

OREGON

Multnomah County

Parker, J. J. and Hazel, House, 2911 NW
 Raleigh Street, Portland, SG100009462

PUERTO RICO

Cidra Municipality

Teatro Iberia, 24 Jose de Diego, Cidra,
 SG100009465

SOUTH CAROLINA

Greenville County

Borden Ice Cream Factory, 711 West
 Washington St., Greenville, SG100009444

TEXAS

Aransas County

Bracht House, 902 East Cornwall St.,
 Rockport, SG100009445

VERMONT

Lamoille County

Lake Elmore Historic District, VT12, between
 Westphal and Greaves Hill Rds., Elmore,
 SG100009447

VIRGINIA

Norfolk Independent City

De Paul Hospital Complex Historic District,
 150 Kingsley Ln., Norfolk, SG100009429

Richmond Independent City

Hermitage Road Warehouse Historic District
 (Boundary Increase), Hermitage Rd., 1700
 blk. of Rhoadmiller St., Richmond
 (Independent City), BC100009430

WISCONSIN

Dane County

Madison Vocational School (Boundary
 Decrease), 211 North Carroll St., Madison,
 BC100009436

A request for removal has been made
 for the following resource(s):

NORTH DAKOTA

Grand Forks County

Lyons Garage, (Downtown Grand Forks
 MRA), 214–218 N 4th St., Grand Forks,
 OT82001330
 House at 1648 Riverside Drive, 1648
 Riverside Dr., Grand Forks, OT94001074

VERMONT

Bennington County

Johnny Seesaw's Historic District, 3574 VT
 11, Peru, OT08000686

Additional documentation has been
 received for the following resource(s):

WISCONSIN

Dane County

Madison Vocational School (Additional
 Documentation), 211 North Carroll St.,
 Madison, AD100003545

Authority: Section 60.13 of 36 CFR
 part 60.

Sherry A. Frear,

*Chief, National Register of Historic Places/
 National Historic Landmarks Program.*

[FR Doc. 2023–20379 Filed 9–19–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312–52–P

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE
 COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1270]

**Certain Casual Footwear and
 Packaging Thereof; Notice of Final
 Determination of No Violation by
 Active Respondents; Issuance of
 Default Remedial Orders; Termination
 of Investigation**

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade
 Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that
 the U.S. International Trade
 Commission (“Commission”) has
 determined that there is no violation of
 section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as
 amended, in this investigation by active
 respondents Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.
 (“Hobby Lobby”), Quanzhou ZhengDe
 Network Corp. d/b/a Amoji (“Amoji”),
 and Orly Shoe Corp. (“Orly”). The
 Commission has further determined to
 issue a limited exclusion order (“LEO”)
 against defaulting respondents La
 Modish Boutique (“La Modish”), Star
 Bay Group Inc. (“Star Bay”), Huizhou
 Xinshunzu Shoes Co., Ltd. (“Huizhou”),
 and Jinjiang Anao Footwear Co., Ltd.
 (“Anao”) and cease and desist orders
 against defaulting respondents La
 Modish and Star Bay. This investigation
 is hereby terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carl
 P. Bretscher, Esq., Office of the General
 Counsel, U.S. International Trade
 Commission, 500 E Street SW,
 Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202)
 205–2382. Copies of non-confidential
 documents filed in connection with this
 investigation may be viewed on the
 Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS)
 at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help
 accessing EDIS, please email
EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General
 information concerning the Commission
 may also be obtained by accessing its
 internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.
 Hearing-impaired persons are advised
 that information on this matter can be
 obtained by contacting the
 Commission’s TDD terminal on (202)
 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The
 Commission instituted this investigation
 on July 9, 2021, based on a complaint
 filed by Crocs, Inc. of Broomfield,

Colorado (“Crocs”). 86 FR 36303–304
 (July 9, 2021). The complaint, as
 supplemented, alleges violations of
 section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as
 amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section
 337”), in the importation into the
 United States, sale for importation, or
 sale in the United States after
 importation of certain casual footwear
 and packaging thereof by reason of
 infringement, false designation of origin,
 and dilution of one of more of U.S.
 Trademark Registration Nos. 5,149,328;
 5,273,875 (collectively, the “3D
 Marks”); and 3,836,415 (“the Word
 Mark”) (all collectively, “the Asserted
 Marks”). *Id.* The complaint alleges that
 a domestic industry exists, and that the
 threat or effect of certain alleged
 violations is to destroy or substantially
 injure an industry in the United States.
Id.

