

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION

ASHLEY PIERRELOUIS, Individually and  
on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOGO INC., MICHAEL J. SMALL,  
OAKLEIGH THORNE, NORMAN  
SMAGLEY, BARRY ROWAN, and JOHN  
WADE,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 18-cv-04473

Honorable Jorge L. Alonzo

**MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF LEAD PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED  
MOTION FOR: (I) PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT;  
(II) CERTIFICATION OF THE SETTLEMENT CLASS; AND  
(III) APPROVAL OF NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT ..... 1

II. NATURE OF THE ACTION ..... 2

III. PROCEDURAL HISTORY ..... 3

    A. The Initial Complaint And Lead Plaintiff Appointment..... 3

    B. Lead Counsel’s Investigation, First And Second Amended Complaints And Defendants’ Motions To Dismiss ..... 3

    C. The Operative Complaint And Defendants’ Motion To Dismiss ..... 5

    D. Discovery ..... 6

    E. Mediation ..... 6

IV. THE SETTLEMENT ..... 6

    A. The Settlement Terms ..... 6

    B. The Plan Of Allocation ..... 7

V. THE SETTLEMENT WARRANTS PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ..... 7

    A. Lead Plaintiff And Plaintiffs’ Counsel Adequately Represented The Settlement Class..... 9

    B. The Settlement Is The Result Of Arm’s-Length Negotiations Between Experienced Counsel ..... 10

    C. The Relief Provided For The Settlement Class Is Adequate ..... 11

        1. The Settlement Amount ..... 11

        2. The Cost, Risk, And Delay Of Trial And Appeal..... 13

        3. Other Factors Established By Rule 23(e)(2)(C) Support Preliminary Approval ..... 15

    D. All Settlement Class Members Are Treated Equitably ..... 17

    E. The Remaining Factors Are Satisfied ..... 18

1.	The Extent Of Discovery Completed And The Stage Of The Proceedings At Which Settlement Was Achieved Strongly Supports Preliminary Approval .....	18
2.	Recommendations Of Experienced Counsel .....	19
VI.	THE COURT SHOULD CERTIFY THE SETTLEMENT CLASS .....	19
VII.	THE PROPOSED NOTICE SHOULD BE APPROVED .....	23
A.	The Method Of Notice Is Adequate .....	23
B.	The Content Of The Notice Is Adequate .....	23
VIII.	PROPOSED SETTLEMENT SCHEDULE .....	24
IX.	CONCLUSION.....	25

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**CASES**

*Amchem Prod., Inc. v. Windsor*,  
521 U.S. 591 (1997)..... 23

*Amgen, Inc. v. Conn. Ret. Plans & Trust Funds*,  
133 S. Ct. 1184 (2013)..... 21, 22

*Armstrong v. Board of School Directors of City of Milwaukee*,  
616 F.2d 305 (7th Cir. 1980) ..... 7, 8

*Cheng Jiangchen v. Rentech, Inc.*,  
2019 WL 5173771 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 10, 2019)..... 21

*Francisco v. Abengoa, S.A.*,  
2016 WL 3004664 (S.D.N.Y. May 24, 2016) ..... 21

*Gautreaux v. Pierce*,  
690 F.2d 616 (7th Cir.1982) ..... 19

*Glickenhau & Co. v. Household Int’l, Inc.*,  
787 F.3d 408 (7th Cir. 2015) ..... 15

*Great Neck Capital Appreciation Inv. Partnership, L.P. v. PricewaterhouseCoopers, L.L.P.*,  
212 F.R.D. 400 (E.D. Wis. 2002) ..... 13, 18

*Hale v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*,  
2018 WL 6606079 (S.D. Ill. Dec. 16, 2018)..... 16

*In re AT & T Mobility Wireless Data Servs. Sales Tax Litig.*,  
789 F. Supp. 2d 935 (N.D. Ill. 2011) ..... 18, 19

*In re Carrier IQ, Inc., Consumer Privacy Litig.*,  
2016 WL 4474366 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 25, 2016) ..... 17

*In re Enron Corp. Sec. & ERISA Litig.*,  
2003 WL 22494413 (S.D. Tex. July 24, 2003)..... 24

*In re Groupon, Inc. Sec. Litig.*,  
2016 WL 3896839 (N.D. Ill. July 13, 2016)..... 18

*In re Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc. Sec. Litig.*,  
2009 WL 5178546 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 23, 2009) ..... 14

*In re Merck & Co. Sec. Litig.*,  
432 F.3d 261 (3d Cir. 2005)..... 22

*In re Mexico Money Transfer Litig. (W. Union & Valuta)*,  
164 F. Supp. 2d 1002 (N.D. Ill. 2000) ..... 14, 24

*In re NASDAQ Mkt.-Makers Antitrust Litig.*,  
187 F.R.D. 465 (S.D.N.Y. 1998) ..... 10

*In re Northfield Labs., Inc. Sec. Litig.*,  
2012 WL 366852 (N.D. Ill. Jan. 31, 2012) ..... 10, 24

*In re Omnivision Technologies, Inc.*,  
559 F. Supp. 2d 1036 (N.D. Cal. 2008) ..... 13

*In re Polaroid ERISA Litig.*,  
240 F.R.D. 65 (S.D.N.Y. 2006) ..... 10

*In re Wireless Facilities, Inc. Sec. Litig. II*,  
253 F.R.D. 607 (S.D. Cal. 2008) ..... 14

*Isby v. Bayh*,  
75 F.3d 1191 (7th Cir. 1996) ..... 7, 9

*Keele v. Wexler*,  
149 F.3d 589 (7th Cir. 1998) ..... 20

*Knapp v. Art.com, Inc.*,  
283 F. Supp. 3d 823 (N.D. Cal. 2017) ..... 13

*Makor Issues & Rights, Ltd. v. Tellabs, Inc.*,  
256 F.R.D. 586 (N.D. Ill. 2009)..... 21

*McCue v. MB Fin., Inc.*,  
2015 WL 1020348 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 6, 2015)..... 11

*New York State Teachers’ Ret. Sys. v. Gen. Motors Co.*,  
315 F.R.D. 226 (E.D. Mich. 2016) ..... 16

*Roach v. T.L. Cannon Corp.*,  
778 F.3d 401 (2d Cir. 2015)..... 22

*Robbins v. Koger Props., Inc.*,  
116 F.3d 1441 (11th Cir. 1997) ..... 15

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.