EXHIBIT 3

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. BoxInterferences@uspto.gov Tel: 571-272-9797 Entered: March 25, 2020

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Anatoli Ledenev and Robert M. Porter Junior Party (Patent 7,843,085),

v.

Meir Adest, Yoav Galin, Lior Handelsman, Amir Fishelov, and Guy Sella, Senior Party (Application 13/308,517)

Patent Interference No. 106,112 (JTM) (Technology Center 2800)

Before SALLY GARDNER LANE, JAMES T. MOORE, and DEBORAH KATZ, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MOORE, Administrative Patent Judge

DOCKET

DECISION ON MOTIONS 37 C.F.R. § 41.125

LARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Interference 106,112 (JTM) – Ledenev v. Adest Decision on Motions

1	I. BACKGROUND
2	An interference was declared between patent 7,843,085 ("Junior Party" or
3	"Ledenev") ¹ and application $13/308,517$ ("Senior Party" or "Adest") ² . Paper 1.
4	After a conference call, the Board authorized numerous motions to be filed.
5	Paper 17. Not all of the authorized motions were filed. Those that were authorized
6	(and some permissively filed under the rules) include:
7	
8	Ledenev Motion 2 to de-designate junior party claims. Paper 73 (Corrected).
9	Ledenev Motion 3 to add a Count. Paper 74 (Corrected).
10	Ledenev Motion 4 to accord benefit. Paper 75 (Corrected).
11	Ledenev Responsive Motion 12 to de-designate claims. Paper 81.
12	Adest Motion 2 for benefit. Paper 77.
13	Adest Motion 4 to add Ledenev patents. Paper 70. (Corrected).
14	Adest Miscellaneous Motion 1 to exclude Exhibit 2040. Paper 81.
15	
16	The times for filing opposition and reply have passed. This interference is
17	ready for decision. Requests for Oral Argument were filed (Papers 124 and 130),
18	but the panel deems oral argument are unneeded in this case, and those requests are
19	denied.
20	

¹ Ledenev identifies its real party in interest as AMPT, LLC. Paper 8.

DOCKET

Α

² Adest identifies its real party in interest as Solaredge Technologies, LTD. Paper 10.

Interference 106,112 (JTM) – Ledenev v. Adest Decision on Motions

1

II. THE TECHNOLOGY

This interference concerns photovoltaic power systems that are said to be highly efficient. Ex. 2001, Title. There are many variables that affect a photovoltaic system, including non-uniformity of panels, partial shade, dirt or accumulated matter on the panels, damaged panels, and degradation due to age of the panels. *Id.* 2:38-44. There are many ways to interconnect panels, converters, and controllers. *Id.* 2:45-57.

8 In Ledenev's description of the technical field of the subject matter, it is said 9 that certain aspects of the invention may be responsible for the high efficiency and 10 harvest maximum power from a solar cell, a solar panel, or strings of panels. 11 These aspects include providing electrical power conversion in a multimodal 12 manner, establishing a system that can alternate between differing processes, and 13 differing systems that can achieve efficiencies in conversion that are said to be 14 extraordinarily high compared to traditional systems. Ex. 2001, 1:18-28. 15 III. The Interference Count 16 The Count is a "McKelvey" Count, and recites the subject matter of the 17 present interference. More specifically, the Count comprises two alternatives – 18 Application 13/308,517, Claim 253. An efficient method of solar 19 energy power harvesting comprising the steps of: creating a DC photovoltaic output from a solar panel of a plurality of 20 solar panels: 21 22 connecting said DC photovoltaic output to a DC photovoltaic input of a photovoltaic DC-DC converter; wherein the photovoltaic DC-DC 23 converter includes a buck+boost converter; 24 converting said DC photovoltaic input into a converted DC 25 photovoltaic output using at least some times a buck mode of the 26

-3-

Interference 106,112 (JTM) – Ledenev v. Adest Decision on Motions

$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ \end{array} $	photovoltaic DC-DC converter and at least other times a boost mode of said photovoltaic DC-DC converter; controlling said photovoltaic DC-DC converter in said buck and boost modes while said photovoltaic DC-DC converter converts said DC photovoltaic input into said converted DC photovoltaic output; controlling transitions of said photovoltaic DC-DC converter between said buck and boost mode conversion by using a maximum power peak tracking control such that substantially all power of said DC photovoltaic input is transferred to said converted DC photovoltaic output; connecting said converted DC photovoltaic output as part of a converted DC photovoltaic input to a DC-AC inverter; and inverting said converted DC photovoltaic input into an inverted AC photovoltaic output.
16	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	Patent 7,843,085 Claim 1. An efficient method of solar energy power creation comprising the steps of: creating a DC photovoltaic output from at least one solar panel of a plurality of solar panels; establishing said DC photovoltaic output as at least part of at least one DC photovoltaic input to a photovoltaic DC-DC converter for at least one DC photovoltaic output; substantially power isomorphically converting said at least one DC photovoltaic input into a converted DC photovoltaic output; substantially power isomorphically maximum photovoltaic power point multi mode output controlling operation of said photovoltaic DC-DC converter at least some times while said photovoltaic DC-DC converter acts to convert said at least one DC photovoltaic input into said converted DC photovoltaic output;

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.