UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

RHONDA ROE (a pseudonym), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

SURGICAL CARE AFFILIATES, LLC; SCAI HOLDINGS, LLC; UNITEDHEALTH GROUP, INC. and JOHN DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 1:21-cv-00305

PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED UNOPPOSED JOINT MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE RELATED CASES AND APPOINT INTERIM CO-LEAD COUNSEL



TABLE OF CONTENTS

					Page	
TAB	LE OF	AUTHO	ORITIE	S	ii	
MOT	ION A	ND ME	MORA	NDUM IN SUPPORT	1	
I.	FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND					
II.	LEGAL STANDARD					
	A.	Motion for Consolidation2				
	B.	Motion for Appointment of Interim Co-Lead Counsel				
III.	ARGUMENT					
	A.	The I	The Related Cases Should Be Consolidated			
		1.		Actions Involve Common Factual and Legal Questions as well verlapping Classes	5	
		2.	Cons	olidation Will Promote Efficiency and Judicial Economy	6	
		3.	Cons	olidation Will Not Unduly Prejudice Defendants	7	
	B.	The Court Should Appoint Interim Co-Lead Counsel				
		1.	The Selection of Interim Co-Lead Counsel Will Ensure Efficient Prosecution and Protect the Class's Interests			
		2.	Cour	sel Are Well Suited to Represent the Proposed Class	8	
			a.	Counsel Identified and Investigated These Claims	9	
			b.	Proposed Interim Co-Lead Counsel Are Experienced Class Action and Antitrust Litigators with Sophisticated Knowledge of the Applicable Law	9	
			c.	Counsel Have Ample Resources	15	
IV	CON	CONCLUSION 15				



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
Cases	
Bartling v. Apple Inc., No. 5:18-cv-00147-EJD, 2018 WL 4804735 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 27, 2018)	5
Brunner v. Jimmy John's LLC, No. 14-c-550915, 2016 WL 7232560 (N.D. III. Jan. 14, 2016)	6
Ikerd v. Lapworth, 435 F.2d 197 (7th Cir. 1970)	7
In re Navistar Maxxforce Engines Mktg., Sales Practices & Prods. Liab. Litig., No. 14-cv-10318, 2015 WL 1216318 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 5, 2015)	5
Moehrl v. Nat'l Ass'n of Realtors, No. 19-CV-01610, 2020 WL 5260511 (N.D. Ill. May 30, 2020)	5
Robbins v. Pepsi-Cola Metro. Bottling Co., No. 84 C 170, 1985 WL 5130 (N.D. Ill. Dec. 26, 1985)	4
Sylverne v. Data Search N.Y., Inc., No. 08-cv-0031, 2008 WL 4686163 (N.D. Ill. May 28, 2008)	4, 6, 7
Unified Messaging Sols., LLC v. United Online, Inc., No. 13-cv-00343, 2013 WL 1874211 (N.D. Ill. May 3, 2013)	4
Walker v. Discover Fin. Servs., No. 10-CV-6994, 2011 WL 2160889 (N.D. Ill. May 26, 2011)	8
Rules	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g)	5, 8
Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g)(1)(A)	5
Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g)(1)(A)(i)	9
Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g)(1)(B)	5
Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a)	4, 5
Treatises	
Manual for Complex Litigation § 21.11 (4th ed. 2004)	8



MOTION AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42, Plaintiffs Rhonda Roe, Steven Smith, and Scott Keech move to consolidate three pending cases. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g), Plaintiffs also move for appointment of Interim Co-Lead Counsel.

Each of their three cases are class actions, brought under the federal antitrust law, 15 U.S.C. § 1. See Roe v. Surgical Care Affiliates, LLC, et al., No. 21-cv-305 (N.D. Ill.) ("Roe Action"); Smith v. Surgical Care Affiliates, LLC, No. 1:21-cv-00620 (N.D. Ill.) ("Smith Action"); Keech v. Surgical Care Affiliates, LLC, et al., No. 21-cv-741 (N.D. Ill.) ("Keech Action"). After Plaintiffs filed their initial unopposed motion to consolidate, Plaintiff Alan Spradling filed a new action naming additional Defendants. Spradling v. Surgical Care Affiliates, LLC, et al., No. 21-cv-01324 (N.D. Ill.) ("Spradling Action") (collectively, "the Actions"). Because counsel for the new Defendants in Spradling have not yet made appearances, this motion does not seek consolidation of Spradling. Instead, if this motion is granted, Section III of the proposed pre-trial order will require Plaintiffs to serve the pre-trial order on the new Defendants (once they make appearances). Consolidation will occur automatically absent objections.

Each Plaintiff filed a lawsuit seeking to represent similar classes of senior-level employees harmed by unlawful "no-poach" agreements between and among the Defendants.

The *Roe* Action and *Keech* Action have been assigned to this Court. Plaintiffs have filed unopposed motions to re-assign the *Smith* Action and *Spradling* Action to this Court. Because the Actions involve several common questions of law and fact, consolidation for all purposes is appropriate under Rule 42(a) and will promote judicial economy without prejudicing Defendants. Furthermore, appointment of Interim Co-Lead Counsel is appropriate because, in these four lawsuits, Plaintiffs are represented by a total of thirteen law firms. A leadership structure will clarify lines of responsibility, protect the interests of the proposed Class, promote the goals of



judicial economy and efficiency, and facilitate the sound management of the Actions. Defendant SCA does not oppose the request for consolidation and takes no position on the request for appointment of Interim Co-Lead Counsel.

This motion replaces the one filed on March 4, 2021, Dkt. 23, with an updated leadership structure. It reflects the considered judgement and consensus of all Plaintiffs' counsel.

I. FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On January 7, 2021, the United States Department of Justice ("DOJ") announced a criminal indictment against Surgical Care Affiliates, LLC and its successor SCAI Holdings, LLC (together, "SCA"), alleging that SCA and two co-conspirators, identified as "Company A" and "Company B," entered unlawful agreements to refrain from soliciting or hiring each other's senior-level employees nationwide. *See* Indictment, *United States v. Surgical Care Affiliates*, *LLC*, No. 3:21-cr-00011 (N.D. Tex. Jan. 5, 2021).

On January 19, 2021, Ms. Roe filed a civil complaint against SCA, its corporate affiliates, and its unidentified co-conspirators on behalf of a proposed class of "natural persons who were employed by SCA in the United States at the level of Director or above from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2017." *Roe* Dkt. 1 ¶ 41. Ms. Roe summarized the DOJ's factual allegations of an unlawful agreement between SCA, Company A, and Company B. *Id.* ¶¶ 8-28. Ms. Roe sought damages on behalf of herself and the proposed class under Section 1 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1. *Id.* ¶¶ 51-55. The Court has scheduled an initial status conference for March 25, 2021. *Roe* Dkt. 4.

On February 3, 2021, Mr. Smith filed a civil complaint against the same defendants as Ms. Roe, and on behalf of the same proposed Class. *Smith* Dkt. 1 ¶ 48. Like Ms. Roe, Mr. Smith summarized the DOJ's factual allegations of an unlawful agreement between SCA, Company A, and Company B, and is seeking damages on behalf of himself and the proposed class under the



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

