UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C.

Before the Honorable Thomas B. Pender
Administrative Law Judge

In the Matter of **CERTAIN WINDSHIELD WIPERS AND COMPONENTS THEREOF**	**Investigation No. 337-TA-928**

RESPONDENTS' REPLY MARKMAN BRIEF

/s/ *Louis Campbell*
Thomas L. Jarvis
Paul C. Goulet
Vivian S. Kuo
WINSTON & STRAWN, LLP
1700 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006-3817
Telephone: (202) 282-5000
Facsimile: (202) 282-5100

Louis L. Campbell
WINSTON & STRAWN, LLP
101 California Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 591-1000
Facsimile: (415) 591-1400

Counsel for Respondents Federal-Mogul Corp., Federal-Mogul Motorparts Corporation, and Federal-Mogul S.A.

Dated: November 24, 2014

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction 1

II. Valeo's Statement of the Relevant Legal Principles Suggests an Incorrect Approach to Claim Construction 1

III. The Parties Do Not Have a Meaningful Dispute Regarding the Level of Ordinary Skill 2

IV. The Court Should Adopt Federal-Mogul's Proposed Constructions for Disputed Limitations 2

A. The Court Should Decline Valeo's Invitation To Construe the "Slide Element" Term Inconsistently with its Plain and Ordinary Meaning 2

B. The Court Should Decline Valeo's Invitation To Not Construe Several Disputed Terms 8

1. Construction of "Plurality of Resilient Securing Sections Define a Plurality of Resilient Locking Sections" Is Necessary To Avoid Confusion 12

2. Construction of "Receive" Terms Is Necessary to Avoid Confusion 13

3. Construction of "Tongue-shaped Insertion Section" Is Necessary To Avoid Confusion 16

C. Valeo Incorrectly Equates "Securing" and "Locking" Limitations 17

D. Valeo Has Failed to Show Why "Seat" and "Insertion opening" Should Be Construed Differently Given That They Are Interchangeable Terms in the Claims, Specification, and Prosecution History 21

E. Federal-Mogul's Construction of "Actuating" Limitations Should Be Adopted Because It Is Consistent with Intrinsic Evidence 23

F. Preambles Are Limitations of Claims Because They Provide Antecedent Basis For Terms In Bodies of Claims 24

V. Conclusion 26

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CITED EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
JX-1	U.S. Patent No. 7,891,044
JX-2	U.S. Patent No. 7,937,798
JX-3	U.S. Patent No. 8,220,106
JX-4	File History for U.S. Patent No. 7,891,044
JX-5	File History for U.S. Patent No. 7,937,798
JX-6	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,220,106
RX-2	Excerpts, THE AMERICAN HERITAGE DICTIONARY (4th ed. 2000)
RX-9	Excerpts, TONY ATKINS & MARCEL ESCUDIER, OXFORD DICTIONARY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING (1st ed. 2013)
RX-10	Excerpts, S.B. CHOPRA, ANMOL'S DICTIONARY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING (1st ed. 1989)
RX-11	Excerpts, G.H.F. NAYLER, DICTIONARY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING (1st jaico impression 1999)
RX-32	Tamerlane's Thoughts: The Lonely Wiper Blade, http://karakullake.blogspot.com/2008/10/blog-post.html (last visited Nov. 19, 2014)
RX-33	Technical Curiosities: Mercedes' Monoblade Wiper – Spannerhead, http://www.spannerhead.com/2012/11/28/technical-curiosities-mercedes-monoblade-wiper/ (last visited Nov. 19, 2014)

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

CASES

Allen Engineering Corp. v. Bartel Industries,
299 F.3d 1336, 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....25

American Medical Systems, Inc. v. Biolitec, Inc,
618 F.3d 1354, 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....24

Board of Trustees of Leland Stanford Junior University. v. Roche Molecular Systems, Inc., 528 F.Supp.2d 967 (N.D.Cal. 2007).....10, 11

Board of Trustees of Leland Stanford Junior Univ. v. Roche Molecular Systems, Inc.,
583 F.3d 832 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....11

Catalina Marketing International, Inc. v. Coolsavings.com, Inc.,
289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....24, 26

CCS Fitness, Inc. v. Brunswick Corp.,
288 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....3

CW Zumbiel Co., Inc. v. Kappos,
702 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....24, 26

Dayco Products, Inc. v. Total Containment, Inc.,
258 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....12

Embrex, Inc. v. Serv. Eng'g Corp.,
216 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....1

Harris Corp v. IXYS Corp.,
114 F.3d 1149 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....10

Hockerson-Halberstadt v. Avia Grp Int'l, Inc.,
222 F.3d 951 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....6

O2 Micro v. Beyond Innovation,
521 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2008)..... passim

Phillips v. AWH Corp.,
415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)..... passim

Rhine v. Casio, Inc.,
183 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....21

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Thorner v. Sony Computer Entm't. Am. LLC,
669 F.3d 1362, 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....3

U.S. Surgical Corp v. Ethicon, Inc.,
103 F.3d 1554 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....9, 10

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.