# EXHIBIT 14



BoxInterferences@uspto.gov

Tel: 571-272-9797

#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Entered: March 25, 2020

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

#### **Anatoli Ledenev**

and Robert M. Porter Junior Party (Patent 7,843,085),

v.

#### Meir Adest,

Yoav Galin, Lior Handelsman, Amir Fishelov, and Guy Sella, Senior Party (Application 13/308,517)

Patent Interference No. 106,112 (JTM) (Technology Center 2800)

Before SALLY GARDNER LANE, JAMES T. MOORE, and DEBORAH KATZ, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MOORE, Administrative Patent Judge

JUDGMENT - Bd. R. 127(a)



# Interference 106112 (JTM) – Ledenev v. Adest Judgment

1 A decision granting Motion 2 of senior party Meir Adest, Yoav Galin, Lior Handelsman, Amir Fishelov, and Guy Sella, has been entered. (Decision, Paper 2 3 137). As a result of this Decision, junior party Anatoli Ledenev and Robert M. Porter cannot prevail on priority. Accordingly, we enter judgment against 4 5 Ledenev. 6 Order 7 It is 8 ORDERED that judgment on priority is entered against junior party Ledenev 9 as to Count 1, the sole Count of the interference (Declaration, Paper 1, 4); 10 FURTHER ORDERED that claims 1–57 of Ledenev patent 7,843,085, 11 which correspond to Count 1, are CANCELED. (Declaration, Paper 1, 4); 35 U.S.C. § 135(a);<sup>1</sup> 12 13 FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are directed to 35 USC § 135(c) and 14 Bd. R. 205 regarding the filing of settlement agreements; 15 FURTHER ORDERED that a party seeking judicial review timely serve 16 notice on the Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office; 17 37 C.F.R. §§ 90.1 and 104.2. See also Bd. R. 8(b). Attention is directed to Biogen 18 Idec MA, Inc., v. Japanese Foundation for Cancer Research, 785 F.3d 648,



Any reference to a statute in this Judgment is to the statute that was in effect on March 15, 2013 unless otherwise indicated. See Pub. L. 112-29, § 3(n), 125 Stat. 284, 293 (2011).

Interference 106112 (JTM) – Ledenev v. Adest Judgment

- 1 654–57 (Fed. Cir. 2015) (determining that pre-AIA § 146 review was eliminated
- 2 for interference proceedings declared after September 15, 2012); and
- FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this judgment be entered into the
- 4 administrative records of the involved Ledenev patent and involved Adest
- 5 application.



Interference 106112 (JTM) – Ledenev v. Adest Judgment

### Attorney for Ledenev:

Luke Santangelo
Alfred K. Wiedmann Jr.
Nicole Ressue
Misha Gregory Macaw
SANTANGELO LAW OFFICES, P.C.
lukes@idea-asset.com
awiedmann@idea-asset.com
nicoler@idea-asset-com
misham@idea-asset.com

W. Todd Baker Charles L. Gholz OBLON, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, LLP tbaker@oblon.com cgholz@oblon.com

## Attorney for Adest:

Frederic M. Meeker
Michael S. Cuviello
John H. Curry
Blair A. Silver
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
fmeeker@bannerwitcoff.com
mcuviello@bannerwitcoff.com
jcurry@bannerwitcoff.com
bsilver@bannerwitcoff.com
SolaredgeInterference@bannerwitcoff.com

