

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

CASE NO. 21-MD-2989-ALTONAGA/TORRES

In re:

**JANUARY 2021 SHORT SQUEEZE
TRADING LITIGATION**

_____ /

This Document Relates to All Claims Included
In the Robinhood Tranche

**DEFENDANTS ROBINHOOD MARKETS, INC., ROBINHOOD FINANCIAL LLC AND
ROBINHOOD SECURITIES, LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS THE ROBINHOOD
TRANCHE COMPLAINT AND INCORPORATED MEMORANDUM OF LAW**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT 1

BACKGROUND 5

I. The Contractual Relationship Between Robinhood and Its Customers..... 5

II. The Mechanics of Securities Trading. 7

III. The Unprecedented Market Volatility of January 2021. 8

IV. The Events of January 28, 2021 and Onward. 11

LEGAL STANDARDS 13

I. Applicable Standard..... 13

II. Applicable Law. 13

ARGUMENT 16

I. PLAINTIFFS’ NEGLIGENCE AND GROSS NEGLIGENCE CLAIMS FAIL BECAUSE PLAINTIFFS DO NOT ADEQUATELY ALLEGE THAT ROBINHOOD OWED THEM A DUTY IN TORT..... 17

A. Robinhood Owes Its Customers Contractual Obligations, Not Tort Duties..... 17

B. Robinhood Does Not Owe Its Customers a Generalized Duty of Care 21

C. Plaintiffs Cannot Rely on Regulations and Self-Regulatory Rules for Which There Are No Private Rights of Action to Impose a Tort Duty on Robinhood 25

II. PLAINTIFFS CANNOT PLEAD A NEGLIGENCE PER SE CLAIM..... 28

III. ROBINHOOD DOES NOT OWE ITS CUSTOMERS A FIDUCIARY DUTY..... 29

A. Robinhood Owed No Duty to Customer Plaintiffs To Make Its Brokerage Services Available For Any Specific Security At All Times 29

B. Robinhood Also Owed No Duty to Plaintiff Moody for Canceled Orders 34

IV. ROBINHOOD OWES NO DUTIES TO NON-ROBINHOOD CUSTOMERS—ALL CLAIMS BROUGHT BY NON-ROBINHOOD CUSTOMERS THEREFORE FAIL.... 36

A. The Negligence-Based Claims on Behalf of Non-Robinhood Customers Fail Because Robinhood Does Not Owe Them a Duty..... 36

B. Robinhood Does Not Owe a Fiduciary Duty to Non-Robinhood Customer Investors 37

V. PLAINTIFFS’ COMPLAINT SHOULD BE DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE..... 38

CONCLUSION..... 38

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page(s)
<i>Aas v. Super. Ct.</i> , 12 P.3d 1125 (Cal. 2000)	19
<i>Apollo Capital Fund LLC v. Roth Capital Partners LLC</i> , 70 Cal. Rptr. 3d 199 (Ct. App. 2007)	30, 33
<i>Arndt v. Twenty-One Eighty-Five, LLC</i> , 448 F. Supp. 3d 1310 (S.D. Fla. 2020).....	13, 14, 15
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	13
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	13
<i>Biakanja v. Irving</i> , 320 P.2d 16 (Cal. 1958).....	23
<i>Bily v. Arthur Young & Co.</i> , 834 P.2d 745 (Cal. 1992)	17, 21
<i>Body Jewelz, Inc. v. Valley Forge Ins. Co.</i> , 241 F. Supp. 3d 1084 (C.D. Cal. 2017).....	23
<i>Brown v. Cal. Pension Adm'rs & Consultants, Inc.</i> , 52 Cal. Rptr. 2d 788 (Ct. App. 1996)	19, 29, 30
<i>Burdick v. Bank of Am., N.A.</i> , 99 F. Supp. 3d 1372 (S.D. Fla. 2015).....	20
<i>Caravan Mobile Home Sales, Inc. v. Lehman Bros. Kuhn Loeb</i> , 769 F.2d 561 (9th Cir. 1985).....	34
<i>Carleton v. Tortosa</i> , 17 Cal. Rptr. 2d 734 (Ct. App. 1993).....	35
<i>Cavero v. Law Offices of Erskine & Fleisher</i> , No. 12-21196-CIV, 2012 WL 13134213 (S.D. Fla. Aug. 28, 2012).....	9
<i>Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's of London, UK Subscribing to Pol'y No. B1230AP56189A14 v. Ocean Walk Resort Condo. Ass'n, Inc.</i> , No. 616CV258ORL37GJK, 2017 WL 3034069 (M.D. Fla. July 18, 2017).....	24
<i>Champion v. Feld Ent., Inc.</i> , No. 20-CV-2400-DMS-KSC, 2021 WL 1812764 (S.D. Cal. May 6, 2021).....	17
<i>Clanton v. Inter.Net Glob., L.L.C.</i> , 435 F.3d 1319 (11th Cir. 2006)	13
<i>Clay Elec. Co-op., Inc. v. Johnson</i> , 873 So. 2d 1182 (Fla. 2003).....	24
<i>Cobos v. Robinhood Financial LLC, et al.</i> , No. 2:21-cv-00843-VAP-MRWx, 2021 WL 1035123 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 10, 2021).....	3

