

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION

RESTAURANT SERVICES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

TYSON FOODS, INC.; TYSON CHICKEN, INC.; TYSON BREEDERS, INC.; TYSON POULTRY, INC.; PILGRIM'S PRIDE CORPORATION; KOCH FOODS, INC.; JCG FOODS OF ALABAMA, LLC; JCG FOODS OF GEORGIA, LLC; KOCH MEAT CO., INC.; SANDERSON FARMS, INC.; SANDERSON FARMS, INC. (FOOD DIVISION); SANDERSON FARMS, INC. (PRODUCTION DIVISION); SANDERSON FARMS, INC. (PROCESSING DIVISION); HOUSE OF RAEFORD FARMS, INC.; MAR-JAC POULTRY, INC.; PERDUE FARMS, INC.; PERDUE FOODS, LLC; WAYNE FARMS, LLC; GEORGE'S, INC.; GEORGE'S FARMS, INC.; SIMMONS FOODS, INC.; SIMMONS PREPARED FOODS, INC.; O.K. FOODS, INC.; O.K. FARMS, INC.; O.K. INDUSTRIES, INC.; PECO FOODS, INC.; HARRISON POULTRY, INC.; FOSTER FARMS, LLC; FOSTER POULTRY FARMS; CLAXTON POULTRY FARMS, INC.; MOUNTAIRE FARMS, INC.; MOUNTAIRE FARMS, LLC; MOUNTAIRE FARMS OF DELAWARE, INC.; AGRI STATS, INC.; AMICK FARMS, LLC; CASE FOODS, INC.; CASE FARMS, LLC; CASE FARMS PROCESSING, INC.; KEYSTONE FOODS LLC; EQUITY GROUP EUFAULA DIVISION, LLC; EQUITY GROUP KENTUCKY DIVISION LLC; and EQUITY GROUP-GEORGIA DIVISION LLC,

Defendants.

Case No: 1:21-cv-00268

**Jury Trial Demanded**

(Related to *In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litigation* – Case No. 1:16-cv-08637)

## COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

1. Plaintiff Restaurant Services, Inc. (“RSI” or “Plaintiff”) is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Miami, Florida. RSI serves as the exclusive supply chain management and distribution cooperative for the BURGER KING<sup>®</sup> system of company-owned and franchisee-owned restaurants in the United States (“BK Restaurants”).

2. BURGER KING<sup>®</sup> is the second largest fast food hamburger chain in the world. As the purchasing agent for BK Restaurants, RSI negotiates contracts and purchases products and distribution services on their behalf. During the relevant time period, RSI contracted with Defendants for the production and supply of Broilers. RSI also utilized distributors to supply BK Restaurants with Broilers purchased on their behalf pursuant to these negotiations and contracts. These distributors include McLane Company, Inc. (“McLane”), Nicholas and Company, Performance Food Group, Inc. (“PFG”), Reinhart Foodservice, LLC (“Reinhart”), Shamrock Foods Company (“Shamrock”), Sygma Network (“Sygma”), Sysco Montana, Inc. (“Sysco Montana”), and Maines Paper & Food Service, Inc., including its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Maines Paper & Food Service - Maryland, Inc., Maines Paper & Food Service - New England, Inc., Maines Paper & Food Service - Ohio, Inc., Maines Paper & Food Service - NY Metro, Inc., Maines Paper & Food Service - Mid-Atlantic, Inc., and Maines Paper & Food Service - Tennessee, Inc. (collectively “Maines”), who have each assigned their claims arising out of these transactions to RSI.

3. RSI brings this action on its own behalf, and as assignee of McLane, Nicholas and Company, PFG, Reinhart, Shamrock, Sygma, Sysco Montana, Maines, and their affiliates (collectively, “Assignors”). The references in this Complaint to “RSI” and/or “Plaintiff” include RSI’s Assignors.

4. During the time period relevant to RSI's claims, RSI and/or its Assignors directly purchased Broilers in the United States from Defendants and/or their co-conspirators, and sustained injury and damages as a proximate result of the antitrust violations and other unlawful activities alleged in this Complaint.

5. RSI brings this action for damages under the federal antitrust laws against the defendants identified below, and incorporates by reference Direct Action Plaintiffs' Consolidated Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial [ECF Nos. 3924, 3922], filed in *In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litigation*, 1:16-cv-08637 (N.D. Ill.) on October 23, 2020.<sup>1</sup>

6. RSI joins Section II of the Direct Action Plaintiffs' Consolidated Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial [ECF Nos. 3924, 3922], adding the following to specify RSI's causes of action and the Defendants and Co-Conspirators in RSI's action.

