

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
 SPRINGFIELD DIVISION**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
 and the STATES OF CALIFORNIA,)
 ILLINOIS, NORTH CAROLINA,)
 and OHIO,)**

Plaintiffs,)

v.)

No. 09-3073

DISH NETWORK, L.L.C.,)

Defendants,)

OPINION

SUE E. MYERSCOUGH, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE:

This matter came before the Court for a hearing on February 17, 2016 (February 17 Hearing), to consider the admission of Defendant Dish Network, LLC's (Dish) remaining trial exhibits (Dish Trial Exhibits), for the bench trial that commenced on January 19, 2016. The United States appeared by Assistant United States Attorneys Lisa Hsiao, Patrick Runkle, and Sang Lee; The State of California appeared by Deputy Attorneys General Jinsook Ohta and Jon Worm; The State of Illinois appeared by Assistant Attorneys

General Elizabeth Blackston and Philip Heimlich; the State of North Carolina appeared by Special Deputy Attorney General David Kirkman; the State of Ohio appeared by Assistant Attorneys General Erin Leahy and Jeffrey Loeser; The Federal Trade Commission appeared by attorneys Russell Deitch and Gary Ivens; Dish appeared by its attorneys Peter Bicks, Elyse Echtman, John Ewald, and Joseph Boyle. Illinois Assistant Attorneys General Blackston and Heimlich appeared in person, and all other attorneys appeared by video conference. Dish's General Counsel Stanton Dodge and in-house counsels Larry Katzin and Brett Kitei also appeared at the hearing by telephone. The Court ruled on the motions to admit Dish Trial Exhibits and Plaintiffs' objections thereto in open court at the February 17 Hearing. Upon the admission of the exhibits, the portion of the bench trial commenced on January 19, 2016, was completed.

The Court entered a schedule for preparation of the second portion of the bench trial for injunctive relief and for the remaining issues raised Dish's by the State Plaintiffs' submission of the testimony Richard Stauffer. Stauffer submitted testimony regarding a summary of data in January 2016 of the states of residence

associated with telephone numbers in the call records of some of the calls for which the Court found liability at partial summary judgment (January 2016 Stauffer Analysis). See Opinion entered January 4, 2016 (d/e 575) (Opinion 575), at 38-39; Defendant Dish Network, LLC.'s Response to the State Plaintiffs' Request for Additional Discovery (d/e 598) (Response 598), attached Exhibit A, Excerpt of Transcript of Proceedings on January 26 (Stauffer Transcript Excerpt), at 837-44; see also Opinion entered December 14, 2014 (d/e 445), at 204-02. The Court further resolved issues regarding the time period and the scope of additional discovery. The Court previously gave Dish the option to decline to conduct additional discovery with respect to the Plaintiffs' claims for injunctive relief with the understanding that Dish would not be allowed to use the documents disclosed in the September and October 2015 supplemental discovery disclosures. See Opinion 575, 8-9, 38. Dish has not yet decided whether it will decline to conduct such additional discovery. Dish's requests for attorney fees and expenses for additional discovery regarding the January 2016 Stauffer Analysis, including the January 25, 2016, deposition of Richard Stauffer, is allowed. The Court will not bar the Plaintiffs

from conducting further analysis of the state of residence associated with telephone numbers.

For the reasons stated of record in open Court, the Court ruled as follows:

RELEVANT TIME PERIOD FOR DISCOVERY

The time period of additional discovery shall cover the time period from April 2010 to January 2016 (Time Period).

RELEVANT SCOPE OF DISCOVERY

Supplemental Injunctive Relief Discovery

The scope of discovery for injunctive relief shall include the following for the Time Period (hereinafter referred to as Supplemental Injunctive Relief Discovery):

- * All telemarketing call records, with all available data fields.
- * Entity-specific Do-Not-Call list(s) for Dish and its Retailers.
- * Dish's Established Business Relationship (EBR) Data including any information from which Dish determines whether it has an EBR, such as inquiries and leads and dates, current customers, former customers, customer

termination dates, last payment dates, and activation dates; and

- * Records related to telemarketing compliance, including lead lists, documents related to the creation of calling lists scrubbing requests, scrubbing receipts, documents related to consumer complaints and investigations into and responses to those complaints, audits, and any audit related documents.

Supplemental Stauffer Discovery

The scope of discovery for matters related to the January 2016 Stauffer Analysis (collectively referred to hereinafter as Supplemental Stauffer Discovery):

- * The data underlying January 2016 Stauffer Analysis contained in databases maintained by Stauffer's employer PossibleNOW, Inc.
- * Documents in Dish's possession that contain information regarding the residential addresses associated with the telephone numbers contained in the Dish 2007-2010 calling records.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.