

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

CASE NO. 21-MD-2989-ALTONAGA/TORRES

In re:

**JANUARY 2021 SHORT SQUEEZE
TRADING LITIGATION**

_____ /

This Document Relates to the Federal Securities Tranche

**DEFENDANTS ROBINHOOD MARKETS, INC., ROBINHOOD FINANCIAL LLC AND
ROBINHOOD SECURITIES, LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS THE FEDERAL
SECURITIES TRANCHE COMPLAINT AND INCORPORATED
MEMORANDUM OF LAW**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ii

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT 1

BACKGROUND 4

LEGAL STANDARD..... 11

ARGUMENT 13

I. PLAINTIFFS DO NOT PLEAD MARKET MANIPULATION UNDER EITHER SECTION 9(a) OR SECTION 10(b) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT..... 13

 A. Plaintiffs Do Not Adequately Plead Manipulative Conduct..... 14

 1. Plaintiffs Do Not Allege that Robinhood Deceived Investors About Its Purchase Restrictions. 15

 2. Plaintiffs Do Not Allege a Violation of Any of the Six Subsections of Section 9(a). 19

 B. Plaintiffs Do Not Adequately Plead the Requisite State of Mind..... 23

II. PLAINTIFFS DO NOT PLEAD A MISREPRESENTATION CLAIM UNDER SECTION 10(b) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT. 26

 A. Plaintiffs Cannot Bring a Section 10(b) Claim Based on Robinhood’s Statements About Its Own Business To Recover Alleged Losses from Plaintiffs’ Sale of Securities of Other Companies. 28

 1. Plaintiffs Lack Statutory Standing. 28

 2. Plaintiffs Cannot Satisfy the “In Connection With” Element..... 30

 B. Plaintiffs Do Not Adequately Plead Other Essential Elements of Their Section 10(b) Misrepresentation Claim. 31

 1. Plaintiffs Do Not Adequately Plead Any False or Misleading Statements. 31

 2. Plaintiffs Do Not Adequately Plead Loss Causation. 34

 3. Plaintiffs Do Not Adequately Plead Scierter..... 36

III. PLAINTIFFS’ CLAIMS SHOULD BE DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE..... 39

CONCLUSION..... 39

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page(s)
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	11
<i>ATSI Communications, Inc. v. Shaar Fund, Ltd.</i> , 493 F.3d 87 (2d Cir. 2007)	passim
<i>Baum v. Phillips, Appel & Walden, Inc.</i> , 648 F. Supp. 1518 (S.D.N.Y. 1986), <i>aff'd</i> <i>sub nom. Asch v. Philips, Appel & Walden, Inc.</i> , 867 F.2d 776 (2d Cir. 1989)	20, 24
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	11
<i>Blue Chip Stamps v. Manor Drug Stores</i> , 421 U.S. 723 (1975).....	29, 30
<i>Brady v. Top Ships Inc.</i> , No. 17-cv-4987 (BMC), 2019 WL 3553999 (E.D.N.Y. Aug. 5, 2019), <i>aff'd sub nom. Onel v. Top Ships, Inc.</i> , 806 F. App'x 64 (2d Cir. 2020)	16, 18, 23
<i>Bryant v. Avado Brands, Inc.</i> , 187 F.3d 1271 (11th Cir. 1999).....	6, 13
<i>Carvelli v. Ocwen Fin. Corp.</i> , 934 F.3d 1307 (11th Cir. 2019).....	12
<i>Chill v. Gen. Elec. Co.</i> , 101 F.3d 263, 268 (2d Cir. 1996)	37
<i>Cohen v. Stevanovich</i> , 722 F. Supp. 2d 416 (S.D.N.Y. 2010).....	12, 17, 19, 26
<i>Connolly v. Havens</i> , 763 F. Supp. 6 (S.D.N.Y. 1991)	12
<i>Crane Co. v. Westinghouse Air Brake Co.</i> , 419 F.2d 787 (2d Cir. 1969).....	16, 24
<i>Crummere v. Smith Barney, Harris, Upham & Co.</i> , 624 F. Supp. 751 (S.D.N.Y. 1985).....	30
<i>D.A.M. v. Barr</i> , 474 F. Supp. 3d 45 (D.D.C. 2020).....	5, 7
<i>Dura Pharms., Inc. v. Broudo</i> , 544 U.S. 336 (2005).....	34, 35, 36
<i>Ernst & Ernst v. Hochfelder</i> , 425 U.S. 185 (1976).....	13, 19
<i>Fezzani v. Bear, Stearns & Co.</i> , 384 F. Supp. 2d 618 (S.D.N.Y. 2004).....	12
<i>FindWhat Investor Grp. v. FindWhat.com</i> , 658 F.3d 1282 (11th Cir. 2011)	passim
<i>GFL Advantage Fund, Ltd. v. Colkitt</i> , 272 F.3d 189 (3d Cir. 2001)	15
<i>Gurary v. Winehouse</i> , 190 F.3d 37 (2d Cir. 1999)	15

Halliburton Co. v. Erica P. John Fund, Inc., 573 U.S. 258 (2014).....27

Harbinger Capital Partners LLC v. Deere & Co., 632 F. App’x 653
(2d Cir. 2015).....28