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the Court's Orders in *In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litig.*, 1:16-cv-08637, the Direct- Action Plaintiffs filed "a consolidated complaint" [ECF Nos. 3778, 3652, 3525] containing "all the allegations the Direct-Action Plaintiffs make against all Defendants" on October 23, 2020 [ECF Nos. 3924, 3922]. In an effort to promote efficiency given the Court's recent reference to similar abbreviated Complaints as helpful to the Court [ECF No. 4139], Plaintiff files this abbreviated pleading that incorporates by reference and adopts the allegations set forth in Direct Action Plaintiffs' Consolidated Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial. If the Court prefers a different form or process, Plaintiff will withdraw this pleading and proceed according to the Court's direction.

Plaintiff Name	Operative Complaint (Reference is to Sealed Version, if applicable)	Named Defendants (Not Previously Dismissed)	Named Co-Conspirators (if any) <sup>2</sup>	Causes of Action
Restaurant Services, Inc.	To Be Determined	Agri Stats; Amick; Case; Claxton; Foster Farms; George's; Harrison; House of Raeford; Keystone Foods; Koch; Mar-Jac; Mountaire Farms; O.K. Foods; Peco; Perdue; Pilgrim's Pride; Sanderson Farms; Simmons; Tyson; Wayne Farms	Allen Harim; Fieldale Farms	Count I (Sherman Act Claim for all Anticompetitive Conduct); Count II (Sherman Act Claim for Output Restriction, Pled in the Alternative to Count I); Count III (Sherman Act Claim for GA Dock Manipulation, Pled in the Alternative to Count I); Count LVII (Sherman Act Claim for Bid Rigging, Pled in the Alternative to Count I)

7. In addition to the above, RSI adds the following count to Direct Action Plaintiffs' Consolidated Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial [ECF Nos. 3924, 3922].

**COUNT LVII  
VIOLATION OF 15 USC § 1  
(AGAINST GEORGE'S, KOCH, PERDUE, PILGRIM'S PRIDE, AND TYSON  
FOR BID RIGGING – PLED IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO COUNT I)**

8. RSI incorporates by reference and adopts the allegations set forth above, and in Direct Action Plaintiffs' Consolidated Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial [ECF Nos. 3924, 3922], and the allegations in the superseding indictment returned by the grand jury in *United States v. Jayson Jeffrey Penn, et al.*, No. 20-cv-152 (D. Colo.) [ECF No. 101] on October 6, 2020 ("Superseding Indictment").

<sup>2</sup> By virtue of Plaintiff previously being a member of the putative class of direct purchasers, Plaintiff was also a member of the settlement class that was certified with respect to Fieldale Farms. While Plaintiff has not named Fieldale as a defendant, Plaintiff nonetheless has named Fieldale as a co-conspirator in order to describe its conduct and contributions to the unlawful conspiracy. Plaintiff timely opted out of the direct purchaser class settlements with Amick, George's, and Peco.

9. RSI was directly and proximately injured by the bid-rigging conduct described in the Superseding Indictment.

10. Defendants' unlawful contract, combination or conspiracy had the following direct, substantial, and reasonably foreseeable effects on commerce in the United States: (1) prices charged to, and paid by, RSI for chicken were artificially raised, fixed, maintained, or stabilized at supra-competitive levels; (2) RSI was deprived of the benefits of free, open, and unrestricted competition in the United States chicken market; and (3) competition in establishing the prices paid for chicken in the United States was unlawfully restrained, suppressed, or eliminated.

11. Defendants' above-described anticompetitive activities directly and proximately caused injury to Plaintiff in the United States.

12. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' above-described unlawful conduct, RSI paid artificially inflated prices for chicken.

13. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' above-described anticompetitive conduct, RSI was damaged in its business or property by paying prices for chicken that were higher than they would have been but for Defendants' unlawful conduct, which has resulted in an amount of ascertainable damages to be established at trial.

14. Defendants' anticompetitive conduct described in this Complaint constitutes a *per se* violation of Section of 1 of Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1. Defendants' conduct is also unlawful under the Rule of Reason standard of antitrust liability because at all relevant times Defendants possessed significant market power in the market for Broilers and their conduct had actual anticompetitive effects with no or insufficient offsetting pro-competitive justifications.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.