

EXHIBIT 4

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Anatoli Ledenev
and
Robert M. Porter,
Junior Party
(Patent 8,004,116),

v.

Meir Adest,
Guy Sella, Lior Handelsman, Yoav Galin,
Amir Fishelov, Meir Gazit, Yaron Binder
and
Nikolay Radimov,
Senior Party
(Application 13/430,388).

Patent Interference No. 106,054 (JTM)
(Technology Center 2800)

Before SALLY G. LANE, JAMES T. MOORE, and DEBORAH KATZ,
Administrative Patent Judges.

MOORE, *Administrative Patent Judge*

JUDGMENT - Bd. R. 127(a)

Interference 106,054 (JTM) – Ledenev v. Adest
Judgment

A decision granting Motion 1 of senior party Meir Adest, Guy Sella, Lior Handelsman, Yoav Galin, Amir Fishelov, Meir Gazit, Yaron Binder and Nikolay Radimov has been entered. (Decision, Paper 186). As a result of this Decision, all the involved claims of senior party Anatoli Ledenev and Robert M. Porter are unpatentable to Ledenev and Ledenev lacks standing to continue in the interference. Bd. R. 201. Accordingly, we enter judgment against Ledenev.

Order

It is

ORDERED that judgment on priority is entered against junior party Ledenev as to Count 1, the sole Count of the interference (Declaration, Paper 1, 4);

FURTHER ORDERED that claims 1–29 of Ledenev patent 8,004,116, which correspond to Count 1, are CANCELED. (Declaration, Paper 1, 4); 35 U.S.C. § 135(a);¹

FURTHER ORDERED that the parties are directed to 35 USC § 135(c) and Bd. R. 205 regarding the filing of settlement agreements;

FURTHER ORDERED that a party seeking judicial review timely serve notice on the Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office; 37 C.F.R. §§ 90.1 and 104.2. *See also* Bd. R. 8(b). Attention is directed to *Biogen Idec MA, Inc., v. Japanese Foundation for Cancer Research*, 785 F.3d 648,

¹ Any reference to a statute in this Judgment is to the statute that was in effect on March 15, 2013 unless otherwise indicated. See Pub. L. 112-29, § 3(n), 125 Stat. 284, 293 (2011).

Interference 106,054 (JTM) – Ledenev v. Adest
Judgment

654–57 (Fed. Cir. 2015) (determining that pre-AIA § 146 review was eliminated for interference proceedings declared after September 15, 2012); and

FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this judgment be entered into the administrative records of the involved Ledenev patent and involved Adest application.

Interference 106,054 (JTM) – Ledenev v. Adest
Judgment

Attorney for Ledenev

Luke Santangelo
Alfred K. Wiedmann, Jr.
SANTANGELO LAW OFFICES, P.C.
luke@idea-asset.com
alw@idea-asset.com

W. Todd Baker
OBLON, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
tbaker@oblon.com

Attorney for Adest

Frederic M. Meeker
Michael S. Cuvillo
Joseph M. Skerpon
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
fmeeker@bannerwitcoff.com
mcuvillo@bannerwitcoff.com
jskerpon@bannerwitcoff.com