CASE 0:18-cv-01776-JRT-HB Doc. 421-1 Filed 12/13/19 Page 1 of 261

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

### IN RE PORK ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Civil No. 18-cv-1776 (JRT/HB)

CONSUMER INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED CONSOLIDATED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

### DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

### (REDACTED VERSION)

This Document Relates to:

DOCKET

All Consumer Indirect Purchaser Actions

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Page

I.	NATURE OF ACTION1				
II.	SUMMARY OF PARTIES7				
III.	FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS				
	A.	Agri Stats enabled competitors to directly exchange, and restrain, supply and cost.			
		1.	Agri Stats' detailed pricing reports provide competitors with a view of the entire market, removing all question of competition on price		
		2.	Beyond the pricing reports themselves, Agri Stats allowed the pork processors to directly access sales data through a "sales data miner" tool		
		3.	Just in case weekly sales reports and data mining were not enough to ensure the lack of pricing competition, Agri Stats also provided colorful graphs to highlight any differences in competitors' prices		
		4.	The Operations Profit Report provided competitors with information on the profitability of their competitors – allowing a further means to detect any cheating on the cartel		
		5.	The Agri Stats Swine Processing Report provided detailed information regarding costs of the competitors		
	B. Agri Stats' collection and standardization process provided pork processors the unparalleled ability to monitor, or discipline co- conspirators for not complying with their collusive agreement				
		1.	Agri Stats audited the data to ensure co-conspirators could not cheat on the agreement		
		2.	Agri Stats guaranteed to the co-conspirators that its competitors were equally participating in the scheme		
		3.	Agri Stats acted as a gatekeeper, preventing public access to the reports, ensuring that the conspiracy went undetected		

### CASE 0:18-cv-01776-JRT-HB Doc. 421-1 Filed 12/13/19 Page 3 of 261

C.	Agri Stats reports were easily deanonymized by the pork processors				
D.	becau	gri Stats succeeded in orchestrating the pork conspiracy cause it leveraged its success in the chicken industry, and cause both industries are controlled by the same companies			
	1.	Agri Stats is a repeat offender, playing a crucial role in a similar price-fixing and supply constraint conspiracy in the sale of chickens	0		
	2.	The same companies own both chickens and pigs5	2		
	proces	dants' conspiracy had its intended effect, with pork ssors lowering supply during the class period both in the gate, and individually	3		
F.	Defen of por	dants' conspiracy had the intended effect of raising prices k during the class period	51		
	1.	The average hog wholesale price experienced an unprecedented increase beginning in 2009	52		
	2.	The pork cut-out composite price experienced a dramatic increase beginning in 2009 and continuing throughout the class period	3		
	3.	Pork processors' margin increased beginning in 2009 showing a statistically significant break from the pre-class period	3		
	4.	Defendants' revenues increased beginning in 2009, even taking into account defendant-specific costs	5		
	5.	Overcharges due to the cartel were passed through to the indirect purchaser class	57		
G.	The structure of the pork processing industry allowed the conspiracy to succeed				
	1.	The pork industry is nearly fully vertically integrated, which allowed the scheme to succeed7	0'		
	2.	The level of concentration in the pork industry was optimal for the alleged collusive scheme	2		

		3.	pork	iers to entry helped to keep competitors out of the integration market and ensure the success of the piracy	77
	H.	not a	and cou	actively concealed the conspiracy and plaintiffs did ld not have discovered defendants' anticompetitive	77
IV.				LEGE VIOLATIONS UNDER BOTH THE <i>PER SE</i> EASON STANDARDS OF THE SHERMAN ACT	81
		1.	The	Unlawful Agreements	
		2.		endants' information exchanges had the likely effect arming competition.	84
			a.	Defendants have market power in the market for pork.	84
			b.	There are high barriers to entry in the market for pork for meat consumption.	84
			c.	The defendants have market power in the market for pork for meat consumption	84
		3.	infor	market for pork is the type of market where the mation exchanges orchestrated by Agri Stats are y to harm competition.	85
			a.	The pork market features few sellers	85
			b.	Pork is a fungible market	86
			c.	The pork market features price-based competition	
			d.	Demand for pork is relatively inelastic	
			e.	The pork market features a trend towards price uniformity	87
		4.		endants' information exchanges corrupted the petitive process.	88
V.	JURIS	SDIC	ΓΙΟΝ A	AND VENUE	90
VI.	PART	TIES			91

### CASE 0:18-cv-01776-JRT-HB Doc. 421-1 Filed 12/13/19 Page 5 of 261

	A.	Plaintiffs	91
	B.	Defendants	99
VII.	CLAS	S ACTION ALLEGATIONS	102
VIII.	ANTI	TRUST INJURY	108
IX.	CAUS	SES OF ACTION	110
X.	REQU	JEST FOR RELIEF	167
XI.	JURY	TRIAL DEMANDED	168

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.