT AND
JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Civil Action No.: N/A

MASTER SHORT FORM COMPLAINT AND JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Trial Demand filed in *In re: 3M Combat Arms Earplug Products Liability Litigation* on September 20, 2019. Pursuant to Pretrial Order No. _____, this Short Form Complaint adopts the allegations, claims, and requested relief as set forth in the Master Long Form Complaint. As necessary herein, Plaintiff(s) may include:

(a) additional claims and allegations against Defendants, as set forth in Paragraphs 10 and 11 or an additional sheet attached hereto; and/or (b) additional claims and

William Barton,

allegations against other Defendants not listed in the Master Long Form Complaint, as set forth in Paragraphs 12 and 13 or an additional sheet attached hereto.

Plaintiff(s) further allege(s) as follows:

I. DEFENDANTS

1. Plaintiff(s) name(s) the following Defendants in this action:

- ☒ 3M Company
- ☒ 3M Occupational Safety LLC
- ☒ Aearo Holding LLC
- ☒ Aearo Intermediate LLC
- ☒ Aearo LLC
- ☒ Aearo Technologies LLC

II. PLAINTIFF(S)

2. Name of Plaintiff:

Stephen		Hacker
First	Middle	Last

3. Name of spouse of Plaintiff (if applicable to loss of consortium claim):

Eileen Hacker

4. Name and capacity (*i.e.*, executor, administrator, guardian, conservator, etc.) of other Plaintiff, if any:

5. State(s) of residence of Plaintiff(s):
NV

III. JURISDICTION

6. Basis for jurisdiction (diversity of citizenship or other):
Diversity of Citizenship, or Other
7. Designated forum (United States District Court and Division) in which venue would be proper absent direct filing:

IV. USE OF DUAL-ENDED COMBAT ARMS EARPLUG

8. Plaintiff used the Dual-Ended Combat Arms Earplug:
- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

V. INJURIES

9. Plaintiff alleges the following injuries and/or side effects as a result of using the Dual-Ended Combat Arms Earplug:
- ☒ Hearing loss
- ☐ Sequelae to hearing loss
- ☒ Other [specify below]

Tinnitus

VI. CAUSES OF ACTION

10. Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) in this Short Form Complaint the following claims asserted in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Trial Demand, and the allegations with regard thereto as set forth in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Trial Demand:

- ☒ Count I – Design Defect – Negligence
- ☒ Count II – Design Defect – Strict Liability
- ☒ Count III – Failure to Warn – Negligence
- ☒ Count IV – Failure to Warn – Strict Liability
- ☒ Count V – Breach of Express Warranty
- ☒ Count VI – Breach of Implied Warranty
- ☒ Count VII – Negligent Misrepresentation
- ☒ Count VIII – Fraudulent Misrepresentation
- ☒ Count IX – Fraudulent Concealment
- ☒ Count X – Fraud and Deceit
- ☒ Count XI – Gross Negligence
- ☒ Count XII – Negligence Per Se
- ☒ Count XIII – Consumer Fraud and/or Unfair Trade
- ☒ Practices Count XIV – Loss of Consortium
- ☒ Count XV – Unjust Enrichment
- ☒ Count XVI – Punitive Damages

☒ Count XVII – Other [specify below]

11. If additional claims against the Defendants identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Trial Demand are alleged in Paragraph 10, the facts supporting these allegations must be pleaded. Plaintiff(s) assert(s) the following factual allegations against the Defendants identified in the Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Trial Demand:
12. Plaintiff(s) contend(s) that additional parties may be liable or responsible for Plaintiff(s)' damages alleged herein. Such additional parties, who will be hereafter referred to as Defendants, are as follows (must name each Defendant and its citizenship):

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.