Cooper v. Meridian Yachts, Ltd., 575 F.3d 1151 (11th Cir. 2009).....14

Curd v. Mosaic Fertilizer, LLC, 39 So.3d 1216 (Fla. 2010).....24

D.A.M. v. Barr, 474 F. Supp. 3d 45 (D.D.C. 2020).....11

DeJesus v. Seaboard Coast Line R.R., 281 So. 2d 198 (Fla. 1973).....28

Deloitte & Touche v. Gencor Indus., Inc., 929 So. 2d 678 (Fla. 5th DCA 2006)16

Detwiler v. Bank of Cent. Fla., 736 So. 2d 757 (Fla. 5th DCA 1999).....35

Erlich v. Menezes, 981 P.2d 978 (Cal. 1999).....18, 20, 23

Estate of Johnson ex rel. Johnson v. Badger Acquisition of Tampa LLC,
983 So. 2d 1175 (Fla. 2d DCA 2008)27

Fox v. Lifemark Sec. Corp., 84 F. Supp. 3d 239 (W.D.N.Y. 2015).....25

Gochnauer v. A.G. Edward & Sons, Inc., 810 F.2d 1042 (11th Cir. 1987).....32, 34

Gracey v. Eaker, 837 So. 2d 348 (Fla. 2002)37

Gurfein v. Ameritrade, Inc., 312 F. App'x 410 (2d Cir. 2009).....26

Hallock v. Holiday Isle Resort & Marina, Inc., 4 So. 3d 17 (Fla. 3d DCA 2009)35

Harper v. Wausau Ins. Co., 66 Cal. Rptr. 2d 64 (Ct. App. 1997).....27

Hauptman v. Interactive Brokers, LLC, 349 F. Supp. 3d 292 (S.D.N.Y. 2018).....27

Hi-Tech Pharm., Inc. v. HBS Int'l Corp., 910 F.3d 1186 (11th Cir. 2018).....15, 16

Horsley v. Feldt, 304 F.3d 1125 (11th Cir. 2002).....15

In re Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc. Tires Prods. Liab. Litig., 155 F. Supp. 2d 1069
(S.D. Ind. 2001)14

In re Series 7 Broker Qualification Exam Scoring Litig., 510 F. Supp. 2d 35
(D.D.C. 2007)26

In re Verifone Sec. Litig., 11 F.3d 865 (9th Cir. 1993).....26

Ins. Co. of the W. v. Island Dream Homes, Inc., 679 F.3d 1295 (11th Cir. 2012)20

Island Travel & Tours, Co. v. MYR Indep., Inc., 300 So. 3d 1236
(Fla. 3d DCA 2020)20

J'Aire Corp. v. Gregory, 598 P.2d 60 (Cal. 1979).....23

Jablon v. Dean Witter & Co., 614 F.2d 677 (9th Cir. 1980)26

Kidder Peabody & Co. v. Unigestion Int’l, Ltd., 903 F. Supp. 479
(S.D.N.Y. 1995).....26

Kurtz-Ahlers, LLC v. Bank of Am., N.A., 262 Cal. Rptr. 3d 420 (Ct. App. 2020)22

La Grasta v. First Union Sec., Inc., 358 F.3d 840 (11th Cir. 2004).....9

Lamm v. State St. Bank & Tr., 749 F.3d 938 (11th Cir. 2014)24

Leib v. Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc., 461 F. Supp. 951
(E.D. Mich. 1978).....32

Liese v. Indian River Cty. Hosp. Dist., 701 F.3d 334 (11th Cir. 2012)28

Maxcess, Inc. v. Lucent Techs., Inc., 433 F.3d 1337 (11th Cir. 2005)15

Meyers v. Guar. Sav. & Loan Ass’n, 144 Cal. Rptr. 616 (Ct. App. 1978).....35

*Misabec Mercantile, Inc. De Panama v. Donaldson, Lufkin & Jenrette ACLI
Futures, Inc.*, 853 F.2d 834 (11th Cir. 1988).....34, 35

Moody, et al. v. Robinhood Financial LLC, No. 21-cv-00861 (N.D. Cal.)18

Neel v. Magana, Olney, Levy, Cathcart & Gelfand, 98 Cal. Rptr. 837
(Ct. App. 1971)20

Orey v. Super. Ct., 152 Cal. Rptr. 3d 878 (Ct. App. 2013).....17

Paszamant v. Ret. Accts., Inc., 776 So. 2d 1049 (Fla. 5th DCA 2001).....25

Peregrine Pharms., Inc. v. Clinical Supplies Mgmt., Inc., No. 12-cv-1608-JGB,
2015 WL 13309286 (C.D. Cal. June 22, 2015)19, 20, 22, 23

Petersen v. Sec. Settlement Corp., 277 Cal. Rptr. 468 (Ct. App. 1991)30, 31

Pinchasov v. Robinhood Financial LLC, No. 20-cv-24897-CMA (S.D. Fla.)24, 36

Price (Tilley) v. Charles Schwab & Co., No. 14-cv-06194, 2015 WL 9694811
(C.D. Cal. Apr. 6, 2015).....26

Quiroz v. Seventh Ave. Ctr., 45 Cal. Rptr. 3d 222 (Ct. App. 2006).....28

Robinson Helicopter Co. v. Dana Corp., 102 P.3d 268 (Cal. 2004)19

Rocks v. McLaughlin Eng’g Co., 49 So. 3d 823 (Fla. 4th DCA 2010).....21

Rosencrans v. Dover Images, Ltd., 122 Cal. Rptr. 3d 22 (Ct. App. 2011)17

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.