# **EXHIBIT 9**

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>. BoxInterferences@uspto.gov Tel: 571-272-9797 Entered: December 31, 2019

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Anatoli Ledenev<sup>1</sup> and Robert M. Porter, Junior Party (Patent 8,004,116),

v.

Meir Adest,<sup>2</sup> Guy Sella, Lior Handelsman, Yoav Galin, Amir Fishelov, Meir Gazit, Yaron Binder and Nikolay Radimov, Senior Party (Application 13/430,388).

Patent Interference No. 106,054 (JTM) (Technology Center 2800)

Before SALLY G. LANE, JAMES T. MOORE, and DEBORAH KATZ, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MOORE, Administrative Patent Judge

DOCKF

# **DECISION ON MOTIONS**

<sup>1</sup> The real party in interest is identified as AMPT, LLC. Paper 10, 1.

<sup>2</sup> The real party in interest is identified as Solaredge Technologies, Ltd. Paper 5, 1.

Interference 106,054 (JTM) – Ledenev v. Adest Decision on Motions

### 37 C.F.R. § 41.125

## I. BACKGROUND

An interference was declared between application 13/430,388 ("Junior Party" or "Adest") and patent 8,004,116 ("Senior Party" or "Ledev"). Paper 1. The interference was redeclared to correct the accorded benefit dates. Paper 14.

After a conference call, the Board authorized numerous motions to be filed. Paper 17. Those authorized motions included Ledenev Motion 3 (no interferencein-fact); Ledenev Motion 4 (designating claims as not corresponding to the count); Adest Motion 1 (unpatentability of Ledenev claims 1–29); and Adest Motion 2 (motion for benefit).

After a second conference call, the Board authorized Ledenev Motion 7 (unpatentability, all claims). Paper 55. The Board also granted Ledenev Motion 8 seeking permission to file a reissue application. Paper 103.

The various motions, oppositions, and replies have been filed. The Board has awaited an initial determination on the fate of reissue application 15/469,087. In the absence of any such determination being presented to us to date, the Board has now elected to proceed with this interference on the present record to prevent further delay.

# II. THE TECHNOLOGY

This interference concerns photovoltaic power systems that are said to be highly efficient. Ex. 2001, Title. There are many variables that affect a photovoltaic system, including non-uniformity of panels, partial shade, dirt or accumulated matter on the panels, damaged panels, and degradation due to age of

-2-

Interference 106,054 (JTM) – Ledenev v. Adest Decision on Motions

the panels. *Id.* 2:38-44 There are many ways to interconnect panels, converters, and controllers. *Id.* 2:45-59.

In Ledenev's description of the technical field of the subject matter, it is said that certain aspects of the invention may be responsible for the high efficiency and harvest maximum power from a solar cell, a solar panel, or strings of panels. These aspects include providing electrical power conversion in a multimodal manner, establishing a system that can alternate between differing processes, and differing systems that can achieve efficiencies in conversion that are said to be extraordinarily high compared to traditional systems. Ex. 2001, 1:20–31.

III. The Interference Count

DOCKE

RM

The count is a "McKelvey" count, and recites the subject matter of the present interference. More specifically, the count comprises two alternatives –

Application 13/430,388, Claim 62. An efficient solar energy power system comprising:

a plurality of solar panels, each solar panel of said plurality of solar panels having a DC photovoltaic output;

a plurality of DC photovoltaic inputs, each DC photovoltaic input configured to receive power from a respective one of said DC photovoltaic outputs of said plurality of solar panels;

a plurality of buck+boost DC-DC power converters, each buck+boost DC-DC power converter configured to receive said power from a respective one of said plurality of said DC photovoltaic inputs, and each buck+boost DC-DC power converter configured to convert substantially all of said power accepted by said respective DC photovoltaic input to converted DC power;

a control circuit configured to control each of said buck+boost DC-DC power converters to convert substantially all of said power accepted by said respective DC photovoltaic input to said converted DC power, and

# Interference 106,054 (JTM) – Ledenev v. Adest Decision on Motions

wherein said control circuit is configured to control each of said buck+boost DC-DC power converters into multiple configurations;

a converted DC power output coupled to said plurality of buck+boost DC-DC power converters and configured to receive said converted DC power;

a DC-AC inverter configured to receive said converted DC power from said converted DC power output; and

an AC power output configured to receive converted AC power from said DC-AC inverter.0

#### or

DOCKE

Patent 8,004,116 Claim 1. An efficient solar energy power system comprising:

a plurality of solar panels, each said solar panel having a DC photovoltaic output;

a DC photovoltaic input that accepts power from said DC photovoltaic output;

at least one substantially power isomorphic photovoltaic DC-DC power converter responsive to at least one said DC photovoltaic input;

substantially power isomorphic maximum photovoltaic power point converter multimodal functionality control circuitry to which said at least one substantially power isomorphic photovoltaic DC-DC power converter is responsive;

a converted photovoltaic DC power output connected to said at least one substantially power isomorphic photovoltaic DC-DC power converter;

at least one photovoltaic DC-AC inverter responsive to said photovoltaic DC power output; and

a photovoltaic AC power output responsive to said at least one photovoltaic DC-AC inverter.

(Paper 1, 4; Paper 7, 3–4; Ex. 2001, 22:48–67).

A "buck" converter is a step-down converter, while a "boost"

converter is a step-up converter. Ex. 2001, 11:28–29 and 44.

-4-

# DOCKET



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

# API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