The Commission’s notice of
 investigation named numerous
 respondents, including: Hobby Lobby of
 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma; Amoji of
 Quanzhou, Fujian Province, China;
 Skechers USA, Inc. of Manhattan Beach,
 California (“Skechers”); SG Footwear
 Meser Grp. Inc. a/k/a S. Goldberg & Co.
 of Hackensack, New Jersey (“SG
 Footwear”); Cape Robbin Inc. of
 Pomona, California (“Cape Robbin”); Dr.
 Leonard’s Healthcare Corp. d/b/a Carol
 Wright of Edison, New Jersey (“Dr.
 Leonard’s”); Fullbeauty Brands Inc. d/b/
 a Kingsize of New York, New York
 (“Fullbeauty”); Legend Footwear, Inc.
 d/b/a/Wild Diva of City of Industry,
 California (“Wild Diva”); Fujian
 Huayuan Well Import and Export Trade
 Co., Ltd. of Fuzhou, Fujian Province,
 China (“Fujian”); Yoki Fashion
 International LLC of New York, New
 York (“Yoki”); Bijora, Inc. d/b/a Akira
 of Chicago, Illinois (“Akira”); Hawkins
 Footwear, Sports, Military & Dixie Store
 of Brunswick, Georgia (“Hawkins”);
 Shoe-Nami Inc. of Gretna, Louisiana
 (“Shoe-Nami”); PW Shoes, Inc. a/k/a
 P&W of Maspeth, New York (“PW”);
 718Closeouts of Brooklyn, New York
 (“718Closeouts”); Crocsky of Austin,
 Texas (“Crocsky”); Hobibear Shoes and
 Clothing Ltd. of Brighton, Colorado
 (“Hobibear”); Ink Tee of Los Angeles,
 California (“Ink Tee”); Maxhouse Rise
 Ltd. of Hong Kong, China
 (“Maxhouse”); La Modish of West
 Covina, California; Loeffler Randall Inc.
 of New York, New York (“Loeffler
 Randall”); Star Bay of Hackensack, New
 Jersey; and Royal Deluxe Accessories,
 LLC of New Providence, New Jersey
 (“Royal Deluxe”). The Office of Unfair
 Import Investigations (“OUII”) was also
 named as a party.

On November 17, 2021, the Commission amended the complaint and notice of investigation to add certain new respondents, including Orly of New York, New York; Mould Industria de Matrizes Ltda. d/b/a/ Boaonda of Brazil (“Boaonda”); Dongguan Eastar Footwear Enterprises Co., Ltd. of Guangzhou City, China (“Eastar”); KGS Sourcing Ltd. of Hong Kong, China (“KGS”); Fujian Wanjiixin Industrial Developing, Inc. a/k/a Fujian Wanjiixin Light Industrial Developing, Inc. of Quanzhou City, China (“Wanjiixin”); Anao of Jinjiang City, China; Walmart Inc. of Bentonville, Arkansas (“Walmart”); and Huizhou of Huizhou City, China, and to terminate the investigation with respect to Crocsky, Hobibear, and Ink Tee. Order No. 30 (Oct. 21, 2021), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Nov. 17, 2021).

