

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

METROPLEX COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,)
on behalf of itself and all others similarly situated,)

Plaintiff,)

v.)

META PLATFORMS, INC.,)

Defendant.)

No. 3:22-cv-1455-DWD

**PLAINTIFF’S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION AND STAY LITIGATION**

**GOLDENBERG HELLER &
ANTOGNOLI, P.C.**

Thomas P. Rosenfeld #06301406
Kevin P. Green #06299905
Thomas C. Horscroft #06327049
2227 South State Route 157
Edwardsville, IL 62025
618-656-5150
tom@ghalaw.com
kevin@ghalaw.com
thorscroft@ghalaw.com

MARGULIS GELFAND, LLC

Justin K. Gelfand
Ian T. Murphy
Gregory P. Bailey
7700 Bonhomme Avenue, Suite 750
Clayton, MO 63105
314-390-0234
justin@margulisgelfand.com
ian@margulisgelfand.com
greg@margulisgelfand.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
STATEMENT OF FACTS	2
I. Only Real People (Not Entities) Can Have a Facebook Account.....	2
II. Only “Users” (i.e. Humans with Facebook Accounts) Agree to Meta’s Contract Terms.....	3
III. Meta’s Arbitration Clause is for Disputes that Arise Out of or Relate to the User’s Access to or Use of the Meta Products for Business or Commercial Purposes	4
IV. Metroplex Neither Has a Facebook Account Nor Entered Into a Contract with Meta	5
LEGAL STANDARD.....	6
ARGUMENT	7
I. Metroplex Never Entered Into an Arbitration Agreement with Meta.....	7
II. Even if Metroplex Entered the Arbitration Agreement, Unfair Competition Claims by a Competitor are Beyond the Scope of the Agreement	11
III. The Arbitration Agreement is Not Enforceable Under Section 2 of the FAA.....	14
IV. The Arbitration Agreement is Invalid Because it is Unconscionable or, Alternatively, Because it Bars Metroplex’s Statutory Right to Injunctive Relief.....	16
A. The Arbitration Agreement is Unconscionable	16
B. The Agreement Improperly Bars the Statutory Right to Injunctive Relief.....	18
CONCLUSION.....	20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Page(s)
<i>American Express Co. v. Italian Colors Rest.</i> , 570 U.S. 228 (2013)	18
<i>Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.</i> , 477 U.S. 242 (1986)	6-7
<i>Armendariz v. Found. Health Psychcare Servs., Inc.</i> , 6 P.3d 669 (Cal. 2000).....	16, 17, 18
<i>Bible v. United Student Aid Funds, Inc.</i> , 799 F.3d 633 (7th Cir. 2015)	13
<i>Blair v. Rent-A-Center, Inc.</i> , 928 F.3d 819 (9th Cir. 2019)	19
<i>Calderon v. Sixt Rent a Car, LLC</i> , 5 F.4th 1204 (11th Cir. 2021)	10, 15
<i>Circuit City Stores, Inc. v. Ahmed</i> , 283 F.3d 1198 (9th Cir. 2002)	17
<i>Circuit City Stores, Inc. v. Najd</i> , 294 F.3d 1104 (9th Cir. 2002)	17
<i>Coatney v. Ancestry.com DNA, LLC</i> , No. 21-cv-1368-DWD, 2022 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 179873 (S.D. Ill. Sep. 30, 2022).....	9, 10
<i>Doctor’s Assocs. v. Casarotto</i> , 517 U.S. 681 (1996)	16
<i>Faulkenberg v. CB Tax Franchise Sys., LP</i> , 637 F.3d 801 (7th Cir. 2011)	12, 13
<i>First Options of Chic., v. Kaplan</i> , 514 U.S. 938 (1995)	6, 10
<i>Friedland v. Argentor Holding Corp.</i> , 211 N.Y.S. 896 (App. Div. 1925).....	14
<i>Gentry v. Superior Court</i> , 165 P.3d 556 (Cal. 2007).....	16, 17

Gore v. Alltel Commc 'ns, LLC,
666 F.3d 1027 (7th Cir. 2012) 12, 13

Granite Rock Co. v. Int'l Bhd. of Teamsters,
561 U.S. 287 (2010) 6

Hodges v. Comcast Cable Communs., LLC,
12 F.4th 1108 (9th Cir. 2021) 19, 20

Jackson v. Payday Fin., LLC,
764 F.3d 765 (7th Cir. 2014) 16

Lag Shot LLC v. Facebook, Inc.,
545 F. Supp. 3d 770 (N.D. Cal. 2021) 17

Land of Lincoln Goodwill Indus. v. PNC Fin. Servs. Grp.,
762 F.3d 673 (7th Cir. 2014) 12

McGill v. Citibank, N.A.,
393 P.3d 85 (Cal. 2017) 19, 20

Mitsubishi Motors Corp. v. Soler Chrysler-Plymouth, Inc.,
473 U.S. 614 (1985) 18

Morgan v. Sundance, Inc.,
142 S. Ct. 1708 (2022) 7, 10

Moses H. Cone Mem'l Hosp. v. Mercury Constr. Corp.,
460 U.S. 1 (1983) 10

Mulvania v. Sheriff of Rock Island Cnty.,
850 F.3d 849 (7th Cir. 2017) 10

New Prime Inc. v. Oliveira,
139 S. Ct. 532 (2019) 14

O'Neal v. Reilly,
961 F.3d 973 (7th Cir. 2020) 10

OTO, L.L.C. v. Kho,
447 P.3d 680 (Cal. 2019) 16

Parm v. Nat'l Bank of Cal., N.A.,
835 F.3d 1331 (11th Cir. 2016) 11

Perry v. Nat’l City Mortg., Inc.,
 No. 05-cv-891-DRH, 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 62419 (S.D. Ill. Aug. 15, 2006)..... 10

R.W. Dunteman Co. v. Vill. of Lombard,
 666 N.E.2d 762 (Ill. App. Ct. 1996)..... 10

Revitch v. DIRECTV, LLC,
 977 F.3d 713 (9th Cir. 2020)..... 10, 15

Sellers v. JustAnswer LLC,
 73 Cal. App. 5th 444 (2021)..... 16

Smith v. Bd. of Dirs. of Triad Mfg., Inc.,
 13 F.4th 613 (7th Cir. 2021)..... 18, 19, 20

Swain v. LaserAway Med. Grp., Inc.,
 57 Cal. App. 5th 59 (2020)..... 16, 17

Sweet Dreams Unlimited, Inc. v. Dial-A-Mattress Int’l, Ltd.,
 1 F.3d 639 (7th Cir. 1993)..... 13

Tinder v. Pinkerton Sec.,
 305 F.3d 728 (7th Cir. 2002)..... 6, 7

United States v. Castleman,
 572 U.S. 157 (2014)..... 14

United States v. Shaw,
 957 F.3d 734 (7th Cir. 2020)..... 11

United States v. Terzakis,
 854 F.3d 951 (7th Cir. 2017)..... 10

Vasquez v. Cebridge Telecom CA, LLC,
 569 F. Supp. 3d 1016 (N.D. Cal. 2021)..... 19, 20

Vold Info. Scis., Inc. v. Bd. of Trs. of Leland Stanford Junior Univ.,
 489 U.S. 468 (1989)..... 6

Zurich Am. Ins. Co. v. Watts Indus., Inc.,
 466 F.3d 577 (7th Cir. 2006)..... 6, 10

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.