

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

BILL NYE PRODUCTIONS, INC.,)	
)	Case No. 22-cv-5788
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	Judge Joan H. Lefkow
)	
THE INDIVIDUALS, CORPORATIONS,)	
LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES,)	
PARTNERSHIPS AND UNINCORPORATED)	
ASSOCIATIONS IDENTIFIED)	
ON SCHEDULE A HERETO,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF’S *EX PARTE* MOTION FOR
ENTRY OF A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER, INCLUDING A TEMPORARY
INJUNCTION, A TEMPORARY TRANSFER OF THE DEFENDANT DOMAIN
NAMES, A TEMPORARY ASSET RESTRAINT, EXPEDITED DISCOVERY, AND
SERVICE OF PROCESS BY E-MAIL AND/OR ELECTRONIC PUBLICATION**

Plaintiff BILL NYE PRODUCTIONS, INC. submits this Memorandum in support of its *Ex Parte* Motion for Entry of a Temporary Restraining Order, including a temporary injunction, a temporary transfer of the Defendant Domain Names, a temporary asset restraint, expedited discovery, and service of process by email and/or electronic publication (the “*Ex Parte* Motion”).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT1

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS.....5

 A. PLAINTIFF'S TRADEMARKS AND PRODUCTS5

 B. DEFENDANTS' UNLAWFUL ACTIVITIES.....6

 i. *Defendants Operate Legitimate-Looking Internet Stores*6

 ii. *Defendants Illegitimately Optimize the Defendants' Internet Stores for Search Engines* 7

 iii. *Defendants Use Fictitious Aliases and Common Tactics to Evade Shut Down*7

III. ARGUMENT.....10

 A. THIS COURT MAY EXERCISE PERSONAL JURISDICTION OVER DEFENDANTS.....11

 B. STANDARD FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION13

 C. PLAINTIFF WILL LIKELY SUCCEED ON THE MERITS14

 i. *Plaintiff Will Likely Succeed on Its Trademark Infringement and Counterfeiting Claim*14

 ii. *Plaintiff Is Likely to Succeed on Its False Designation of Origin Claim*.....17

 iii. *Plaintiff Is Likely to Succeed on Its Illinois Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act Claim*.....18

 D. THERE IS NO ADEQUATE REMEDY AT LAW AND PLAINTIFF WILL SUFFER IRREPARABLE HARM IN THE ABSENCE OF PRELIMINARY RELIEF18

 E. THE BALANCING OF HARMS TIPS IN PLAINTIFF'S FAVOR20

 F. ISSUANCE OF THE INJUNCTION IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST.....20

IV. THE EQUITABLE RELIEF SOUGHT IS APPROPRIATE.....22

 A. A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER IMMEDIATELY ENJOINING DEFENDANTS' UNAUTHORIZED AND UNLAWFUL USE OF PLAINTIFF'S MARKS IS APPROPRIATE22

 B. TRANSFERRING THE DEFENDANT DOMAIN NAMES TO PLAINTIFF'S CONTROL IS APPROPRIATE24

 C. PREVENTING THE FRAUDULENT TRANSFER OF ASSETS IS APPROPRIATE25

 D. PLAINTIFF IS ENTITLED TO EXPEDITED DISCOVERY27

V. A BOND SHOULD SECURE THE INJUNCTIVE RELIEF28

VI. CONCLUSION28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Abbott Labs. v. Mead Johnson & Co.,
971 F.2d 6, 11 (7th Cir. 1992) 13

Abercrombie & Fitch Trading Co. v. 4cheapbags.com,
No. 1:12-cv-21088 (S. D. Fla. April 4, 2012) (unpublished).....3, 23, 28

All Star Nyeship Racing, Inc. v. O’Reilly Auto. Stores, Inc.,
2013 WL 1701871, *10 (C.D. Ill. Apr. 18, 2013) 17

Am. Broad. Co. v. Maljack Prods., Inc.,
34 F. Supp. 2d 665, 681 (N.D. Ill. 1998) 18

Animale Grp. Inc. v. Sunny’s Perfume Inc.,
256 F. App’x 707, 709 (5th Cir. 2007)..... 25, 26

Beats Electronics, LLC v. The Partnerships, et al.,
No. 13-cv-6724 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 25, 2014) (unpublished)..... 3, 23

Board of Directors of Sapphire Bay Condos. W. v. Simpson,
129 F. App’x 711 (3d Cir. 2005) 24

Burger King Corp. v. Majeed,
805 F. Supp. 994, 1006 (S.D. Fla. 1992) 20

CAE, Inc. v. Clean Air Eng’g Inc.,
267 F.3d 660, 681 (7th Cir. 2001) 16

Calvin Klein Trademark Trust, et al. v. The Partnerships, et al.,
No. 13-cv-8186 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 19, 2013) (unpublished) 3, 23

Chanel, Inc. v. Paley,
No. 3:09-cv04979-MHP (N.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2009) (unpublished) 24