The Commission subsequently terminated the investigation with respect to various respondents on the basis of settlement agreements or consent orders. *See* Order No. 12 (Aug. 11, 2021) (Skechers), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Aug. 24, 2021); Order No. 16 (Aug. 26, 2021) (SG Footwear) and Order No. 17 (Aug. 26, 2021) (Cape Robbin), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Sept. 24, 2021); Order No. 20 (Sept. 1, 2021) (Dr. Leonard’s), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Sept. 29, 2021); Order No. 22 (Sept. 9, 2021) (Fullbeauty) and Order No. 23 (Sept. 9, 2021) (Wild Diva), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Oct. 7, 2021); Order No. 24 (Sept. 17, 2021) (Fujian), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Oct. 7, 2021); Order No. 25 (Sept. 22, 2021) (Yoki), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Oct. 7, 2021); Order No. 26 (Sept. 28, 2021) (Akira), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Oct. 27, 2021); Order No. 27 (Oct. 6, 2021) (Hawkins), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Oct. 29, 2021); Order No. 32 (Nov. 1, 2021) (Shoe-Nami) and Order No. 33 (Nov. 1, 2021) (PW), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Nov. 29, 2021); Order No. 34 (Nov. 10, 2021) (718 Closeouts), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Dec. 6, 2021); Order No. 39 (Jan. 11, 2022) (Eastar), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Feb. 4, 2022); Order No. 46 (March 3, 2022) (Maxhouse, Wanjiixin), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (March 18, 2022); Order No. 49 (March 15, 2022) (Boaonda), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (April 1, 2022); Order No. 54 (April 22, 2022) (Royal Deluxe), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (May 17, 2022); Order No. 56 (May 6, 2022) (Loeffler Randall), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (May 27, 2022); Order No. 81 (Sept. 28, 2022) (Walmart), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Oct. 20,

2022). The Commission also terminated the investigation with respect to KGS for good cause. Order No. 40 (Feb. 1, 2022), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Feb. 22, 2022).

On June 10, 2022, the Commission found that respondents La Modish, Star Bay, Huizhou, and Anao (“Defaulting Respondents”) were in default and waived their rights to appear, to be served with documents, and to contest the allegations in this investigation, pursuant to 19 CFR 210.16(b). Order No. 58 (May 20, 2022), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (June 10, 2022).

On September 13–16, 2022, the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) held an evidentiary hearing with Crocs, OUII, and the remaining respondents Orly, Hobby Lobby (collectively, “the Orly Respondents”), and Amoji (all collectively, “Respondents”).

On January 9, 2023, the ALJ issued the subject final ID, finding no violation of section 337 because: (1) Crocs did not prove that Respondents infringe the Asserted Marks; (2) Crocs did not prove that Respondents falsely designate the origin of their accused products or cause unfair competition; (3) Crocs did not prove that Respondents dilute the Asserted Marks by blurring or tarnishment; (4) the 3D Marks are invalid for lack of secondary meaning; and (5) Crocs waived its infringement contentions against Defaulting Respondents. ID at 71–72, 83–86, 148–49. The ID also finds that Crocs has satisfied both the technical and economic prongs of the domestic industry requirement. *Id.* at 130, 149. The ID further finds that Respondents failed to prove that the 3D Marks are invalid as functional or that the Word Mark is invalid as generic. *Id.* at 128–29, 149. The ID takes no position on Crocs’s alleged injury or Respondents’ fair use defense. *Id.* at 129–30.

On January 13, 2023, the Commission issued a notice soliciting submissions from the public on the public interest implications of any remedial orders the Commission may issue in this case. 88 FR 3437 (Jan. 19, 2023). On February 9, 2023, non-party Joybees, LLC, a U.S. seller of footwear, filed a statement opposing issuance of a general exclusion order, (“GEO”). EDIS Doc. ID 790010 (Feb. 9, 2023). The Commission also received a letter dated June 14, 2023, from U.S. Representative Brittany Pettersen (CO–7), who represents the congressional district in which Crocs in headquartered. EDIS Doc. ID 798554 (June 14, 2023).

On April 5, 2023, the Commission determined to review the ID’s findings that: (1) Crocs waived its infringement

contentions against the lined version of Orly’s Gators; (2) the 3D Marks are not entitled to the presumption of validity and are invalid for lack of secondary meaning; (3) Crocs waived its infringement contentions against Defaulting Respondents; (4) subject matter jurisdiction; (5) likelihood of confusion; (6) false designation of origin; (7) dilution; and (8) the technical and economic prongs of domestic industry. Comm’n Notice at 3–4 (Apr. 5, 2023); 88 FR 21712–15 (Apr. 11, 2023). The Commission determined not to review the remaining findings in the ID.

On April 19, 2023, Crocs, the Orly Respondents, and OUII filed their responses to the Commission’s notice of review. On April 26, 2023, the parties filed their respective replies. Amoji did not file its own response or join the briefing by the Orly Respondents.

