

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C.

Before the Honorable Bryan F. Moore  
Administrative Law Judge

In the Matter of

CERTAIN FURNITURE PRODUCTS  
FINISHED WITH DECORATIVE WOOD  
GRAIN PAPER AND COMPONENTS  
THEREOF

Inv. No. 337-TA-1385

RESPONSE OF WHALEN LLC TO COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF INVESTIGATION

<p><b><u>RESPONDENT</u></b> Whale LLC d/b/a Whalen Furniture Manufacturing (address)</p>	<p><b><u>COUNSEL FOR WHALEN LLC</u></b> <b><u>d/b/a WHALEN FURNITURE</u></b> <b><u>MANUFACTURING</u></b> Lynda J. Zadra-Symes KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON &amp; BEAR, LLP 2040 Main Street, Fourteenth Floor Irvine, CA 92614 Lynda.zadrasymes@knobbe.com</p>
--	--

## LIST OF EXHIBITS

<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Description</b>
A	Information on Harmonized Tariff Schedule numbers, importation quantity and value of Accused Products, and the locations of Whalen's suppliers

Pursuant to 19 C.F.R. § 210.13, Respondent Whalen LLC d/b/a Whalen Furniture Manufacturing (“Whalen” or “Respondent”) by and through its attorneys, hereby responds to the Complaint under Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, filed by Toppan Interamerica, Inc. (“Complainant”) on December 7, 2023, and to the Notice of Institution of Investigation issued by the United States International Trade Commission (“Commission”) on January 9, 2024 (“Notice”).

## I. INTRODUCTION

1. Whalen admits that Complainant requested the Commission to institute an investigation based on alleged violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. §1337 (“Section 337”). Whalen denies that it has engaged in any of the alleged violations of Section 337. Whalen lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the remaining allegations in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint, and therefore denies those allegations.

2. Whalen admits that Complainant includes in its definition of the Accused Products multiple furniture items, including desks, bookcases, media stands and consoles, cabinets, vanities, and other furniture products finished with a wood grain finish. Whalen denies that any of these products infringe any of the Asserted Copyrights. Whalen lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to whether Complainant is the exclusive licensee of the Asserted Copyrights in the United States, and therefore denies those allegations. Whalen denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 2 of the Complaint.

3. Whalen denies the allegations in Paragraph 3 of the Complaint.

4. Whalen lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations of Paragraph 4 of the Complaint, and therefore denies those allegations.

5. Whalen admits that Complainant is pursuing the relief identified in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint but denies that Complainant is entitled to the requested relief. Whalen denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint.

6. Whalen admits that Complainant is pursuing the relief identified in Paragraph 6 of the Complaint but denies that Complainant is entitled to the requested relief. Whalen denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 6 of the Complaint.

7. Whalen admits that Complainant is pursuing the relief identified in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint but denies that Complainant is entitled to the requested relief. Whalen denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint.

## **II. COMPLAINANT**

8. Whalen lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 8 of the Complaint, and therefore denies those allegations.

9. Whalen lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 9 of the Complaint, and therefore denies those allegations.

10. Whalen lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 10 of the Complaint, and therefore denies those allegations.

11. Whalen lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint, and therefore denies those allegations.

12. Whalen lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 12 of the Complaint, and therefore denies those allegations.

## **III. THE ASSERTED COPYRIGHTS**

13. Whalen admits that wood grain appears in nature. Whalen denies that the wood grain finishes that Complainant uses are not natural wood grains. Whalen lacks knowledge and

information sufficient to form a belief as to the remaining allegations in Paragraph 13 of the Complaint, and therefore denies those allegations.

14. Whalen lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 14 of the Complaint, and therefore denies those allegations.

15. Whalen admits that the Asserted Copyrights give the appearance of natural wood grain and facilitate printing in rolls for efficient manufacture. Whalen denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint.

16. The allegation that the Asserted Copyrights are original graphic works of authorship is a legal conclusion to which no response is required. Whalen denies all remaining allegations in Paragraph 16 of the Complaint.

17. Whalen lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 17 of the Complaint, and therefore denies those allegations.

18. Whalen admits that Exhibit 2 appears to show a copy of the Fitzroy Pine Copyright registration certificate. Whalen lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the remaining allegations in Paragraph 18 of the Complaint, and therefore denies those allegations.

19. Whalen admits that Exhibit 3 appears to show a copy of the Roughsawn Oak Copyright registration certificate. Whalen lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 19 of the Complaint, and therefore denies those allegations.

20. Whalen admits that Exhibit 4 appears to show a copy of the Aspen Oak Copyright registration certificate. Whalen lacks knowledge and information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations in Paragraph 20 of the Complaint, and therefore denies those allegations.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.