Charter Nat’l Bank & Trust v. Charter One Fin., Inc.,
No. 1:01-cv-00905, 2001 WL 527404, *1 (N.D. Ill. May 15, 2001)..... 13

Christian Dior Couture, S.A. v. Liu,
2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 158225 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 17, 2015)..... 12

Chrome Hearts LLC v. The Partnerships, et al.,
No. 13-cv-4784 (N.D. Ill. July 10, 2013) (unpublished) 3, 23

Chrome Hearts LLC v. P’ships & Unincorporated Assns. Identified on Schedule "A",

2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 120232 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 9, 2015) 12

Coach, Inc., et al. v. Does 1-100,
No. 1:12-cv-8963 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 15, 2012) (unpublished)..... 3, 23, 28

Columbia Pictures Indus., Inc. v. Jasso,
927 F. Supp. 1075, 1077 (N.D. Ill. 1996)..... 11

CSC Holdings, Inc. v. Redisi,
309 F.3d 988 (7th Cir. 2002) 26

Deckers Outdoor Corp. v. Does 1-1,281,
No. 1:12-cv-01973 (N.D. Ill. Apr. 4, 2012) (unpublished)..... 3, 23, 28

Deckert v. Independence Shares Corp.,
311 U.S. 282 (1940)..... 26

Dorr-Oliver, Inc. v. Fluid-Quip, Inc.,
94 F.3d 376, 381 (7th Cir. 1996) 15

Eli Lilly & Co. v. Natural Answers, Inc.,
233 F.3d 456, 461, 462, 469 (7th Cir. 2000) 13, 15, 18, 21

Ford Motor Co. v. Lapertosa,
126 F. Supp. 2d 463, 467 (E.D. Mich. 2001)..... 24, 25

G. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Anheuser-Busch, Inc.,
873 F.2d 985, 999 (7th Cir. 1989) 15

Gateway Eastern Railway Co. v. Terminal Railroad Assoc. of St. Louis,
35 F.3d 1134, 1140 (7th Cir. 1994) 19

Gillespie v. Civiletti,
629 F.2d 637, 642 (9th Cir. 1980) 27

Grupo Mexicano de Desarrollo, S.A. v. Alliance Bond Fund,
527 U.S. at 308 (1999)..... 26

Helene Curtis Industries, Inc. v. Church & Dwight Co., Inc.,
560 F.2d 1325, 1332 (7th Cir. 1977) 19

Hoechst Diafoil Co. v. Nan Ya Plastics Corp.,
174 F.3d 411, 421 (4th Cir. 1999); Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(c) 28

Ideal Indus., Inc. v. Gardner Bender, Inc.,
612 F.2d 1018, 1026 (7th Cir. 1979).....19

...

In re Vuitton et Fils, S.A.,
606 F.2d 1 (2d Cir. 1979)..... 4

Int’l Kennel Club of Chicago, Inc. v. Mighty Star, Inc.,
846 F.2d 1079, 1092 (7th Cir. 1988) 19

James Burrough Ltd. v. Sign of the Beefeater, Inc.,
540 F.2d 266, 274 (7th Cir. 1976) 21

Johnny Blastoff, Inc. v. Los Angeles Rams Football Co.,
188 F. 3d 427, 436 (7th Cir. 1999) 17

Kraft Food Holdings, Inc. v. Helm,
205 F. Supp. 2d 942, 956 (N.D. Ill. 2002) 24

Krause Int’l Inc. v. Reed Elsevier, Inc.,
866 F. Supp. 585, 587-88 (D.D.C. 1994)..... 20

Levi Strauss & Co. v. Sunrise Int’l Trading Inc.,
51 F.3d 982, 987 (11th Cir. 1995) 25, 26

Libman Co. v. Vining Indus., Inc.,
69 F.3d 1360, 1363 (7th Cir.1995) 17

Lorillard Tobacco Co. v. Montrose Wholesale Candies,
2005 WL 3115892, at *13 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 8, 2005)..... 26

Lululemon Athletica Canada Inc. v. The Partnerships, et al.,
No. 13-cv-6297 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 10, 2013) (unpublished)..... 3, 23

Luxottica USA LLC v. The Partnerships, et al.,
No. 13-cv-4429 (N.D. Ill. June 20, 2013) (unpublished)..... 3, 23

Malarkey-Taylor Assocs., Inc. v. Cellular Telecomms. Indus. Ass’n,
929 F. Supp. 473, 478 (D.D.C. 1996)..... 20

Manolo Blahnik Int’l Ltd. v. The Partnerships, et al.,
No. 13-cv-7810 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 12, 2013) (unpublished) 3, 23

Meridian Mut. Ins. Co. v. Meridian Ins. Group, Inc.,
128 F.3d 1111, 1114 (7th Cir. 1997) 18

*Michael Kors, L.L.C. v. The Partnerships and Unincorporated Associations Identified on Schedule
“A”*,
No. 13-cv-8612 (N.D. Ill. Dec. 5, 2013) (unpublished)..... 3, 23

Monster Energy Co. v. Chen Wensheng,

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.