Harris v. Ivax Corp., 182 F.3d 799 (11th Cir. 1999).....32

Henningsen v. ADT Corp., 161 F. Supp. 3d 1161 (S.D. Fla. 2015)31, 38

I.B. Trading, Inc. v. Tripoint Glob. Equities, LLC, 280 F. Supp. 3d 524
(S.D.N.Y. 2017).....14

In re Altisource Portfolio Solutions, S.A. Securities Litigation, No. 14-81156,
2015 WL 12001262 (S.D. Fla. Sept. 4, 2015)29

In re Galectin Therapeutics, Inc. Sec. Litig., 843 F.3d 1257 (11th Cir. 2016).....13

In re GeoPharma, Inc. Sec. Litig., 399 F. Supp. 2d 432 (S.D.N.Y. 2005)38, 39

In re Merrill Lynch Auction Rate Sec. Litig., 704 F. Supp. 2d 378
(S.D.N.Y. 2010), *aff’d sub nom. Wilson v. Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc.*,
671 F.3d 120 (2d Cir. 2011).....17

In re Nortel Networks Corp. Sec. Litig., 238 F. Supp. 2d 613 (S.D.N.Y. 2003)30

In re Williams Sec. Litig.–WCG Subclass, 558 F.3d 1130 (10th Cir. 2009).....34

Janus Capital Group v. First Derivative Traders, 564 U.S. 135 (2011).....29

Kraft v. Third Coast Midstream, No. 19-CV-9398 (LJL), 2021 WL 860987
(S.D.N.Y. Mar. 8, 2021)16

La Grasta v. First Union Sec., Inc., 358 F.3d 840 (11th Cir. 2004).....6

Lentell v. Merrill Lynch & Co., 396 F.3d 161 (2d Cir. 2005).....35

Luce v. Edelstein, 802 F.2d 49 (2d Cir. 1986)27

Menora Mivtachim Ins. Ltd. v. Int’l Flavors & Fragrances Inc.,
No. 19 CIV. 7536, 2021 WL 1199035 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 30, 2021)29, 30

Metzler Inv. GMBH v. Corinthian Colleges, Inc., 540 F.3d 1049 (9th Cir. 2008).....27

Meyer v. Greene, 710 F.3d 1189 (11th Cir. 2013).....34, 35

Mills v. Polar Molecular Corp., 12 F.3d 1170 (2d Cir. 1993).....31

Mizzaro v. Home Depot, Inc., 544 F.3d 1230 (11th Cir. 2008)13

Ontario Public Service Employees Union Pension Trust Fund v. Nortel Networks Corp., 369 F.3d 27 (2d Cir. 2004)28, 29

Panfil v. ACC Corp., 768 F. Supp. 54 (W.D.N.Y. 1991)21, 22, 24

Pelletier v. Stuart-James Co., 863 F.2d 1550 (11th Cir. 1989)30

Pross v. Katz, 784 F.2d 455 (2d Cir. 1986)30

Ray v. Lehman Bros. Kuhn Loeb, 624 F. Supp. 16 (N.D. Ga. 1984).....24

Robbins v. Koger Properties, Inc., 116 F.3d 1441 (11th Cir. 1997).....34

Santa Fe Indus., Inc. v. Green, 430 U.S. 462 (1977).....15

Saxe v. E.F. Hutton & Co., 789 F.2d 105 (2d Cir. 1986)31

SEC v. Lek Sec. Corp., 276 F. Supp. 3d 49 (S.D.N.Y. 2017).....20

SEC v. Malenfant, 784 F. Supp. 141 (S.D.N.Y. 1992)15

SEC v. Resch-Cassin & Co., 362 F. Supp. 964 (S.D.N.Y. 1973)15, 24

South Cherry Street, LLC v. Hennessee Group LLC, 573 F.3d 98 (2d Cir. 2009)23

Spencer Cos. v. Agency Rent-A-Car, Inc., No. 81-2097-S, 1981 WL 1680 (D. Mass. Sept. 21, 1981)20

Sterne, Agee & Leach, Inc. v. Nat’l Sec. Clearing Corp., No. CV-07-BE-909-S, 2008 WL 11424178 (N.D. Ala. Sept. 30, 2008)12

Stoneridge Inv. Partners, LLC v. Scientific-Atlanta, Inc., 552 U.S. 148 (2008)29

Tellabs, Inc. v. Makor Issues & Rts., Ltd., 551 U.S. 308 (2007)12, 26, 38

Trane Co. v. O’Connor Sec., 561 F. Supp. 301 (S.D.N.Y. 1983).....17, 23, 25

Univ. Express, Inc. v. SEC, 177 F. App’x 52 (11th Cir. 2006).....17

Urcuyo v. Invertec Corp., No. 05-22291-CIV, 2006 WL 8433171 (S.D. Fla. May 2, 2006)30

Wilson v. Merrill Lynch & Co., 671 F.3d 120 (2d Cir. 2011).....15

Y-GAR Cap. LLC v. Credit Suisse Grp. AG, No. 19 Civ. 2827 (AT), 2020 WL 71163 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 2, 2020)22

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.