Having reviewed the ID, the parties’ submissions, and the evidence of record, the Commission has determined to affirm and adopt the ID’s findings that Respondents have not infringed or diluted any of the Asserted Marks, falsely designated the origin of their Accused Products, or engaged in unfair competition. The Commission has determined to reverse the ID’s finding that Crocs waived its infringement contentions with respect to the lined versions of the accused Orly Gators and find instead that Crocs failed to prove infringement by the lined Orly Gators.

The Commission takes no position on Orly’s alleged first sale in April 2016, the presumption of validity, secondary meaning, injury, fair use, and the technical and economic prongs of the domestic industry requirement.

The Commission has further determined to issue an LEO to Defaulting Respondents and CDOs to defaulting respondents La Modish and Star Bay pursuant to section 337(g)(1). 19 U.S.C. 1337(g)(1).

The Commission’s reasoning in support of its determinations is set forth more fully in its opinion issued herewith. Commissioner Kearns dissents from the Commission’s finding of no violation of section 337 for the reasons detailed in his dissenting views issued herewith.

The investigation is hereby terminated.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on September 14, 2023.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 14, 2023.

Katherine Hiner,

Supervisory Attorney.

[FR Doc. 2023–20345 Filed 9–19–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE–23–045]

Sunshine Act Meetings

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: United States International Trade Commission.

TIME AND DATE: September 25, 2023 at 11:00 a.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205–2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agendas for future meetings: none.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Commission vote on Inv. Nos. 701–TA–684 and 731–TA–1597–1598 (Final)(Gas Powered Pressure Washers from China and Vietnam). The Commission currently is scheduled to complete and file its determinations and views of the Commission on October 13, 2023.

5. *Outstanding action jackets:* none.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Sharon Bellamy, Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer, 202–205–2000.

The Commission is holding the meeting under the Government in the Sunshine Act, 5 U.S.C. 552(b). In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: September 15, 2023.

Sharon Bellamy,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2023–20423 Filed 9–18–23; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1117–0001]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection, eComments Requested; Revision of a Previously Approved Collection; Report of Theft or Loss of Controlled Substance and Report of Loss or Disappearance of Listed Chemicals

AGENCY: Drug Enforcement Administration, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 60-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), Department of Justice (DOJ), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until November 20, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Scott A. Brinks, Regulatory Drafting and Policy Support Section, Drug Enforcement Administration; Mailing Address: 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152; Telephone: (571) 362–3261, Email: scott.a.brinks@dea.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Bureau of Justice Statistics, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological

collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Abstract: In accordance with current 21 CFR 1301.74, a DEA registrant must notify the Field Division Office of the Administration in writing, of any theft or significant loss of any controlled substance within one business day of discovery of the theft or loss, and must complete and send to the DEA a DEA Form 106 upon determination of a theft or significant loss. The DEA Form 106 is designed to provide a uniform method of reporting and recording thefts and losses of controlled substances as required by 21 U.S.C. 827, 21 CFR 1301.74(c) and 1301.76(b). The form is entitled “Report of Theft or Loss of Controlled Substances” and it is used by the DEA to help determine the quantities and types of controlled substances that are stolen or lost. It may also serve as a record of the theft or loss for the registrant. DEA is modifying this collection to move DEA Form 107 from 1117–0024 to this collection, as DEA Form 107 is more aligned with DEA Form 106. DEA Form 107 is used by regulated persons involved in reporting unusual or excessive loss or disappearance of a listed chemical. Each regulated person must report to the Special Agent in Charge of the DEA Diversion Office for the area in which the regulated person making the report is located any unusual or excessive loss or disappearance of a listed chemical under the control of the regulated person.

Overview of This Information Collection

1. *Type of Information Collection:* Revision of a currently approved collection.
2. *Title of the Form/Collection:* Report of Theft or Loss of Controlled Substance and Reports of Loss or Disappearance of Listed Chemicals.
3. *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* DEA Form 106 and DEA Form 107. The applicable component within the Department of Justice is the Drug Enforcement Administration, Diversion Control Division.
4. *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as the obligation to respond:* Affected public (Primary): Private Sector—business or other for-profit. Other: Private Sector—businesses not-for-profit institutions; Federal, State, local, and tribal governments.
5. *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time*