EXHIBIT 1

FYHIRIT 1



1 2 3 4 5	JENNER & BLOCK LLP Kate T. Spelman (Cal. Bar No. 269109) kspelman@jenner.com 633 West 5th Street, Suite 3600 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 239-5100 Facsimile: (213) 239-5199	
6	Attorney for Defendant	
7	Clearview AI, Inc.	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14		
15	STEVEN RENDEROS, VALERIA THAIS,	Case No.
16	SUÁREZ ROJAS, REYNA MALDONADO, LISA KNOX, MIJENTE SUPPORT	
17	COMMITTEE, and NORCAL RESIST FUND,	CLEARVIEW AI, INC.'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL
18	Plaintiffs,	THE THE
19	v.	[County of Alameda Superior Court
20	CLEARVIEW AI, INC., ALAMEDA COUNTY	Case No. RG21096898]
21	DISTRICT ATTORNEY, ALAMEDA POLICE DEPARTMENT, EL SEGUNDO POLICE	
22	DEPARTMENT, ANTIOCH POLICE DEPARTMENT, and DOES 1-10	
23	Defendants.	
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
27		
28		
==		



TO THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant Clearview AI, Inc. ("Clearview") hereby effects the removal of this action from the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Alameda ("County of Alameda Superior Court") to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. Removal is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) because the properly joined parties have complete diversity of citizenship and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. Venue is proper in this Court because it is the "district and division embracing the place where [the] action is pending." 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), a true and correct copy of the state court case file is attached to this Notice of Removal and is incorporated by reference herein. The file includes all process, pleadings, motions, and orders filed in this case, including the Summons and Complaint (Ex. 1) and all other documents filed in the state court (Ex. 2).

FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND STATE COURT PROCEEDINGS

- 1. This action is one of many filed against Clearview in courts across the country—from California to Illinois to New York—based on nearly identical allegations and asserting substantively identical theories of relief. Specifically, nine federal lawsuits filed against Clearview have been transferred for coordinated multidistrict litigation ("MDL") proceedings before Judge Sharon Johnson Coleman in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois (the "MDL Court"). *See In Re: Clearview AI, Inc., Consumer Privacy Litigation*, MDL No. 2967. The consolidated MDL action has a putative California subclass that includes Plaintiffs here and asserts the same claims against Clearview. Plaintiffs are desperately attempting to keep their case in state court and avoid having their case transferred into the MDL action, but there can be no doubt that diversity jurisdiction exists here.
- 2. On April 22, 2021, Plaintiffs filed this Complaint in the County of Alameda Superior Court against Clearview and four California-based government entities (the "Municipal Defendants"). Plaintiffs allege that Clearview downloads the images of millions of individuals from the Internet, extracts biometric information from these images, and then uses artificial intelligence technology to analyze the information and create a so-called "faceprint" of these individuals. This, in turn, allegedly allows Clearview users to



upload a "probe image" to the Clearview application and learn certain information about the person in the probe photo, which Plaintiffs allege permanently deprives individuals of their anonymity and privacy and disproportionately misidentifies people of color. *See* Ex. 1, ¶¶ 29-40.

