

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

IN RE BROILER CHICKEN ANTITRUST
LITIGATION,

Case No.: 1:16-cv-08637

The Honorable Thomas M. Durkin

This Document Relates To:

THE DIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFF
ACTION

**DIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION
FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF THE SETTLEMENTS WITH DEFENDANTS
PILGRIM'S PRIDE CORP., TYSON FOODS, INC., TYSON CHICKEN, INC., TYSON
BREEDERS, INC., AND TYSON POULTRY, INC.**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. LITIGATION BACKGROUND	3
III. SUMMARY OF THE SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS AND TERMS.....	5
A. The Pilgrim’s Settlement	8
B. The Tyson Settlement	8
IV. THE SETTLEMENTS SATISFY THE STANDARD FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL	9
A. The Settlements Resulted from Arm’s Length Negotiations.....	11
B. The Settlements Provide Substantial Relief to the Settlement Class.....	12
V. THE COURT SHOULD CERTIFY THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT CLASS.....	13
A. The Requirements of 23(a) Are Satisfied	14
1. Numerosity.....	14
2. Common Questions of Law and Fact.....	15
3. Typicality	15
4. Adequacy	16
B. The Settlement Class Satisfies Rule 23(b)(3)	17
VI. THE COURT SHOULD APPROVE THE PROPOSED NOTICE PLAN	19
VII. THE COURT SHOULD SCHEDULE A FINAL APPROVAL HEARING	23
VIII. CONCLUSION.....	24

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Amchem Prods., Inc. v. Windsor</i> , 521 U.S. 591 (1997).....	13, 18, 19
<i>Armstrong v. Bd. of Sch. Dirs.</i> , 616 F.2d 305 (7th Cir. 1980)	9
<i>In re AT&T Mobility Wireless Data Servs. Sales Litig.</i> , 270 F.R.D. 330 (N.D. Ill. 2010).....	11
<i>In re Catfish Antitrust Litig.</i> , 826 F. Supp. 1019 (N.D. Miss. 1993).....	18
<i>City of Greenville v. Syngenta Crop Prot.</i> , No. 3:10-CV-188, 2012 WL 1948153 (S.D. Ill. May 30, 2012)	19, 20, 23
<i>In re Cmty. Bank of N. Va.</i> , 418 F.3d 277 (3d Cir. 2005).....	13
<i>In re Corrugated Container Antitrust Litig.</i> , 643 F.2d 195 (5th Cir. 1981)	17
<i>E.E.O.C. v. Hiram Walker & Sons, Inc.</i> , 768 F.2d 884 (7th Cir. 1985)	9
<i>In re Foundry Resins Antitrust Litig.</i> , 242 F.R.D. 393 (S.D. Ohio 2007).....	18
<i>Gautreaux v. Pierce</i> , 690 F.2d 616 (7th Cir. 1982)	9
<i>Goldsmith v. Tech. Solutions Co.</i> , No. 92-CV-4374, 1995 WL 17009594 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 10, 1995)	11
<i>Hughes v. Baird & Warner, Inc.</i> , No. 76-CV-3929, 1980 WL 1894 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 20, 1980)	18
<i>Isby v. Bayh</i> , 75 F.3d 1191 (7th Cir. 1996)	9, 10
<i>Kohen v. Pacific Inv. Mgmt.</i> , 571 F.3d 672 (7th Cir. 2009)	17

<i>In re Linerboard Antitrust Litig.</i> , 292 F. Supp. 2d 631 (E.D. Pa. 2003)	11, 12
<i>In re Mercedes-Benz Antitrust Litig.</i> , 213 F.R.D. 180 (D.N.J. 2003)	16
<i>In re Mid-Atlantic Toyota Antitrust Litig.</i> , 564 F. Supp. 1379 (D. Md. 1983)	10
<i>In re NASDAQ Market-Makers Antitrust Litig.</i> , 176 F.R.D. 99 (S.D.N.Y 1997)	10
<i>Owner-Operator Indep. Drivers' Ass'n v. Allied Van Lines, Inc.</i> , 231 F.R.D. 280 (N.D. Ill. 2005)	16
<i>Saltzman v. Pella Corp.</i> , 257 F.R.D. 471 (N.D. Ill. 2009)	15, 18
<i>Schmidt v. Smith & Wollensky LLC</i> , 268 F.R.D. 323 (N.D. Ill. 2010)	14
<i>Thillens, Inc. v. Cmty. Currency Exch. Ass'n</i> , 97 F.R.D. 668 (N.D. Ill. 1983)	15
<i>Uhl v. Thoroughbred Tech. & Telecomms, Inc.</i> , 309 F.3d 978 (7th Cir. 2002)	10
<i>Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes</i> , 564 U.S. 338 (2011)	15
<i>In re Warfarin Sodium Antitrust Litig.</i> , 212 F.R.D. 231 (D. Del. 2002)	9
Statutes and Rules	
28 U.S.C. § 1715	24
Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)	14, 15, 16
Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)	17, 18
Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)	19, 21
Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e)	9, 10, 19
Other Authorities	
Manual For Complex Litigation (Fourth) § 21.632 (2004)	10

2 NEWBERG ON CLASS ACTIONS, § 11.24 (3d ed. 1992).....9, 10
2 NEWBERG ON CLASS ACTIONS, § 11.40 (2d ed. 1985).....11
4 NEWBERG ON CLASS ACTIONS, § 11.53 (4th ed. 2002)19

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.