

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

ROEI AZAR, Individually and on Behalf of)	Case No. 1:19-cv-07665
All Others Similarly Situated,)	
)	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>
Plaintiff,)	
vs.)	Judge Charles R. Norgle Sr.
)	Magistrate Judge Jeffrey Cole
GRUBHUB INC., et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

**MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF LEAD PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION AND APPOINTMENT OF
CLASS REPRESENTATIVE AND CLASS COUNSEL**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO THE CLASS.....	3
III. THE PROPOSED CLASS REPRESENTATIVES	6
IV. THE PROPOSED CLASS SATISFIES THE STANDARDS FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION UNDER RULE 23	7
A. Legal Standard	7
B. The Proposed Class Satisfies the Standards for Class Certification Under Rule 23(a).....	9
1. The Class Is so Numerous that Joinder Is Impracticable	9
2. Common Questions of Law and Fact Exist	10
3. The Proposed Class Representative’s Claims Are Typical of Those of the Class.....	12
4. The Proposed Class Representatives Will Fairly and Adequately Protect the Interests of the Class.....	13
5. The Court Should Appoint Plaintiffs’ Choice of Counsel as Class Counsel Under Rule 23(g)	15
C. The Proposed Class Satisfies the Standards for Class Certification Under Rule 23(b)(3).....	16
1. Common Questions of Law and Fact Predominate	16
2. Plaintiffs Are Entitled to the Fraud-on-the-Market Presumption of Reliance.....	18
3. Grubhub Common Stock Traded in an Efficient Market During the Class Period	19
a. Grubhub Common Stock Traded on the NYSE.....	20
b. <i>Cammer</i> Factor 1: High Weekly Trading Volume	21
c. <i>Cammer</i> Factor 2: Significant Financial Analysts and Media Coverage	21

	Page
d. <i>Cammer</i> Factor 3: Numerous Market Makers and Institutional Investors.....	22
e. <i>Cammer</i> Factor 4: Form S-3 Registration Statement Eligibility	23
f. <i>Cammer</i> Factor 5: The Cause and Effect Relationship Between Unexpected Material Disclosures and Changes in the Price of Grubhub Stock.....	24
g. <i>Krogman</i> Factor 1: Large Market Capitalization.....	25
h. <i>Krogman</i> Factor 2: Small Bid-Ask Spread	26
i. <i>Krogman</i> Factor 3: Publicly-Traded Float.....	26
4. Damages May Be Calculated on a Class-Wide Basis Using a Common Methodology	27
5. A Class Action Is Superior to Other Available Methods for the Fair and Efficient Adjudication of This Controversy.....	28
V. CONCLUSION.....	29

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
CASES	
<i>Amchem Prods., Inc. v. Windsor</i> , 521 U.S. 591 (1997).....	17
<i>Amgen Inc. v. Conn. Ret. Plans & Tr. Funds</i> , 568 U.S. 455 (2013).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Basic Inc. v. Levinson</i> , 485 U.S. 224 (1988).....	2, 18
<i>Cammer v. Bloom</i> , 711 F. Supp. 1264 (D.N.J. 1989).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Carpenters Pension Tr. Fund of St. Louis v. Barclays PLC</i> , 310 F.R.D. 69 (S.D.N.Y. 2015)	22
<i>Comcast Corp. v. Behrend</i> , 569 U.S. 27 (2013).....	28
<i>Erica P. John Fund, Inc. v. Halliburton Co.</i> , 563 U.S. 804 (2011).....	17
<i>Halliburton Co. v. Erica P. John Fund, Inc.</i> , 573 U.S. 258 (2014).....	2, 17, 18, 19
<i>Haw. Structural Ironworkers Pension Tr. Fund, Inc. v. AMC Ent. Holdings, Inc.</i> , 338 F.R.D. 205 (S.D.N.Y. 2021)	14
<i>Holwill v. AbbVie Inc.</i> , 2021 WL 7366274 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 23, 2021)	1, 2, 8
<i>In re Allstate Corp. Sec. Litig.</i> , 966 F.3d 595 (7th Cir. 2020) <i>on remand</i> 2020 WL 7490280 (N.D. Ill. Dec. 21, 2020).....	17
<i>In re Alstom SA Sec. Litig.</i> , 253 F.R.D. 266 (S.D.N.Y. 2008)	23
<i>In re Banc of Cal. Sec. Litig.</i> , 326 F.R.D. 640 (C.D. Cal. 2018).....	15
<i>In re Groupon, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , 2014 WL 5245387 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 23, 2014)	<i>passim</i>

	Page
<i>In re Groupon, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , 2015 WL 1043321 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 5, 2015), <i>objections overruled</i> , 2015 WL 13628131 (N.D. Ill. May 12, 2015)	<i>passim</i>
<i>In re Nature’s Sunshine Prod. Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , 251 F.R.D. 656 (D. Utah 2008)	21, 22
<i>In re NeoPharm, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , 225 F.R.D. 563 (N.D. Ill. 2004).....	11, 29
<i>In re NetBank, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , 259 F.R.D. 656 (N.D. Ga. 2009).....	26, 27
<i>In re Sandridge Energy, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , 2019 WL 4752268 (W.D. Okla. Sept. 30, 2019)	16
<i>In re Stericycle, Inc.</i> , 2017 WL 635142 (N.D. Ill. Feb. 16, 2017)	13
<i>In re Sys. Software Assocs., Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , 2000 WL 1810085 (N.D. Ill. Dec. 8, 2000)	9
<i>Jaffe v. Household Int’l, Inc.</i> , No. 02-C-05893 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 20, 2016).....	16
<i>Karinski v. Stamps.com</i> , 2020 WL 6572660 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 9, 2020).....	16
<i>Keele v. Wexler</i> , 149 F.3d 589 (7th Cir. 1998)	10, 11
<i>Krogman v. Sterritt</i> , 202 F.R.D. 467 (N.D. Tex. 2001)	20, 25, 26
<i>La. Firefighters’ Ret. Sys. v. N. Tr. Invs., N.A.</i> , 312 F.R.D. 501 (N.D. Ill. 2015).....	12
<i>Levitan v. McCoy</i> , 2003 WL 1720047 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 31, 2003).....	8
<i>Makor Issues & Rts., Ltd. v. Tellabs, Inc.</i> , 256 F.R.D. 586 (N.D. Ill. 2009).....	13
<i>Matrixx Initiatives, Inc. v. Siracusano</i> , 563 U.S. 27 (2011).....	17

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.