

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

*IN RE BROILER CHICKEN ANTITRUST
LITIGATION*

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

All Direct Action Plaintiffs

Civil Action No. 1:16-cv-08637

Judge Thomas M. Durkin

Magistrate Judge Jeffrey T. Gilbert

PUBLIC REDACTED VERSION

**DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS' CONSOLIDATED
COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 9

II. CHART OF DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFF CASES..... 11

III. SUMMARY OF DAP FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS..... 48

 A. Overview of the Broiler Industry 48

 B. Summary of Defendants’ Conspiracy..... 50

 C. Defendants’ Coordinated Supply Restrictions..... 51

 D. Defendants’ Manipulation of the Georgia Dock Price Index 54

 E. Defendants’ Bid-Rigging Conduct 55

IV. PARTIES 57

 A. Plaintiffs 57

 B. Defendants 92

 1. Agri Stats92

 2. Amick.....93

 3. Case.....93

 4. Claxton.....94

 5. Fieldale.....95

 6. Foster Farms.....95

 7. George’s.....96

 8. Harrison.....96

 9. House of Raeford96

 10. Keystone Foods.....97

 11. Koch.....98

 12. Mar-Jac99

 13. Mountaire.....100

14.	O.K. Foods	101
15.	Peco.....	101
16.	Perdue	101
17.	Pilgrim’s Pride	102
18.	Sanderson.....	102
19.	Simmons	103
20.	Tyson.....	104
21.	Wayne	105
V.	Producer Co-Conspirators.....	106
A.	Producer Co-Conspirator Allen Harim	106
B.	Producer Co-Conspirator Marshall Durbin.....	107
C.	The Defendant Family Co-Conspirators.....	108
1.	Koch.....	108
2.	Tyson.....	113
3.	Perdue	113
4.	Wayne Farms	114
5.	George’s.....	115
6.	Peco Foods.....	116
7.	Pilgrim’s Pride	116
8.	Foster Farms.....	117
9.	O.K. Foods	118
10.	House of Raeford	118
11.	Keystone Foods.....	119
VI.	NON-PRODUCER CO-CONSPIRATORS TIP TOP POULTRY, INC., SOUTHERN HENS, INC. AND RABOBANK.....	119
VII.	JURISDICTION AND VENUE	121

VIII. TRADE AND COMMERCE..... 122

IX. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS REGARDING DEFENDANTS’ UNLAWFUL CONSPIRACY 125

 A. Production Cutting..... 128

 1. In an Early Phase of their Conspiracy, Defendants Departed from Their Historical Practice by Collectively Reducing Breeder Flocks in Unprecedented Amounts.....128

 2. Defendants’ Executives Publicly Decried the Effect of Oversupply on “Our Industry,” Telling their Competitors that Unified Action Was Necessary130

 B. Defendants Begin to Cut Production in Concert..... 133

 C. Defendants’ First Round of Chicken Production Cuts Included Unprecedented Reductions to Chicken Breeder Flocks..... 145

 D. Defendants Continued Their Conspiracy With a Second Massive Breeder Flock Cull in 2011 148

 E. Drastically-Reduced Breeder Flocks Boosted Chicken Prices and Raised Defendants’ Profits to Record Levels..... 161

 F. Defendants Utilized Urner Barry to Assist Them Capitalize on Their Supply Reduction Efforts..... 168

 G. Defendants Capitalized on Their Prior Actual Reduction of Broilers to Coordinate a False Supply Reduction..... 172

 H. The Conspiracy Also Included the Collusive and Fraudulent Manipulation of the Georgia Dock Price Index..... 173

 I. The PMN, the Georgia Dock, and the PMN Advisory Committee Were Created and Sustained for the Benefit of Georgia Dock Defendants and the Broiler Industry 181

 J. The Georgia Poultry Federation’s Role in Creating and Sustaining the Georgia Dock for the Benefit of Defendants and the Broiler Industry..... 187

 K. The Georgia Dock Became Ripe for Manipulation 188

 L. Regulatory Investigation and Demise of the Georgia Dock..... 192

 M. The Georgia Dock Price Index Diverged From the USDA Composite and Urner Barry Price Indices Beginning in 2011 196

N.	Defendants Fraudulently Submitted False and Inflated Quotes to the Poultry Market News, Causing the Index to Be Artificially High	197
1.	Pilgrim’s Fraudulently Made False Submissions to the Georgia Dock.....	199
2.	Koch Fraudulently Made False Submissions to the Georgia Dock.....	207
3.	Mar-Jac Fraudulently Made False Submissions to the Georgia Dock.....	210
4.	Harrison Fraudulently Made False Submissions to the Georgia Dock.....	213
5.	Sanderson Farms Fraudulently Made False Submissions to the Georgia Dock.....	218
6.	Tyson Fraudulently Made False Submissions to the Georgia Dock.....	221
7.	Claxton Fraudulently Made False Submissions to the Georgia Dock.....	223
8.	Wayne Farms Fraudulently Made False Submissions to the Georgia Dock.....	226
9.	Fieldale Farms Also Made False Submissions to the Georgia Dock.....	228
O.	The Georgia Dock Defendants Fraudulently Failed to Inform the Plaintiffs with Which They Did Business of their Control Over the Georgia Dock, Their Ability to Manipulate the Georgia Dock, and Their Actual Manipulation of the Georgia Dock.....	231
P.	The Georgia Dock Defendants Made Fraudulent Misrepresentations to Plaintiffs by Stating that the Georgia Dock Reflected the Broiler Chicken Market	236
Q.	Plaintiffs Were Harmed by the Georgia Dock Defendants’ Fraudulent Submissions, Omissions, and Misrepresentations	239
R.	Defendants Had Both the Motive and Opportunity to Perpetrate the Fraud and Specifically Intended To Do So.....	240
S.	Defendants Engaged in a Pattern of Racketeering Activity as Part of the Conduct of an Enterprise’s Affairs	242
T.	The Georgia Dock Defendants Did Not Contract with Plaintiffs in Good Faith.....	244
U.	Defendants used the Georgia Dock Manipulation To Impact Higher Prices Charged to Contract Purchasers.....	246
V.	Defendants’ Bid-Rigging Conduct	247
W.	The Structure and Characteristics of the Chicken Market Make it Highly Susceptible to Collusion	251

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.