

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

OLEAN WHOLESALE GROCERY
COOPERATIVE, INC., *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AGRI STATS, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

No. 19-cv-08318

Hon. Virginia M. Kendall
Hon. Gabriel A. Fuentes

SANDEE'S BAKERY,

Plaintiff,

v.

AGRI STATS, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

No. 20-cv-02295

Hon. Virginia M. Kendall
Hon. Gabriel A. Fuentes

**DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER
BARRING DISCOVERY BEYOND THE SCOPE OF
THE CLAIM AT ISSUE IN THIS CASE**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. ARGUMENT	2
A. Now Is the Right Time For Guidance From the Court	2
B. The Rule of Reason Does Not Allow For Unbridled Discovery	4
C. Plaintiffs Cannot Establish Relevance	5
1. Nature of the Information Exchanged.....	6
2. Market Definition	8
3. Anticompetitive Effects.....	10
4. Agreement	12
D. The Challenged Discovery Is Not Proportional Because It Does Not Assist in Resolving the Issues in the Case.....	13
III. CONCLUSION.....	14

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Apsley v. The Boeing Co.,</i> <u>2007 WL 163201 (D. Kan. Jan. 18, 2007)</u>	2
<i>BankDirect Cap. Fin., LLC v. Cap. Premium Fin., Inc.,</i> <u>2018 WL 946396 (N.D. Ill. Feb. 20, 2018)</u>	4, 5
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly,</i> <u>550 U.S. 544 (2007)</u>	9
<i>In re Comp. Managerial, Pro. & Tech. Emps. Antitrust Litig.,</i> <u>2008 WL 3887619 (D.N.J. Aug. 20, 2008)</u>	5
<i>DeLeon-Reyes v. Guevara,</i> <u>2020 WL 3050230 (N.D. Ill. June 8, 2020)</u>	3, 12
<i>Eternity Mart, Inc. v. Nature's Sources, LLC,</i> <u>2019 WL 6052366 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 15, 2019)</u>	6, 12
<i>Forth v. Walgreen Co.,</i> <u>2019 WL 10255628 (N.D. Ill. July 10, 2019)</u>	13
<i>In re Local TV Advert. Antitrust Litig.,</i> <u>2020 WL 6557665 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 6, 2020)</u>	4
<i>Mass. Sch. of Law at Andover, Inc. v. Am. Bar Ass'n,</i> <u>857 F. Supp. 455 (E.D. Pa. 1994)</u>	5
<i>Olean Wholesale Grocery Coop., Inc. v. Agri Stats, Inc. et al.,</i> No. 19-cv-08318 (N.D. Ill.), Motion to Dismiss Order	1, 12
<i>Quality Auto Painting Ctr. of Roselle, Inc. v. State Farm Indemn. Co.,</i> <u>917 F.3d 1249 (11th Cir. 2019)</u>	9
<i>Todd v. Exxon Corp.,</i> <u>275 F. 3d 191 (2d Cir. 2001)</u>	5, 11
<i>United States v. U.S. Gypsum,</i> <u>438 U.S. 422 (1978)</u>	4
<i>In re Wholesale Grocery Prods. Antitrust Litig.,</i> <u>2011 WL 1304610 (D. Minn. Apr. 6, 2011)</u>	4

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—continued

	Page(s)
Other Authorities	
<u>Cable Communications Policy Act, 47 U.S.C. § 551</u>	3

I. INTRODUCTION

This case has a single rule of reason claim alleging anticompetitive information sharing through Agri Stats.¹ Plaintiffs, however, are using the discovery process to dramatically expand the scope of this matter through discovery requests that are far afield from the Agri Stats service at issue or its supposed anticompetitive effects.

Acting as if this Court did not dismiss their *per se* claim, Plaintiffs now trawl for any information in an obvious effort to resurrect it or find new claims. For example, Plaintiffs request from Defendants for a *thirteen*-year period and *regardless of subject matter*: “all telephone records” including those for headquarters, administrative offices, farms, processing facilities and sales offices; diaries, calendars, notebooks and “to-do lists” for all document custodians; and all documents (including “agendas, minutes or notes”) of *every* industry meeting or trade association event relating to turkeys. And Plaintiffs are serving similarly broad subpoenas on nonparties, including sweeping requests to the major telephone carriers.

Plaintiffs assert that the challenged discovery is relevant to the information sharing claim. Not so. The text of the requests—which Plaintiffs do not quote—are untethered to the rule of reason claim. Tellingly, none of the challenged discovery requests at issue even mention Agri Stats (except for one request seeking “all documents and communications” relating to information services *other than* Agri Stats). Moreover, Plaintiffs’ contrived, post-hoc assertions that the challenged discovery is relevant to “the nature of the information exchanged,” “market definition,” “anticompetitive effects,” and “agreement” are belied by the fact that Plaintiffs propounded

¹ “Plaintiffs allege that the Turkey Defendants entered into an agreement between 2010 and 2017 to exchange competitively sensitive information—namely, production and sales data. They exchanged this data with one another through Agri Stats.” Dkt. No. 173, Olean Wholesale Grocery Coop., Inc. v. Agri Stats, Inc. et al., No. 19-cv-08318 (N.D. Ill.), Motion to Dismiss Order at 2 (citation omitted). “Plaintiffs have only adequately alleged a Sherman Act violation under a rule of reason analysis.” Id. at 18.

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.