

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION**

COMMUNICATIONS WORKERS)	
OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. _____
)	
AT&T MOBILITY SERVICES)	
LLC,)	
)	
Defendant.		

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW COMMUNICATIONS WORKERS OF AMERICA (hereinafter “CWA”), and for its complaint against AT&T MOBILITY SERVICES LCC (hereinafter “AT&T Mobility” or “Company”) shows this Court the following:

Jurisdiction

1. This an action for breach of a collective bargaining agreement in an industry affecting commerce. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to Section 301 of the Labor-Management Relations Act, 29 U.S.C. § 185, and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

Parties & Venue

2. CWA is a labor organization representing employees in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 185. CWA represents the bargaining unit of employees employed by Defendant AT&T Mobility in nine southeastern U.S. states from its regional headquarters located in Atlanta, Georgia.

3. AT&T Mobility is an employer in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 185, operating in nine southeastern U.S. states, including in Georgia. AT&T Mobility is a Delaware limited liability corporation doing business at all times material in Georgia from its principal office address of 1025 Lenox Park Boulevard, Atlanta, Georgia.

4. Venue is proper in this District and Division pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 and 29 U.S.C. § 185.

Factual Allegations

5. CWA and AT&T Mobility have been parties to a series of collective bargaining agreements that establish terms and conditions of employment for bargaining unit employees, including an agreement effective February 10, 2018 through February 11, 2022. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of the parties' 2018-2022 collective bargaining agreement (hereinafter "CBA").

6. Article 7 of the CBA establishes a grievance procedure for resolving disputes between the parties. Section 2(b) of Article 7 defines a grievance as a

“complaint by the Union” alleging, *inter alia*, “that an employee has been discharged, suspended, demoted or otherwise disciplined without just cause.”

7. Pursuant to the provisions of Section 2 of Article 7, grievances not resolved informally between the parties are reduced to writing and processed in accordance with the two step grievance procedure established by Article 7, Section 3.

8. Article 9, Section 1 of the CBA establishes an arbitration provision by which grievances unresolved by the parties through the steps of the grievance procedure may be arbitrated upon written request of either party to the Agreement. Article 9, Section 2 provides that the Union shall request arbitration in writing within sixty calendar days of the Company’s answer or decision. Article 9, Section 3 establishes the process by which the parties maintain a panel of qualified arbitrators to hear grievances over the discipline or discharge of employees by the Company.

9. On May 23, 2019 the Company discharged employee Brian Scully, who until that date held the job title of Retail Sales Consultant at a Company retail facility in Oviedo, Florida. CWA Local 3102 initiated a grievance pursuant to Article 7 of the parties’ CBA alleging that the Company discharged Mr. Scully without just cause. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of the

Record of Grievance reflecting the grievance initiated by CWA Local 3102 over Mr. Scully's discharge.

10. The grievance over Mr. Scully's discharge was processed through the informal step and Step 1 of the grievance procedure by representatives of CWA Local 3102 and AT&T Mobility management. The grievance was denied by the Company at the informal step on June 11, 2019, and denied by the Company at Step 1 on July 16, 2019.

11. By July 17, 2019 letter of Local 3102 President Phil Farrugio, CWA Local 3102 informed AT&T Mobility Labor Relations that the grievance over Mr. Scully's discharge was being appealed to Step 2 of the grievance procedure. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of Mr. Farrugio's July 17, 2019 letter to AT&T Mobility Labor Relations Manager Dean Cordova.

12. On July 24, 2019 CWA Local 3102 formally appealed the grievance to Step 2 and forwarded the grievance to CWA Representative Kevin Kimber for processing at Step 2. By August 1, 2019 letter to AT&T Mobility Labor Relations Manager Cordova, CWA Representative Kimber requested a meeting on the Scully discharge grievance (assigned number ATTMR19-023-3102) at Step 2 of the grievance process. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of Mr. Kimber's August 1, 2019 letter to Cordova.

13. Mr. Kimber and Cordova held a Step 2 grievance meeting on the Scully discharge grievance by telephone on November 20, 2019. By letter of that same date to Mr. Kimber, Cordova confirmed that the Company had requested that the grievance be placed in recess so that the Company could review information presented by CWA during the meeting. Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of Cordova's November 20, 2019 letter to Mr. Kimber.

14. Mr. Kimber and Cordova held another Step 2 grievance meeting on the Scully discharge grievance by telephone on September 3, 2020. In the course of the September 3, 2020 meeting Mr. Kimber informed Cordova that the grievance would be referred to arbitration by CWA if the grievance was not resolved in the grievance process. By letter of that same date to Mr. Kimber, Cordova informed CWA that the grievance over Mr. Scully's discharge was denied by AT&T Mobility. Attached hereto as Exhibit 6 is a true and correct copy of Cordova's September 3, 2020 letter to Mr. Kimber.

15. By letter of November 16, 2020 to AT&T Mobility Labor Relations, Mr. Kimber confirmed that CWA intended to submit the grievance over Mr. Scully's discharge to arbitration. Attached hereto as Exhibit 7 is a true and correct copy of Mr. Kimber's November 16, 2020 letter to Company Labor Relations.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.