

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

VILLAGE OF SHILOH,

Plaintiff,

v.

NETFLIX, INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:21-cv-00807-MAB

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**DEFENDANTS' JOINT OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO REMAND**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ..... ii

I. Background..... 2

II. Standard of Review..... 3

III. Argument ..... 5

    A. The *City of Fishers* decision does not require remand. .... 5

        1. Application of the *Levin* factors to the facts of this case favors remaining in federal court because of the presence of the previously-filed *East St. Louis Case*..... 6

        2. Remand of this case would guarantee duplicative litigation, which a federal court has a duty to avoid. .... 7

    B. This case implicates additional factors that counsel against remand..... 10

        1. Comity should not be applied to require an exception for all state tax or fee cases under CAFA. .... 10

        2. Comity does not warrant remand because this ordinary action for money damages offers no reason for deference to the State Court. .... 13

        3. Federal courts are competent to decide issues of state law..... 14

IV. Conclusion ..... 16

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>A.F. Moore &amp; Assocs., Inc. v. Pappas</i> , 948 F.3d 889 (7th Cir. 2020) .....	14
<i>Adkins v. VIM Recycling, Inc.</i> , 644 F.3d 483 (7th Cir. 2011) .....	14
<i>AmSouth Bank v. Dale</i> , 386 F.3d 763 (6th Cir. 2004) .....	8
<i>Arbaugh v. Y&amp;H Corp.</i> , 546 U.S. 500 (2006).....	10
<i>Arbuckle Mountain Ranch of Tex., Inc. v. Chesapeake Energy Corp.</i> , 810 F.3d 335 (5th Cir. 2016) .....	12
<i>Breuer v. Jim’s Concrete of Brevard, Inc.</i> , 538 U.S. 691 (2003).....	12
<i>Brown v. Mortg. Elec. Registration Sys., Inc.</i> , No. 6:11-CV-06070, 2012 WL 12919480 (W.D. Ark. July 26, 2012), <i>aff’d</i> , 738 F.3d 926 (8th Cir. 2013) .....	15
<i>Bryant v. Compass Grp. USA, Inc.</i> , 958 F.3d 617 (7th Cir. 2020) .....	16
<i>City of Chicago v. F.C.C.</i> , 199 F.3d 424 (7th Cir. 1999) .....	6
<i>City of East St. Louis v. Netflix, Inc., et al.</i> , No. 3:21-cv-00561-MAB.....	<i>passim</i>
<i>City of Eugene, Oregon v. Fed. Commc’ns Comm’n</i> , 998 F.3d 701 (6th Cir. 2021) .....	6
<i>City of Fishers v. DIRECTV</i> , 5 F.4th 750 (7th Cir. 2021) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>City of Reno, Nevada v. Netflix, Inc., et al.</i> , No 3:20-cv-499, 2021 WL 4037491 (D. Nev. Sept. 3, 2021).....	7, 16

*Colo. River Water Conservation Dist. v. United States*,  
424 U.S. 800 (1976).....3

*Dart Cherokee Basin Operating Co., LLC v. Owens*,  
574 U.S. 81 (2014).....3

*DeHart v. BP America, Inc.*,  
No. 09-cv-0626, 2010 WL 231744 (W.D. La. Jan. 14, 2010) .....8

*Diversified Metal Prod., Inc. v. Odom Indus., Inc.*,  
No. 1:12-CV-162, 2012 WL 2872772 (D. Idaho July 12, 2012).....9

*Dutcher v. Matheson*,  
840 F.3d 1183 (10th Cir. 2016) .....11

*Evans v. Walter Indus., Inc.*,  
449 F.3d 1159 (11th Cir. 2006) .....13

*Firth v. Chupp*,  
No. 09-cv-512, 2010 WL 5439759 (N.D. Ill. Dec. 27, 2010).....9, 10

*Frederick v. Easty*,  
No. 14-cv-342, 2015 WL 603884 (N.D. Ill. Feb. 11, 2015).....10

