

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

**Case No. 9:19-cv-81160-RS**

APPLE INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CORELLIUM, LLC,

Defendant.

**PLAINTIFF APPLE INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS DEFENDANT CORELLIUM, LLC'S  
COUNTERCLAIMS AND SUPPORTING MEMORANDUM OF LAW**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
INTRODUCTION .....	1
BACKGROUND .....	3
A.    Procedural History. ....	3
B.    Apple Security Bounty Program. ....	4
C.    Corellium’s Claims Against Apple. ....	6
ARGUMENT .....	6
I.    CORELLIUM LACKS STANDING TO PURSUE DECLARATORY OR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF. ....	7
A.    Corellium’s Declaratory Judgment Act Claims Must Be Dismissed. ....	8
B.    Corellium’s Claims for Injunctive Relief Must Be Dismissed. ....	9
II.   CORELLIUM FAILS TO STATE A CLAIM FOR CONSTRUCTIVE FRAUD. ....	10
III.  CORELLIUM FAILS TO STATE A CLAIM FOR QUANTUM MERUIT. ....	11
IV.  CORELLIUM FAILS TO STATE A CLAIM UNDER CALIFORNIA’S UCL. ....	15
A.    Corellium’s UCL Claims For Unlawful Business Practices Fail Because Its Constructive Fraud And Quantum Meruit Claims Fail. ....	15
B.    Corellium’s UCL Claim For Unfair Business Practices Fails Because It Has Not Alleged A Cognizable Unfair Business Practice. ....	16
C.    Commercial Disputes Are Not Cognizable Under The UCL. ....	17
D.    Corellium’s Claim Fails Because It Does Not Allege A Restitutory Injury. ....	18
V.   CORELLIUM FAILS TO STATE A CLAIM UNDER FLORIDA’S DECEPTIVE AND UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES ACT. ....	19

A. Corellium Has Not Alleged A Deceptive Act Or Unfair Trade Practice.....19

B. Corellium Has Not Alleged “Actual Damages” Under The Act. ....20

CONCLUSION.....20

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Page(s)**

**CASES**

*A&M Gerber Chiropractic LLC v. GEICO Gen. Ins. Co.*,  
925 F.3d 1205 (11th Cir. 2019) .....7, 8

*Access Now, Inc. v. S. Fla. Stadium Corp.*,  
161 F. Supp. 2d 1357 (S.D. Fla. 2001).....2

*Am. Honda Motor Co. v. Motorcycle Info. Network, Inc.*,  
390 F. Supp. 2d 1170 (M.D. Fla. 2005).....10, 11

*Bank of the West v. Superior Court*,  
833 P.2d 545 (Cal. 1992) .....18

*Baron v. Acasta Capital*,  
No. 16-CV-25118, 2017 WL 3084416 (S.D. Fla. July 19, 2017).....14

*Berryman v. Merit Prop. Mgmt., Inc.*,  
62 Cal. Rptr. 3d 177 (Cal. Ct. App. 2007).....16

*Big Five Props., Inc. v. Certain Underwriters at Lloyd’s, London*,  
No. 12-23916-CIV, 2013 WL 12091814 (S.D. Fla. Feb. 27, 2013).....8

*Cal. Med. Ass’n, Inc. v. Aetna U.S. Healthcare of Cal., Inc.*,  
114 Cal. Rptr. 2d 109 (Cal. Ct. App. 2001).....11, 12, 14

*Cel-Tech Commc’ns, Inc. v. L.A. Cellular Tel. Co.*,  
973 P.2d 527 (Cal. 1999) .....15

*Clark v. Superior Court*,  
235 P.3d 171 (Cal. 2010) .....18

*In re ConocoPhillips Co. Serv. Station Rent Contract Litig.*,  
No. 09-cv-02040, 2011 WL 1399783 (N.D. Cal. April 13, 2011).....17

*Corn v. Greco*,  
694 So. 2d 833 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1997) .....14, 15

*Cox v. Porsche Fin. Servs., Inc.*,  
330 F.R.D. 322 (S.D. Fla. 2019).....9

*Cross v. Strader Const. Corp.*,  
768 So. 2d 465 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 2000) .....15

*Davies v. Krasna*,  
535 P.2d 1161 (Cal. 1975) .....10

*Davis v. Powertel, Inc.*,  
776 So. 2d 971 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 2000) .....19

*Day v. Taylor*,  
400 F.3d 1272 (11th Cir. 2005) .....12

*In re De Laurentiis Entm't Grp. Inc.*,  
963 F.2d 1269 (9th Cir. 1992) .....12

*Dolphin LLC v. WCI Cmtys., Inc.*,  
715 F.3d 1243 (11th Cir. 2013) .....19

*Durell v. Sharp Healthcare*,  
108 Cal. Rptr. 3d 682 (Cal. Ct. App. 2010) .....12

*First Data Res., Inc. v. Safecard Servs., Inc.*,  
574 So. 2d 311 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 1991) .....12, 15

*Fulton v. Brancato*,  
189 So. 3d 967 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 2016) .....11

*Graham v. Bank of Am., N.A.*,  
172 Cal. Rptr. 3d 218 (Cal. Ct. App. 2014) .....16

*Graphic Pallet & Transp., Inc. v. Balboa Capital Corp.*,  
No. 11-CV-9101, 2012 WL 1952745 (N.D. Ill. May 30, 2012) .....17

*Gross v. White*,  
340 F. App'x 527 (11th Cir. 2009) .....12

*Hedging Concepts, Inc. v. First All. Mortg. Co.*,  
49 Cal. Rptr. 2d 191 (Cal. Ct. App. 1996), *as modified on denial of reh'g*  
(Feb. 22, 1996) .....11, 12, 15

*Herrejon v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC*,  
980 F. Supp. 2d 1186 (E.D. Cal. 2013) .....16

*Horsley v. Feldt*,  
304 F.3d 1125 (11th Cir. 2002) .....12

*Houston v. Marod Supermarkets, Inc.*,  
733 F.3d 1323 (11th Cir. 2013) .....8

*Jackson v. Calone*,  
No. 2:16-cv-00891, 2017 WL 4844483 (E.D. Cal. Oct. 26, 2017) .....7

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.