

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

IN RE: TIKTOK, INC., CONSUMER
PRIVACY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2948

Master Docket No. 20-cv-4699

Judge John Z. Lee

This Document Related to All Cases

Magistrate Judge Sunil R. Harjani

**REPLY IN SUPPORT OF CHRISTINA TRAVIS' OPPOSED MOTION TO
ACCEPT MOVANTS' TIMELY AND VALID REQUESTS FOR
EXCLUSION FROM THE SETTLEMENT, IN THE ALTERNATIVE,
OBJECTIONS TO CLASS SETTLEMENT FOR FAILURE TO PROVIDE
NOTICE REGARDING THE OPT OUT PROCEDURE**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	COUNSEL WAS NOT PROHIBITED FROM ADVERTISING	3
III.	TIKTOK'S UNFOUNDED ATTACKS ON COUNSEL'S ETHICS ARE IMPROPER AND DO NOT WARRANT INVALIDATION OF ANY OPT OUTS	6
IV.	MOVANTS' OPT OUTS ARE EFFECTIVE AND TIMELY; ALTERNATIVELY, MOVANTS SHOULD BE ENTITLED TO CURE ANY DEFECTS	11
	A. Movants Complied With the Court Approved Notice Requirements	11
	B. TikTok's Individual Challenges are Irrelevant and Baseless	12
	C. Movants Should Be Afforded More Time to Correct Any Defects	13
VI.	CONCLUSION	15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<u>Cases</u>	<u>Page(s)</u>
<i>Abernathy v. Doordash, Inc.</i> , 438 F. Supp. 3d 1062 (N.D. Cal. 2020)	1
<i>Adams v. Bellsouth Telcoms.</i> , 2001 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 24821 (S.D. Fla. Jan. 29, 2001)	4
<i>Allianz Glob. Invs. GMBH v. Bank of Am. Corp.</i> , 463 F. Supp. 3d 409 (S.D.N.Y. 2020).....	13
<i>Bates v. State Bar of Arizona</i> , 433 WS. 350 (1977).....	2, 4
<i>Chalian v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc.</i> , No. 2:16-cv-8979-AB-AGR, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 206078, (C.D. Cal. Oct. 30, 2020)	2, 10
<i>Fox v. Iowa Health Sys.</i> , No. 18-cv-327-JDP, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 36880 (W.D. Wis. Feb. 26, 2021)	14
<i>Georgine v. Amchem Prods.</i> , 160 F.R.D. 478 (E.D. Pa. 1995).....	10
<i>Hallie v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.</i> , No. 2:12-cv-00235-PPS-APR, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 54481 (N.D. Ind. Apr. 27, 2015).....	5, 8
<i>In re Agent Orange Prod. Liab. Litig.</i> , 800 F.2d 14 (2d Cir. 1986).....	5
<i>In re Brand Name Prescription Drugs Antitrust Litig.</i> , 171 F.R.D. 213 (N.D. Ill. 1997).....	14
<i>In re Diet Drugs Prods. Liab. Litig.</i> , 282 F.3d 220 (3d Cir. 2002).....	5
<i>In Re Facebook Biometric Info. Privacy Litig.</i> , No. 15-03747 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 22, 2020)	2, 9
<i>In re Gormally</i> , 212 N.J. 486 (N.J. Dec. 19, 2012).....	4
<i>In re Hager</i> , 812 A.2d 904 (D.C. 2002)	4

...

In re McKesson HBOC, Inc. Sec. Litig.,
126 F.Supp. 3d 1239 (N.D. Cal. 2000).....10

In re NFL Players’ Concussion Injury Litig.,
961 F. Supp. 2d 708 (E.D. Pa. 2014)5

In re Payment Card Interchange Fee & Merch. Disc. Antitrust Litig.,
No. 05-MD-1720 (JG), 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 142213 (E.D.N.Y. Oct. 3, 2014).....10

In re Vitamins Antitrust Class Actions,
356 U.S. App. D.C. 70, 73, 327 F.3d 1207 (2003)14

In re VMS Sec. Litig.,
No. 89 C 9448, 1992 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 12141 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 13, 1992).....15

Kleiner v. First Nat’l Bank,
751 F.2d 1193 (11th Cir. 1985)9

Larson v. AT&T Mobility LLC,
No. 07-5325, 2009 WL 10689759 (D.N.J. Jan. 16, 2009).....5

McCubbrey v. Boise Cascade Home & Land Corp.,
71 F.R.D. 62 (N.D. Cal. 1976).....15

Miracle-Pond v. Shutterfly, Inc.,
No. 19-cv 04722, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 86083 (N.D. Ill. May 15, 2020).....1

Mullen v. GLV, Inc.,
334 F.R.D. 656 (N.D. Ill. 2020).....9

Ortiz v. Fibreboard Corp.,
527 U.S. 815 (1999).....1

Phillips Petroleum Co. v. Shutts,
472 U.S. 797 (1985).....1

Pioneer Inv. Servs. Co. v. Brunswick Assocs. Ltd. P’ship,
507 U.S. 380, 395, 113 S. Ct. 1489, 123 L. Ed. 2d 74 (1993).....14

Snyder v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC,
2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 8092614

Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes,
131 S. Ct. 2541, 2559 (2011).....1

Zauderer v. Office of Disciplinary Counsel of Supreme Court of Ohio,
471 U.S. 626, 85 L. Ed. 2d 652, 105 S. Ct. 2265 (1985).....4

Federal Statutes and Regulations

Fed R. Civ P. 6(b)14

Other Authorities

ABA Formal Op. 93-3714

ABA Section of Litigation Ethical Guidelines for Settlement Negotiations,
August 2002, § 4.2.14

ACC Docket, John K. Villa, *Practice Restrictions in Settlement Agreements* (June 2007)4

Ariz. Ethics Op. 90-06 (1990).....4

Cal. State Bar Ass’n Formal Op. No. 1988-1044

COPRAC Formal Opinion No. 1988-104.....4

Ill. R. Prof’l Conduct R. 5.6(b)4

LACBA Ethics Opinion 468.....4

LECBA SF, Opinion 2012-15

Md. Bar Ass’n Ethics Op. 82-53 (1982).....4

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.