*Hammer v. United States Dep’t of Health & Hum. Servs.*,  
905 F.3d 517 (7th Cir. 2018) .....3

*Hart v. FedEx Ground Package Sys. Inc.*,  
457 F.3d 675 (7th Cir. 2006) .....11

*Hazlitt v. Apple Inc.*,  
No. 3:20-CV-421-NJR, 2021 WL 2414669 (S.D. Ill. June 14, 2021).....7, 16

*Heritage Schooner Cruises, Inc. v. Acadia Ins. Co.*,  
No. 09-CV-22579, 2009 WL 10699880 (S.D. Fla. Nov. 30, 2009) .....9

*Hertz Corp. v. Friend*,  
559 U.S. 77 (2010).....4

*Hibbs v. Winn*,  
542 U.S. 88 (2004).....14

*Hostetler v. Johnson Controls, Inc.*,  
No. 3:15-cv-226, 2017 WL 3700345 (N.D. Ind. Aug. 28, 2017) .....8

*Implementation of Section 621(a)(1) of the Cable Commc’ns Pol’y Act of 1984 As  
Amended by the Cable Television Consumer Prot. & Competition Act of 1992*,  
22 F.C.C. Rcd. 5101 (Mar. 5, 2007) .....6

*Implementation of Section 621(a)(1) of the Cable Commc’ns Pol’y Act of 1984 As Amended by the Cable Television Consumer Prot. & Competition Act of 1992,*  
34 F.C.C. Rcd. 6844 (F.C.C. Aug. 2, 2019) .....6

*Ironshore Indem., Inc. v. Synergy L. Grp., LLC,*  
926 F. Supp. 2d 1005 (N.D. Ill. 2013) .....8

*JMCB, LLC v. Bd. Of Commerce & Indus.,*  
293 F. Supp. 3d 580 (M.D. La. 2017).....14

*Kitchin v. Bridgeton Landfill, LLC,*  
3 F.4th 1089 (8th Cir. 2021) .....12

*Laures v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co.,*  
No. 20-CV-1047-SMY, 2021 WL 3476328 (S.D. Ill. Feb. 8, 2021).....4

*Manufacturers Hanover Tr. Co. v. Palmer Corp.,*  
798 F. Supp. 161 (S.D.N.Y. 1992).....9

*McCollough v. Smarte Carte, Inc.,*  
No. 16 C 03777, 2016 WL 4077108 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 1, 2016).....16

*Millman v. United Tech. Corp.,*  
No. 16-cv-312, 2017 WL 1165081 (N.D. Ind. Mar. 28, 2017).....11

*MLR, LLC v. U.S. Robotics Corp.,*  
No. 02-cv-2898, 2003 WL 685504 (N.D. Ill. Feb. 26, 2003).....7

*Monroy v. Shutterfly, Inc.,*  
No. 16 C 10984, 2017 WL 4099846 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 15, 2017).....16

*Morris v. Gulfport Energy Corp.,*  
No. 2:15-CV-1342, 2015 WL 4365498 (S.D. Ohio July 16, 2015).....9

*Nicholson v. Nationstar Mortg. LLC of Delaware,*  
No. 17-CV-1373, 2018 WL 3344408 (N.D. Ill. July 6, 2018).....7

*Norberg v. Shutterfly, Inc.,*  
152 F. Supp. 3d 1103 (N.D. Ill. 2015) .....7, 15

*Norrid v. D.A.R.P., Inc.,*  
No. 17-CV-401, 2018 WL 2977384 (E.D. Okla. June 13, 2018).....9

*Ohio Bureau of Emp. Servs. v. Hodory,*  
431 U.S. 471 (1977).....7

*Ozinga v. U.S. Dep’t of Health & Hum. Servs.,*  
No. 13-cv-3292, 2013 WL 12212731 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 14, 2013) .....7

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.