

# Exhibit 1

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY  
FRANKLIN CIRCUIT COURT  
CASE NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
DIVISION \_\_\_\_\_

LIFEPOINT CORPORATE SERVICES GENERAL  
PARTNERSHIP AND LAKE CUMBERLAND  
REGIONAL HOSPITAL, LLC,

PETITIONERS

v.

**PETITION TO VACATE ARBITRATION AWARD**

WELLCARE HEALTH INSURANCE CO. OF KENTUCKY

RESPONDENT

SERVE: WellCare Insurance Co. of Kentucky  
c/o C T Corporation System  
306 W. Main Street  
Suite 512  
Frankfort, KY 40601

\* \* \* \* \*

Through its counsel of record, and pursuant to the Federal Arbitration Act (“FAA”), 9 U.S.C. § 10, and alternatively the Kentucky Uniform Arbitration Act (“KUAA”), Ky. Rev. Stat. § 417.160, Petitioners LifePoint Corporate Services General Partnership and Lake Cumberland Regional Hospital, LLC respectfully move this Court to vacate the arbitration award issued in *LifePoint Corporate Services General Partnership v. Wellcare Health Insurance Co. of Kentucky*, AAA Case No. 01-20-0014-7102, on May 18, 2022. In support of this Petition, Petitioners state:

**PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE**

1. Petitioner LifePoint Corporate Services General Partnership facilitates the operation of a national hospital system. It is a Delaware general partnership with its main offices located at 330 Seven Springs Way, Brentwood, Tennessee 37027.

108DEF3B-C293-4B24-B75C-64CE74717815 : 000001 of 000012

Presiding Judge: HON. PHILLIP J. SHEPHERD (648260)

COM : 000001 of 000009

2. Petitioner Lake Cumberland Regional Hospital, LLC is hospital owned by LifePoint located at 305 Langdon Street, Somerset, Kentucky 42503. Lake Cumberland Regional Hospital is a Delaware limited liability company.

3. Respondent WellCare Health Insurance Co. of Kentucky is a managed care organization that provides managed care services for the Commonwealth of Kentucky Department of Medicaid Services. WellCare is a Kentucky corporation located at 13551 Triton Park Boulevard, Suite 1800, Louisville, Kentucky 40223.

4. This Court has jurisdiction over this action because it arises from a contractual dispute affecting interstate commerce and is therefore subject to and governed by the Federal Arbitration Act. *See, e.g., Ford v. E\*TRADE Sec., Inc.*, No. 2003-CA-001480-MR, 2004 WL 2071411, at \*2 (Ky. Ct. App. Sept. 17, 2004) (Minton, J.) (holding that Kentucky trial court had jurisdiction to consider petition to vacate arbitration award under the FAA because underlying contract affected interstate commerce). Moreover, the parties' Participating Provider Agreement (to be filed separately under seal) expressly states that federal law, if applicable, governs any matters arising out of or in connection with the Agreement. *See* Participating Provider Agreement § 9.1.

5. Alternatively, even if the FAA did not apply, jurisdiction would be proper under the KUAA. *See* Ky. Rev. Stat. §§ 417.160, 417.200.

6. Venue is proper in this Court because the parties' Participating Provider Agreement, as amended, specifies this Court as a proper venue for claims involving matters arising out of or in connection with the Agreement. *See* Participating Provider Agreement § 9.1. The parties also agreed to be subject to the jurisdiction and venue of this Court on any such claim. *Id.*

### **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

7. Several LifePoint-affiliated hospitals (“LifePoint Facilities”), including Lake Cumberland Regional Hospital, brought a civil action in Jefferson Circuit Court against WellCare challenging its failure to pay claims for emergency services pursuant to provider agreements between the LifePoint Facilities and WellCare. The action was styled *Bourbon Community Hospital, LLC, et al. v. WellCare Health Insurance Company of Illinois, Inc. d/b/a WellCare of Kentucky, Inc.*, Case No. 18-CI-00 1136 (Jefferson Cir. Ct.).

8. The LifePoint Facilities and WellCare executed a Settlement Agreement and Release resolving the claims. Because the Settlement Agreement is confidential, a true and correct copy will be provided separately under seal.

9. The Settlement Agreement materially relied upon certain information regarding governing rates to be provided by WellCare. Because Petitioners did not have access to this information, they had to rely upon WellCare providing accurate information.

10. WellCare provided incorrect information and this information was included in the Settlement Agreement.

11. Following execution of the Settlement Agreement, WellCare sent a letter to Lake Cumberland seeking to recover an overpayment to which it claimed it was entitled to pursuant to the Settlement Agreement. Because Respondent’s letter seeking recoupment from Lake Cumberland relies upon the confidential Settlement Agreement, a true and correct copy will be provided separately under seal.

12. In seeking recoupment, WellCare relied on the incorrect information it provided in the Settlement Agreement.

108DEF3B-C293-4B24-B75C-64CE74717815 : 000003 of 000012

Presiding Judge: HON. PHILLIP J. SHEPHERD (648260)

COM : 000003 of 000009

13. Petitioners responded to WellCare's notice of recoupment by correspondence dated January 13, 2020, and disputed the recoupment amount.

14. The Settlement Agreement requires disputes to be resolved by binding arbitration.

15. The Parties' Participating Provider Agreement, as amended, provides that the agreements shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the state in which the facility providing services is located, except where Federal law applies.

16. Petitioners initiated arbitration in September of 2020, captioned *LifePoint Corporate Services General Partnership v. Wellcare Health Insurance Co. of Kentucky*, AAA Case No. 01-20-0014-7102. Because the arbitration was confidential, true and correct copies of the arbitration documents referenced herein will be provided separately under seal.

17. Petitioners asserted that the Parties agreed to certain terms in the Settlement Agreement and WellCare memorialized incorrect information either by mistake or fraud and WellCare cannot now rely on this incorrect information to seek recoupment.

18. Both Petitioners and WellCare moved for summary judgment.

19. In short, Petitioners argued that the information WellCare provided was incorrect based on a mutual mistake and that the Settlement Agreement should be reformed on that ground. Petitioners also alternatively argued that WellCare fraudulently misrepresented information memorialized in the Settlement Agreement, Petitioners reasonably relied on that information, and the Settlement Agreement should be reformed to reflect the Parties' agreement.

20. In further support of these arguments, Petitioners cited to binding Kentucky law permitting reformation of contracts based upon mutual mistake or unilateral mistake involving fraudulent or intentional misrepresentation. Petitioners also submitted evidence in the form of deposition testimony and affidavits to support fraud and mistake.



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.