- 3. Plaintiffs also allege that the Municipal Defendants are four of the thousands of users of the Clearview application, but Plaintiffs allege almost nothing about these Municipal Defendants except that they used Clearview's technology. Ex. 1, ¶¶ 6, 73-75. As described below, the inclusion of the Municipal Defendants in the current iteration of the Complaint was designed solely to defeat diversity jurisdiction.
- 4. On March 9, 2021, the same Plaintiffs, represented by the same counsel, filed an earlier complaint in the County of Alameda Superior Court alleging substantively identical facts and claims against Clearview, which was then the only named defendant. *See Renderos, et al. v. Clearview AI, Inc. et al.*, Alameda Sup. Ct. Case No. RG21091138. Attached to this Notice of Removal, and incorporated by reference herein, is a copy of that previously-filed complaint (Ex. 3). The thrust of the prior complaint—much like the instant action and other similar actions already pending in the MDL—was that Clearview's conduct allegedly violated Plaintiffs' right to privacy and unlawfully misappropriated their likenesses.
- 5. In that proceeding, on April 8, 2021, Clearview timely filed a notice of removal under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a), removing the case to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. *See Renderos et al. v. Clearview AI, Inc. et al.*, 4:21-CV-02567 (DMR) (N.D. Cal.), Dkt. 1.
- 6. After removal was effectuated, Plaintiffs informed Clearview for the first time that Plaintiffs had faxed an amended complaint to the Clerk of the County of Alameda Superior Court prior to the filing of the notice of removal. Attached to this Notice of Removal, and incorporated by reference herein, is a copy of the amended complaint in *Renderos*, et al. v. Clearview AI, Inc. et al., Alameda Sup. Ct. Case No. RG21091138 (Ex. 4). Plaintiffs did not serve this amended complaint on Clearview until after Clearview filed its notice of removal.
- 7. In a transparent attempt to defeat diversity jurisdiction, Plaintiffs' amended complaint named several California-based government entities as defendants—the same Municipal Defendants now named as defendants in the instant proceeding. *See* Ex. 4. However, because Clearview was not served with the amended complaint (or even aware of it) until after Clearview filed its notice of removal,



Clearview properly removed the original and operative complaint. The law is clear on this point. *See, e.g.*, *Goldberg v. Cameron*, No. 5:15-CV-02556-RMW, 2015 WL 5316339, at *3 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 11, 2015) ("Because the amended complaint [was] not served by the time defendants filed the notice of removal, the original complaint was the operative complaint in the case."), *aff'd* 694 F. App'x 564 (9th Cir. 2017); *Noorazar v. BMW of N. Am., LLC*, No. 18-CV-02472 W (JLB), 2019 WL 442477, at *2 (S.D. Cal. Feb. 5, 2019) ("[I]n California an amended complaint supersedes the original for the purpose of removal only when served upon the affected defendant. A contrary rule would vitiate the removal statute and allow for procedural manipulation.").

- 8. Following removal of the original action to the Northern District of California, Clearview filed a Notice of Potential Tag-Along Action with the Clerk of the JPML on April 13, 2021, identifying the matter for transfer to the MDL Court in the Northern District of Illinois, where numerous similar actions had been consolidated for pre-trial proceedings. *In Re: Clearview AI, Inc., Consumer Privacy Litigation*, MDL No. 2967, Dkt. 53. On April 15, 2021, the Clerk of the JPML entered a conditional transfer order to transfer the case to the MDL Court. *In Re: Clearview AI, Inc., Consumer Priv. Litig.*, MDL No. 2967, Dkt. 55. The conditional transfer order observed that the matter involved "questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred" and assigned to the MDL Court. *Id*.
- 9. After the MDL transfer was complete, Plaintiffs could have sought leave to amend their complaint to join the Municipal Defendants. But instead, in a transparent effort to avoid the MDL Court, Plaintiffs immediately and voluntarily dismissed their complaint altogether. *See Renderos et al. v. Clearview AI, Inc. et al.*, 4:21-CV-02567 (N.D. Cal.), Dkt. 7.
- 10. One week later, on April 22, 2021, the same group of Plaintiffs represented by the same counsel refiled their Complaint in state court, alleging the same facts and claims against Clearview. *See* Ex. 1. This Complaint is substantively duplicative of Plaintiffs' aborted amended complaint in the prior *Renderos* action. *See* Ex. 4. And just like their prior amended complaint, the Complaint names several California-based government entities as defendants in an attempt to defeat diversity jurisdiction and avoid removal and transfer to the MDL Court. *See* Ex. 1, ¶¶ 22-25.
 - 11. Also on April 22, 2021, Plaintiffs filed a Notice of Related Case, identifying the instant

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

