UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

IN RE BROILER CHICKEN ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Case No: 1:16-cv-08637
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: DIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS	Judge Thomas Durkin Magistrate Judge Jeffrey T. Gilbert **[PUBLIC, REDACTED]**

DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO DIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT 1

FACTUAL BACKGROUND 8

A. Broiler Basics. 8

1. All Chicken Is Not Equal: Distinct Market Segments And Products 8

2. Feed Prices Cannot Be Ignored. 11

B. Chronology Of Key Events: The "Perfect Storm." 12

1. 2005-2007: The Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS) Passes And The USDA Forecasts Production Cuts In The Broiler Industry. 12

2. Corn Prices Spike To Record Highs In 2008. 13

3. The Great Recession. 14

4. Industry Reaction: Financial Losses, Bankruptcies, And Supply Adjustments. 15

5. 2011–2012: Corn Prices Shatter Previous Records, Devastate The Industry, And Lead To More Bankruptcies. 17

6. Producers Once Again Reacted Differently, Showing There Were No Unilateral Or Coordinated Cuts During The Class Period. 19

C. DPP Class Members Faced Different Contracting And Pricing Dynamics. 20

1. Purchasing Volume & Bargaining Power. 20

2. Contracting Practices. 21

3. Direct Purchasers Paid Different Prices. 22

D. Plaintiffs' Expert Model. 23

ARGUMENT 24

I. The "Foundation" of Plaintiffs' Model Is Unreliable And Violates *Comcast*. 25

A. Plaintiffs' Structural Break Supply Analysis Is Fundamentally Unreliable. 27

1. The Model Is Inherently Unreliable: Ignores Demand & Costs. 27

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

2. Dr. Carter's Model Ignores The Individual Defendants' Production Decisions That Contradict His Conclusions. 28

B. Plaintiffs' Model Also Fails Under *Comcast v. Behrend*. 32

1. Models That Sweep In Lawful Conduct Cannot Support Certification. 33

2. Plaintiffs' Model Does Not Even Try To Isolate Unlawful Conduct 35

C. These Basic Failures Doom the DPPs' Entire Motion..... 41

II. Dr. Carter's Models Do Not Demonstrate That Injury Is Susceptible To Common Proof..... 42

A. Dr. Carter's Overcharge Model Uses Bad Assumptions. 43

B. Dr. Carter Cannot Mask These Individualized Problems With Averages. 51

C. Dr. Carter's Overcharge Model Leads To Absurd Results..... 54

D. Dr. Carter's Two "Tests" Do Not Show Common Impact Either. 55

1. Dr. Carter's First "Test" Does Not Match Reality, Applies The Same Uniform Overcharges, And Generates False Positives..... 55

2. Dr. Carter's Second "Test" Extrapolates From His Flawed Benchmark And Projects Impossible Prices. 57

3. Dr. Carter's *Ipse Dixit* Also Does Not Show Common Injury. 58

E. Plaintiffs Do Not Have Any Methodology For Showing Damages, Either..... 59

CONCLUSION..... 60

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

In re Aluminum Warehousing Antitrust Litig.,
336 F.R.D 5 (S.D.N.Y. 2020) *("In re Aluminum")* ..... *passim*

Cates v. Whirlpool Corp.,
2017 WL 1862640 (N.D. Ill. May 9, 2017) 3, 28

In re Catfish Antitrust Litig.,
826 F. Supp. 1019 (N.D. Miss. 1993) 50

Comcast Corp. v. Behrend,
569 U.S. 27 (2013) ..... *passim*

Concord Boat Corp. v. Brunswick Corp.,
207 F.3d 1039 (8th Cir. 2000) 54

Food Lion, LLC v. Dean Foods Co.,
312 F.R.D. 472 (E.D. Tenn. 2016) 49, 57

Gen. Leaseways, Inc. v. Nat'l Truck Leasing Assoc.,
744 F.2d 588 (7th Cir. 1984) 50

In re Graphics Processing Units Antitrust Litig.,
253 F.R.D. 478 (N.D. Cal. 2008) 49, 54, 59

Hughes v. Baird & Warner, Inc.,
30 Fed. R. Serv. 2d 704 (N.D. Ill. 1980) 50

Kleen Products LLC v. Int'l Paper Co.,
831 F.3d 919 (7th Cir. 2016) 50, 51

In re Lamictal Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litig.,
957 F.3d 184 (3d Cir. 2020) 51

Messner v. Northshore Univ. Healthsystem,
669 F.3d 802 (7th Cir. 2012) 50

In re New Motor Vehicles Canadian Export Antitrust Litig.,
522 F.3d 6 (1st Cir. 2008) 35

In re Optical Disk Drive Antitrust Litig.,
303 F.R.D. 311 (N.D. Cal. 2014) 49, 53

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

People Who Care v. Rockford Bd. of Educ., Sch. Dist. No. 205,
111 F.3d 528 (7th Cir. 1997) 3, 4

In re Pharmacy Benefit Managers Antitrust Litig.,
2017 WL 275398 (E.D. Pa. Jan. 18, 2017).....34

In re Photochromatic Lens Antitrust Litig.,
2014 WL 1338605 (M.D. Fla. April 3, 2014).....49, 53, 59

In re Pilgrim's Pride Corp.,
448 B.R. 896 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. 2011).....15, 16

Polaroid Corp. v. Eastman Kodak Co.,
1990 WL 324105 (D. Mass. Oct. 12, 1990).....40, 54, 58

In re: Processed Egg Prod. Antitrust Litig.,
2016 WL 410279 (E.D. Pa. Feb. 3, 2016) 35

In re Processed Egg Prods. Litig.,
312 F.R.D. 171 (E.D. Pa. 2015).....48, 53

In re Rail Freight,
292 F. Supp. 3d 14 (D.D.C. 2017), *aff'd*, 934 F.3d 619 (D.C. Cir. 2019).....25, 34, 57

In re Rail Freight Fuel Surcharge Antitrust Litig.,
725 F.3d 244 (D.C. Cir. 2013).....7, 25, 42

Reed v. Advocate Health Care,
268 F.R.D. 573 (N.D. Ill. 2009)..... *passim*

In re Steel Antirust Litig.,
2015 WL 5304629 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 9, 2015) ..... *passim*

Whitserve, LLC v. Comput. Packages, Inc.,
694 F.3d 10 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....39, 54, 58

Statutes

42 U.S.C. § 7545.....12

Rules

Fed. R. Civ. P. 23..... *passim*

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.