

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

MELODY CUNNINGHAM and FRUNWI
MANCHO, on behalf of themselves and all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

LYFT, INC., LOGAN GREEN, and JOHN
ZIMMER,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 1:19-cv-11974

**DEFENDANTS' EMERGENCY MOTION FOR STATUS CONFERENCE AND TO
CONFIRM STAY OF PROCEEDINGS PENDING APPEAL**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
ARGUMENT	3
I. The Court Should Schedule a Status Conference.	3
II. The Court Should Stay All Further Proceedings Pending Appeal.....	3
A. Defendants’ appeal divests this Court of jurisdiction.....	3
B. Alternatively, a discretionary stay is appropriate.	7
III. The Court Should Stay Proceedings While It Decides This Motion, or at Least Extend by Three Days Defendants’ Time to Oppose the Preliminary Injunction Motion.....	13
IV. If the Court Denies This Motion, It Should Grant a Brief Interim Stay to Permit Defendants to Seek Relief From the First Circuit.....	14

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>PAGE</u>
FEDERAL CASES	
<i>14 Penn Plaza LLC v. Pyett</i> , 556 U.S. 247 (2009).....	5
<i>Am. Exp. Co. v. Italian Colors Rest.</i> , 570 U.S. 228 (2013).....	11, 13
<i>AT&T Mobility LLC v. Concepcion</i> , 563 U.S. 333 (2011).....	11, 12
<i>Austin v. DoorDash, Inc.</i> , No. 1:17-CV-12498-IT, 2019 WL 4804781 (D. Mass. Sept. 30, 2019).....	9
<i>Bekele v. Lyft, Inc.</i> , 918 F.3d 181 (1st Cir. 2019).....	10
<i>Blinco v. Green Tree Servicing, LLC</i> , 366 F.3d 1249 (11th Cir. 2004)	4, 5
<i>Bombardier Corp. v. Nat’l R.R. Passenger Corp.</i> , 333 F.3d 250 (D.C. Cir. 2003).....	4
<i>Boston Taxi Owners Ass’n, Inc. v. City of Boston</i> , 187 F. Supp. 3d 339 (D. Mass. 2016).....	7
<i>Bradford-Scott Data Corp. v. Physician Computer Network, Inc.</i> , 128 F.3d 504 (7th Cir. 1997)	4, 5, 6
<i>Brennan v. King</i> , 139 F.3d 258 (1st Cir. 1998).....	8
<i>Britton v. Co-op Banking Grp.</i> , 916 F.2d 1405 (9th Cir. 1990)	6
<i>C.B.S. Employees Fed. Credit Union v. Donaldson, Lufkin & Jenrette Sec. Corp.</i> , 716 F. Supp. 307 (W.D. Tenn. 1989).....	12
<i>Circuit City Stores, Inc. v. Adams</i> , 532 U.S. 105 (2001).....	8
<i>Combined Energies v. CCI, Inc.</i> , 495 F. Supp. 2d 142 (D. Me. 2007)	5
<i>Cuevas v. United States</i> , 778 F.3d 267 (1st Cir. 2015).....	8

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(CONTINUED)

	<u>PAGE</u>
<i>Ehleiter v. Grapetree Shores, Inc.</i> , 482 F.3d 207 (3d Cir. 2007).....	4
<i>Furtado v. Republic Parking Sys., LLC</i> , No. 19-CV-11481-DJC, 2020 WL 996849 (D. Mass. Mar. 2, 2020)	11
<i>Gadson v. SuperShuttle Int’l</i> , No. 10-CV-01057-AW, 2011 WL 1231311 (D. Md. Mar. 30, 2011), <i>vacated</i> <i>on other grounds sub nom</i>	8
<i>Griggs v. Provident Consumer Disc. Co.</i> , 459 U.S. 56 (1982) (per curiam).....	3, 6, 13
<i>Hilton v. Braunskill</i> , 481 U.S. 770 (1987).....	7
<i>Intergen N.V. v. Grina</i> , No. CIV.A. 01-11774-REK, 2003 WL 1562200 (D. Mass. Feb. 21, 2003).....	5
<i>Kowalewski v. Samandarov</i> , 590 F. Supp. 2d 477 (S.D.N.Y. 2008).....	8
<i>Levin v. Alms & Assocs., Inc.</i> , 634 F.3d 260 (4th Cir. 2011)	4
<i>McCauley v. Halliburton Energy Servs., Inc.</i> , 413 F.3d 1158 (10th Cir. 2005)	4, 5
<i>Mendez-Matos v. Municipality of Guaynabo</i> , 498 F. Supp. 2d 473 (D.P.R. 2007).....	6
<i>Motorola Credit Corp. v. Uzan</i> , 388 F.3d 39 (2d Cir. 2004).....	6
<i>Muriithi v. Shuttle Exp., Inc.</i> , 712 F.3d 173 (4th Cir. 2013)	8
<i>Narragansett Elec. Co. v. Constellation Energy Commodities Grp., Inc.</i> , 563 F. Supp. 2d 325 (D.R.I. 2008).....	5
<i>Optum, Inc. v. Smith</i> , 366 F. Supp. 3d 156 (D. Mass. 2019)	4, 12
<i>Randle v. Metro. Transit Auth. of Harris Cty.</i> , No. CV H-18-1770, 2018 WL 4701567 (S.D. Tex. Oct. 1, 2018).....	8

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(CONTINUED)

	<u>PAGE</u>
<i>Reaves v. Dep't of Correction</i> , 404 F. Supp. 3d 520 (D. Mass. 2019)	7
<i>Saxon v. Sw. Airlines Co.</i> , No. 19-CV-0403, 2019 WL 4958247 (N.D. Ill. Oct. 8, 2019)	8
<i>Scaccia v. Uber Techs., Inc.</i> , No. 3:18-CV-00418, 2019 WL 2476811 (S.D. Ohio June 13, 2019), <i>report</i> <i>and recommendation adopted</i> , 2019 WL 4674333 (S.D. Ohio Sept. 25, 2019).....	8
<i>Singh v. Uber Techs. Inc.</i> , 939 F.3d 210 (3d Cir. 2019).....	7, 8, 9
<i>Teradyne, Inc. v. Mostek Corp.</i> , 797 F.2d 43 (1st Cir. 1986).....	12
<i>Vargas v. Delivery Outsourcing, LLC</i> , 2016 WL 946112 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 14, 2016).....	9
<i>Waithaka v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , 404 F. Supp. 3d 335 (D. Mass. 2019), appeal docketed, No. 19-1848 (1st Cir. 2019)	9
<i>Wallace v. Grubhub Holdings Inc.</i> , No. 18 C 4538, 2019 WL 1399986 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 28, 2019)	9
<i>Weingarten Realty Inv'rs v. Miller</i> , 661 F.3d 904 (5th Cir. 2011)	6
STATE CASES	
<i>Dixon v. Perry & Slesnick, P.C.</i> , 75 Mass. App. Ct. 271 (2009).....	10
<i>Feeney v. Dell Inc.</i> , 454 Mass. 192 (2009)	9, 10
<i>Machado v. System4 LLC</i> , 465 Mass. 508 (2013)	9
<i>Machado v. System4 LLC</i> , 471 Mass. 204 (2015)	10
<i>Tze-Kit Mui v. Massachusetts Port Auth.</i> , 478 Mass. 710 (2018)	11

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.