

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

THE FRESH MARKET, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

TYSON FOODS, INC.; TYSON CHICKEN, INC.; TYSON BREEDERS, INC.; TYSON POULTRY, INC.; PILGRIM'S PRIDE CORPORATION; KOCH FOODS, INC.; JCG FOODS OF ALABAMA, LLC; JCG FOODS OF GEORGIA, LLC; KOCH MEAT CO., INC.; SANDERSON FARMS, INC.; SANDERSON FARMS, INC. (FOOD DIVISION); SANDERSON FARMS, INC. (PRODUCTION DIVISION); SANDERSON FARMS, INC. (PROCESSING DIVISION); HOUSE OF RAEFORD FARMS, INC.; MAR-JAC POULTRY, INC.; PERDUE FARMS, INC.; PERDUE FOODS, LLC; WAYNE FARMS, LLC; GEORGE'S, INC.; GEORGE'S FARMS, INC.; SIMMONS FOODS, INC.; SIMMONS PREPARED FOODS, INC.; O.K. FOODS, INC.; O.K. FARMS, INC.; O.K. INDUSTRIES, INC.; PECO FOODS, INC.; HARRISON POULTRY, INC.; FOSTER FARMS, LLC; FOSTER POULTRY FARMS; CLAXTON POULTRY FARMS, INC.; MOUNTAIRE FARMS, INC.; MOUNTAIRE FARMS, LLC; MOUNTAIRE FARMS OF DELAWARE, INC.; AGRI STATS, INC.; AMICK FARMS, LLC; CASE FOODS, INC.; CASE FARMS, LLC; and CASE FARMS PROCESSING, INC.

Defendants.

Case No: 1:20-cv-05257

**COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR
JURY TRIAL**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. NATURE OF THE ACTION.....1

 A. Defendants Unlawfully Agreed to Curtail the Supply of Chicken2

 B. Defendants Unlawfully Agreed to Manipulate Price and Contract
 Negotiations and Artificially Inflate the Georgia Dock.....6

II. PARTIES8

 A. Plaintiff.....8

 B. Defendants9

 (i) The Tyson Defendants9

 (ii) Pilgrim’s Pride Corporation10

 (iii) The Koch Defendants10

 (iv) The Sanderson Farms Defendants11

 (v) House of Raeford Farms, Inc.12

 (vi) Mar-Jac Poultry, Inc.12

 (vii) The Perdue Defendants.....12

 (viii) Wayne Farms, LLC13

 (ix) The George’s Defendants13

 (x) Simmons Foods.....14

 (xi) The O.K. Foods Defendants.....14

 (xii) Peco Foods, Inc.15

 (xiii) Harrison Poultry, Inc.15

 (xiv) Foster Farms.....15

 (xv) Claxton Poultry Farms, Inc.16

 (xvi) The Mountaire Farms Defendants.....16

 (xvii) Amick Farms, LLC.....17

 (xviii) The Case Foods Defendants.....17

 (xix) Agri Stats18

III. AGENTS AND CO-CONSPIRATORS20

 A. Producer Co-Conspirator Allen Harim20

 B. Producer Co-Conspirator Keystone Foods.....20

 C. Producer Co-Conspirator Fieldale Farms Corporation21

IV. JURISDICTION AND VENUE.....31

V. TRADE AND COMMERCE33

 A. Background on the Broiler Chicken Market33

 B. The United States Broiler Market is a National Market Comprising Tens of Billions of Dollars’ Worth of Annual Sales34

VI. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS REGARDING DEFENDANTS’ UNLAWFUL CONSPIRACY.....36

 A. Overview of Defendants’ Illegal Conspiracy36

 B. Agri Stats Participated in, and Actively Facilitated, Defendants’ Communications Among Themselves, and Provided Data Necessary to Effectuate, Monitor and Enforce the Conspiracy39

 C. Agri Stats’ Detailed Reports Enable Defendants to Accurately Assess and Monitor Their Competitors’ Production Levels and Breeder Flocks45

 (i) Agri Stats’ Critical Role in the Chicken Industry48

 (ii) Defendants’ Public Statements Show the Relevance of Agri Stats’ Data to their Collective Efforts to Cut Production51

 D. Defendants’ Conspiracy Artificially Increased and Maintained Chicken Prices55

 (i) The State of the U.S. Chicken Market Prior to the Conspiracy – 200755

 (ii) Defendants Depart from Historical Practice by Collectively Reducing Breeder Flocks in Unprecedented Amounts Beginning in 200857

 (a) Defendants’ Executives Publicly Decry the Effect of Oversupply on “Our Industry,” Telling their Competitors that Unified Action Was Necessary59

 (b) Defendants Begin to Cut Production in Concert61

 (c) Defendants’ Chicken Production Cuts, from 2008 to Early 2009, Included Unprecedented Reductions to Chicken Breeder Flocks70

 (d) Defendants’ Conspiracy, Hatched in the Great Recession Continued into 2011 With Another Round of Collective Production Cuts72

 (e) Drastically-Reduced Breeder Flocks Boost Chicken Prices and Raise Defendants’ Profits to Record Levels83

..

- (iii) Collusively Manipulating the Georgia Dock Benchmark Price Index91
 - (a) Overview of the Georgia Dock, USDA Composite, Urner Barry, and EMI Chicken Price Indices92
 - (b) The Georgia Dock Pricing Methodology and Its Susceptibility to Manipulation.....93
 - (c) Georgia Dock Prices Diverged From the USDA Composite and Urner Barry Price Indices Beginning in 2013 103
- E. The Structure and Characteristics of the Chicken Market Make it Highly Susceptible to Collusion..... 105
 - (i) Highly-Concentrated Market with Vertically-Integrated Producers 105
 - (ii) The Market for Broilers is Characterized by Inelastic Supply and Demand 108
 - (iii) There are no Significant Substitutes for Broiler Chickens 109
 - (iv) The Broiler Industry Has Experienced High Consolidation and is Highly Concentrated..... 109
 - (v) The Broiler Industry Has a History of Government Investigations and Collusive Actions 111
 - (vi) Defendants Had Numerous Opportunities to Collude 113
 - (a) Trade Associations 113
 - (b) Overseas Distribution Solutions 118
 - (c) Investor Conferences 119
 - (d) Competitor Plant Tours 120
 - (e) Merger, Acquisition, and Capital Financing Discussions 121
 - (f) Other Business Dealings 121
 - (vii) High Barriers to Entry 121
 - (viii) Defendants Have Similar Cost Structures and Work Collaboratively to Share Cost Information 124
- F. Defendants Collusively Adopted Additional Strategies to Reinforce Their Conspiracy 126
 - (i) A Collective Shift Away from Long-Term Fixed-Price Contracts 126
 - (ii) Inter-Defendant Sales 128
 - (iii) Atypical Increases in Defendants’ Exporting of Chickens 130
- G. The Statute of Limitations Does Not Bar Plaintiff’s Claims..... 131
 - (i) Plaintiff Did Not Discover (and Could Not Have Discovered) the Conspiracy Until 2016 or Later..... 131

...

(ii)	Defendants Actively Concealed Their Conspiracy	133
(iii)	Plaintiff's Claims Were Tolloed by the Direct Purchaser Class Action Complaint Filed in 2016.....	135
VII.	ANTITRUST IMPACT	136
VIII.	CLAIMS FOR RELIEF AND CAUSES OF ACTION.....	136
	COUNT I VIOLATION OF 15 U.S.C. § 1 (AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS).....	136

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.