Case 1:22-cv-00272-RT-NONE Document 1 Filed 06/14/22 Page 1 of 50

PageID #: 1



The Wai Ola Alliance, a community association of Hawai'i residents seeking to protect the waters of O'ahu, by and through its counsel, hereby alleges:

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is a citizen enforcement action for injunctive relief, civil penalties, and attorney's fees initiated by the Wai Ola Alliance and its individual members (the "Alliance" or "Plaintiffs") for ongoing violations the Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act" or "Act"), 33 U.S.C. §§ 1251–1389, resulting from the United States Department of the Navy's ("Navy" or "Defendant") operation of the Red Hill Bulk Fuel Storage Facility (the "Facility" or "Red Hill"). *See* 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a)(1).
- 2. Defendant has violated, and will continue to violate, the Clean Water Act's statutory prohibition on the unpermitted discharge of pollutants to waters of the United States, section 301(a). *See id.* § 1311(a).
- 3. The Navy has and will continue to discharge pollutants, including but not limited to petroleum-based pollutants (e.g., jet propellant-5, jet propellant-8), from point sources at the Facility to waters of the United States, including but not limited to Pearl Harbor (hereinafter "Pu'uloa") and Hālawa Stream, without permit authorization from the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("U.S. EPA") or any agency of the State of Hawai'i ("Hawai'i") in violation of the prohibition on such discharges in the Act's section 301(a). *See id*.

### II. JURISDICTION

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the Alliance and the Navy (collectively the "Parties") and over the subject matter of this action pursuant to section 505(a)(1)(A) of the Act. *See* 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a)(1)(A); 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (an action arising under the laws of the United States).

3

5

9

10

8

11

13 14

12

16

15

17 18

20

19

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

- 5. This Court has federal question jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this action arises under the Clean Water Act and the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201–2240.
- Plaintiffs seek declaratory relief establishing that Defendant has violated 6. the Clean Water Act. See 28 U.S.C. § 2201(a).
  - Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief directing Defendant to: 7.
    - a. Abate all discharges of pollutants from the Facility to waters of the United States without a permit; and
    - b. Require the Navy to take appropriate actions to prevent unlawful discharges of pollutants to waters of the United States during defueling and closure;
- Plaintiffs request the Court order Defendant to pay statutory penalties of 8. up to \$59,973.00 per day per violation. See 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(d), 1365(a); 40 C.F.R. §§ 19.1–19.4.
- 9. Plaintiffs request the Court award reasonable litigation costs, including fees for attorneys, experts, and consultants, incurred in bringing this action. See 33 U.S.C. § 1365(d).
- 10. The relief requested is authorized pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201–2202 (power to issue declaratory relief in case of actual controversy and further necessary relief based on such a declaration) and 33 U.S.C. §§ 1319(d), 1365(a) (civil penalties and injunctive relief).
- As a jurisdictional pre-requisite to enforcing the Clean Water Act in 11. Federal District Court, prospective citizen plaintiffs must prepare a Notice of Violation and Intent to File Suit letter ("Notice Letter") containing, inter alia, sufficient information to allow the recipient to identify the standard, limitation, or order alleged to be violated, and the activity alleged to constitute a violation. 33 U.S.C. § 1365(a); 40 C.F.R. § 135.3(a).



- 12. The Notice Letter must be sent via certified mail at least sixty (60) days prior to filing a complaint ("Notice Period") to the owner of the facility alleged to be in violation of the Act. 33 U.S.C. § 1365(b); 40 C.F.R. § 135.2(a)(1).
- 13. A copy of the Notice Letter must be mailed to the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("U.S. EPA"), the Regional Administrator of the U.S. EPA for the region in which a violation is alleged to have occurred, and the chief administrative officer for the water pollution control agency for the State in which the violation is alleged to have occurred. 33 U.S.C. § 1365(b); 40 C.F.R. § 135.2(b)(1)(A).
- 14. On February 7, 2022, the Alliance sent by certified mail a Notice Letter to the Navy, including specifically Lloyd J. Austin III, Secretary of Defense (Certified Mailing No. 7021 1970 000 1422 8468), the Honorable Carlos Del Toro, Secretary of the Navy (Certified Mailing No. 7021 1970 0000 1422 8444), Admiral Samuel J. Paparo, Commander of the U.S. Pacific Fleet (Certified Mailing No. 7021 1970 0000 1422 8437), and Rear Admiral Timothy Kott, Commander of Navy Region Hawai'i (Certified Mailing No. 7021 1970 0000 1422 8420).
- 15. A true and correct copy of the February 7, 2022 Notice Letter is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and is incorporated by reference.
- 16. On February 7, 2022, the Alliance sent by certified mail a copy of the Notice Letter to the United States Attorney General (Certified Mailing No. 7021 1970 0000 1422 8482), the Administrator of the U.S. EPA (Certified Mailing No. 7021 1970 0000 1422 8475), the Regional Administrator of U.S. EPA Region IX (Certified Mailing No. 7021 1970 0000 1422 8413), the Governor of Hawai'i (Certified Mailing No. 7021 1970 0000 1422 8406), and Director of the Hawai'i State Department of Health (Certified Mailing No. 7021 1970 0000 1422 8390).

- 17. As a courtesy, the Alliance also gave notice to Lieutenant General Darrell K. Williams, Director of Defense Logistics Agency (Certified Mailing No. 7021 1970 0000 1422 8451), the agency that owns the fuel stored at Red Hill.
- 18. More than sixty (60) days have passed since the Notice Letter was issued to the Navy, and the above listed Federal and State agencies. *See* 33 U.S.C. § 1365(b)(1).
- 19. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and allege, that neither the U.S. EPA nor the State of Hawai'i has commenced or is diligently prosecuting a court action to redress violations alleged in the Notice Letter and this complaint. *See id.* § 1365(b)(2).
- 20. Plaintiffs' claim for civil penalties is not barred by any prior administrative penalty under section 309(g) of the Act. *See id.* § 1319(g).
- 21. Venue is proper in the District of Hawai'i pursuant to section 505(c)(1) of the Act because the source of the violations is located within this judicial district. *See id.* § 1365(c)(1).

## III. THE PARTIES

#### A. Wai Ola Alliance

- 22. The Hawaiian words "wai ola," from which the Alliance's name is derived, translate into English as "the water of life."
- 23. The Alliance is a community-based organization composed of environmentally- and culturally-focused individuals and organizations dedicated to protecting the waters of Hawai'i from the effects of past and ongoing discharges of petroleum pollutants from Red Hill to Pu'uloa, Hālawa Stream, and other nearby surface waters.
- 24. The Alliance and its individual members are committed to preserving the human right to water, and to healthy aquatic ecosystems for present and future generations.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

